

Attachment 2: Heritage Fund Application Assessments - September 2017

Application	Works Proposed	Ranking/Gro up	Supports Hamilton's Strategies and Plans				Application Supported	Funding Proposed	Reasons
			Hamilton Plan	CCPT	Frankton Plan	Hamilton East Plan			
HF 17/18 00001	Roof to be replaced. Building report indicates that the following works are High Priority: Damaged to cladding that requires repair, or replacement. Urgent repairs to sash windows needed.	H115 - B Ranked	✓	✗	✗	✓	Yes	\$7,000.00	The recommended amount is to support the cost of the replacement of the roof which is identified in the building report as being of a high priority for the protection of the building fabric. Noting that this work would require a resource consent in accordance with the Partly Operative District Plan (Rule 19.3f) Alterations and additions to the exterior of any structure or building ranked B. Noting that the full re-roofing would not be considered maintenance and repair (refer to Rule 19.4.1). The rationale for the recommended amount versus the requested reflects the need to stage the overall project, first the roof and then the window and exterior repair work.
HF 17/18 00005	Repair/replacement of damaged weatherboards and veranda deck. Repair of sash windows to enable full and safe usage. Repairs to bay windows (x2) to maximize water tightness. Preparation for, and repainting whole of house to cover repair work and protect additional/further deterioration of weatherboards, includes undercoat and double top coat (paint work by previous owner not completed adequately to protect building). Repair/replacement of spouting to ensure adequate water drainage to prevent damage to repaired and existing weatherboards/paintwork. Full roof replacement to protect building. Currently some areas are patched and have experienced leaks through the ceiling.	H57 - B Ranked HNZ - II	✓	✗	✗	✗	In part	\$6,000.00	The recommended amount is to support the cost of the windows and exterior works only. The overall project has two key components (1) the windows and exterior and (2) the roof. It is considered that the priority between the two actions is the windows and exterior. The cost of this work have been extracted from the total requested. It is recommended that prior to the re-roofing work is undertaken the owner discuss the resource consent requirements needed to undertake this work further with Council.
HF 17/18 00006	For the protection of the building and people within the building the funding will be used to install a fire alarm system throughout the Chapel.	H64 - B Ranked	✓	✗	✗	✗	No	\$0.00	While the installation of fire protection system would ensure that the heritage building is protected during a fire this cost could also be seen as a standard maintenance costs and owners have not indicated and other funding for installation of system. Due to the number of applications and limited funds this application is not a priority in terms of heritage fabric conservation.
HF 17/18 00007	The current roof on the house has been deemed insufficient and irreparable by an in depth building report by Noel Jellyman. In its current state, the house is at risk of electrical fire caused by water leaking on electrical fittings. Mould and rot have already taken place and if not remedied, the property will be uninhabitable in the very near future. We have engaged a heritage architect to provide a heritage report and submit resource consent to replace the roofing tiles "like for like". . A resource consent is in the process of being lodged.	H117 - B Ranked	✓	✗	✗	✓	In part	\$10,000.00	The recommended amount is to support the continuation of the work already undertaken on the heritage building. In particular, funding support for the cost of the roof engineering and materials only. These two aspects are of heritage significance for this specific dwelling. There is no requirement for a dwelling to be seismic strengthened and the scaffolding would be required for any roofing work undertaken on a residential dwelling.
HF 17/18 00008	A detailed Seismic Assessment to ascertain any areas of weakness in the building that may require strengthening. The building has had an initial assessment rating at 20% nbs,	H41 - A Ranked HNZ - II	✓	✓	✗	✗	In part	\$10,000.00	Include heritage input into the undertaking of the DSA. Would require input from heritage expert when undertaking DSA/Design. Building identified as a priority building on earthquake prone building list. Building identified as a priority building on earthquake prone building list.
HF 17/18 00009	We looking initial investment to buy the instruments, We are also doing fundraising and the families are contributing.	N/A	✗	✗	✗	✗	Yes	\$0.00	Heritage Fund does not fund musical instruments. Application does not meet the criteria of the heritage fund
HF 17/18 00011	Essential repairs; Gutter overflow installation to accommodate large trees on Commerce St Upgrades to code/regulation standards; Recent Code of Compliance works Preparation of maintenance plan; Long Term Maintenance Plan	H79 - B Ranked	✓	✗	✓	✗	In part	\$0.00	General maintenance to a building. Does not meet the criteria of the Heritage Fund (do not fund completed works).

HF 17/18 00012	<p>For seismic strengthening of St Andrew's Presbyterian Church to achieve 34% NBS in the immediate term, so as to ensure this community building's retention, preservation and continued use without major risk to human life in the event of a moderate earthquake.</p> <p>In the medium-term, the intention is to upgrade the seismic strength to 67% NBS as project stage 2, so as to take the building from being unlikely to kill or injure in a moderate earthquake (34% NBS) to being fully repairable and totally re-usable (67% NBS).</p>	H31 - A Ranked	✓	✘	✘	✓	No	\$10,000.00	Funding to support the preparation/review of a conservation for the building. Fundraising has not started so unclear if they would be able to start/complete the design and construction work within this year. The conservation plan key component to access other funding.
HF 17/18 00014	The building needs to be repaired, refurbished and strengthened.	H73 - B Ranked	✓	✓	✘	✘	In part	\$0.00	Insufficient information provided to enable an assessment of the application. No indication that a DSA had been completed to enable the detailed design to be undertaken. Unlikely that the design and construction work would be able to be completed within the financial year.
HF 17/18 00015	<p>Hockin House was originally built in 1893 at Waikato Hospital as the home for the Medical Superintendents. It was re-located to its present site at Graham Park in 1973 and, after restoration re-opened in 1979. It is a Category A building in the Partly Operative District Plan.</p> <p>Because of problems with leaks in parts of the hall and some of the walls, a report (attached) was commissioned of Realsure House Inspectors of the state of the then 121-year-old building. The report in January 2015 described various items according to priority. It showed that there were many items to be fixed, especially the roof cavity and the roof exterior, with a recommendation to obtain quotes to repair and replace the roof.</p> <p>An estimate of \$100,000 has been provided for the roof works alone. Because of the other problems with the building, the Committee has decided to make an application to the Lotteries Environment and Heritage Fund. However, such an application would require the preparation of a Conservation Plan.</p> <p>Therefore, the Waikato Historical Society is making an application of \$10,000 to the Hamilton City Heritage Fund for the preparation of a Conservation Plan report to an application to the Lotteries Environment and Heritage Fund.</p>	H28 - A Ranked HNZ - II	✓	✘	✘	✘	No	\$5,000.00	The funding to support the development of a conservation/maintenance plan that will help support future application to the fund as well as provide supporting documentation for applications to Lotteries fund. Owners should consider reapplying next round once Conservation plan is completed.
HF 17/18 00016	Remove existing rotting timber shaped gutter to upstairs veranda and replace with colour steel to match the balance of the home.	H6 - A Ranked HNZ - I	✓	✘	✘	✓	Yes	\$1,000.00	it is recommended that funding be provided for the investigation of the importance of this timber gutter as being part of the authentic heritage fabric of the building. It is considered, with the information provided to date that a resource consent would be required to replace the gutter as the works would not be replacing 'like with like'. Following the receipt of a heritage report on the gutter further funding to undertake the work would be considered.
HF 17/18 00017	<p>To continue the process of Seismic Assessment & Strengthening.</p> <p>To review existing assessment in light of our Geotech report.</p> <p>To prepare Engineering options to achieve 67%NBS or 100%NBS.</p> <p>To commission detailed engineering plans for chosen option.</p> <p>All prior reports and Conservation Plan have been submitted.</p>	H4 - A Ranked HNZ - I	✓	✓	✘	✘	In part	\$10,000.00	The recommended funding is a continuation of the support already provided through the 16/17 funding rounds for the earthquake strengthening of this A Ranked heritage building; in particular the funding support of the proposed archaeological investigations.
HF 17/18 00018	The development of a conservation plan and maintenance plan to manage future conservation and maintenance work to the house.	H111 - B Ranked	✓	✘	✘	✓	No	\$4,500.00	The recommendation is to provide support for the preparation of a conservation plan with a maintenance programme that will assist the owner with the on-going work of this large residential dwelling and to assist with the provision of a schedule to assist with future application for funding of specific works to maintain the heritage fabric of the building.

HF 17/18 00019	There are three phases of work needed to be completed: 1. To put in place conservation /maintenance plan for the future 2. Restore the wooden joinery to maintain the integrity of the building and its architectural foundations 3. Replace the roof which is the most critical and urgent of all the works, which is part of the original design feature but is placing many of the architectural features internally at risk due to the failure of the internal guttering, because of increased weather elements and leaks.	H116 - B Ranked	✓	✘	✘	✓	Yes	\$6,000.00	Support the development of a conservation/maintenance plan for the house to support future funding applications and provide the owner with a plan for future maintenance that identifies and conserves the heritage values of the building. The repair to the windows is a urgent matter to prevent any further deterioration in the heritage fabric of the building.
HF 17/18 00021	Funding will be used for the restoration and repair of the original sash windows - particularly the windows on the two street elevations (Forest Lake Rd & Moore St). None of the windows in the house operate as originally intended, with all the sash cords broken requiring the windows to be propped open with a loose piece of wood. A number of the windows have either been painted or nailed shut and we would like to make some of these operational again.	H55 - B Ranked HNZ - II	✓	✘	✘	✘	In part	\$6,000.00	The recommended support reflects 1/2 of the cost estimate for the works to the sash windows. Such work is necessary to retain the heritage fabric and value of the dwelling.
HF 17/18 00022	Wesley Chambers, a heritage Building is in need of major essential repairs and has a continuous demand for emergency repairs. With a modest income from a 38 room hotel and 7 tenancies, it is not possible to meet the needs of this heritage building. On an average we spend over \$72,000 pa If we need to conserve the building we need to attend to the following activities on priority 1. Prevent leakage from the roof 2. The Windows need to be repaired else it will be a safety hazard 3. Earthquake strengthening from it current level to NBS 67% We plan to undertake the repairs in the following priority 1. Repair 10-16 windows out of over 70 2. Repair the next 10-16 windows 3. Repair the roof	H37 - A Ranked HNZ - II	✓	✓	✘	✘	in part	\$20,000.00	Proposed work based on previously prepared Conservation Plan. Funding is granted for work aiming to retain existing heritage fabric by repairing windows rather than replacing them with modern aluminium windows. Due to the size of the projects we would recommended that the work is staged and further funding application be considered to complete the work. Building identified as a priority building on earthquake prone building list.
HF 17/18 00023	This application is for scaffolding, preparation and painting the high areas of Ingleholm House, including five multi paned windows and surrounds, one three-quarter round window, soffits, bargeboards and beams. Although the majority of the lower elevations including windows and "stick work" have been painted by the owners in the last three years, for health and safety reasons they have not been able to access the high areas above 3m. Of note, the barge boards, high windows and soffits of the front (west) elevation of the house have not been painted in more than 40 years.	H63 - B Ranked	✓	✘	✘	✘		\$4,500.00	The recommended funding support is in recognition of the need for scaffolding due to the height and design of the building, being unusual compared to a standard residential structure; and for the preparation, prior to the commencement of the actual painting of a heritage report, by an suitably qualified expert, to provide guidance on how the building should be painted.
Total								\$100,000.00	