

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 289

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

To be honest, I am not sure this new Three water project can be really work for our water quality and systems in the future, but our government made a big decision for your people, so I want to see this system could be work for us. I strongly believe that it will be much better than just sitting same system now.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I satisfied about this services and costs for the future.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Great system and safe protections.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

If I can get a reduced cost for the future, I am satisfied.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I strongly believe our Government and they work for us, so I really want to support their plan.
Great Work!!

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [292](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

The whole proposal should be scrapped and start again in a democratic way not an autocratic way

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

This is a disgusting reform in all respects from Hamilton City's perspective the proposal should be completely scrapped and if changed in the future it should be done in a democratic way. I am annoyed that the Government seems to be picking off respective local authorities by hand outs rather than a full payment for the assets aquired

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 304

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

It won't make a difference

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

It won't make a difference

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I didn't read it

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

It won't make any difference

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

I do not care. Central government are unlikely to *** it up any more than councils already have.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

As above.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 308

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I think it's good idea

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Good

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **310**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [312](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **314**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Although I have put won't make a difference , I am still concerned that without local control the quality of Hamilton's water and especially the quality of the generation plant could go down

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Can we trust the government to keep these protections, or will Mana Whenua or other entities be later able to become part owners/shareholders?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

There needs to be more input & control at local levels,

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

More local control, less Mana Whenua input

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [317](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Asset ownership is not clear in the Government's model.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Voting arrangements in the Regional Representative Groups do not reflect Hamilton's population size.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Totally opposed to the proposed legislation due to the unclear ownership rights.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [321](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[The proposed reforms are all about control of all aspects of water. The big picture outcome, is that in the future we will be paying very high prices for a commodity that should be free.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The reforms proposed are just a cover story for the true intent. Complete control of our rights.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Rubbish!!!.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

The governances over time will mean that the board would be the sole decision maker overriding any local input.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

This is all smoke and mirrors. If the council had been diligent and invested in the water infrastructure like they should have done we wouldn't even be having this discussion. As for there being a rates reduction, that will not happen.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The government says the upgrade to infrastructure is going to cost \$165bn. The only way that is going to be paid for is through metering and increased charges to every citizen in this great country of ours. This is all about control and manipulation, not about the best interests of the people.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [326](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Regulatory body's accountable to 'no one' will not result in better health or environment outcomes.](#)
[The city council is responsible to constituents and the governing body.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The services and costs are just creative accounting. Realistically there will be cost savings in terms of consolidation and efficiency's gained there, however the services and costs do not cover the impacts that will be caused by moving to a co-governance model. Moving to a co-governance model will increase needles spend and bloat that will result in a service that is more expensive and that has poorer outcomes, as been evident by other similar implementations. I am in support of consolidation, I am not in support of co-governance especially when those involved in co-governance lack the skills and experience to adequately deliver what is required. I would instead propose a consolidation model whereas under performing councils water assets get absorbed into nearby local councils that do have the skills and knowledge to deliver the services required.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Privatisation protections are good, However management team under a professional Board is questionable and I believe it will end up being a management team of nepotism and lack of accountability. We will end up with results similar to what happened with NZTA

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Councils should keep control of the water assets, however it should be consolidated further, without co-governance

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [329](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Responses relate to the near term, say after 5-10 years of operation.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

They seem to be reasonable, especially the requirement for a referendum to endorse any change.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I wonder what proportion of respondents will make the effort to digest these papers or have specific knowledge of the subject. I suspect many will be influenced by reports in the media from mainly critical commentators. I did not see any attempt to evaluate this in the survey.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 330

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **331**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Against privatisation current government not capable of making these decisions

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **333**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Good

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

[Agree strongly](#)

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

[Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform](#)

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [334](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [335](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Inappropriate

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

More local (ratepayer) representation

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Government performance in managing assets is poor, this new entity approach will be committee doomed

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [336](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree strongly](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [337](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [341](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree strongly](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **349**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **350**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Nothing to add

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Nothing to add

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think it's great

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Nothing to add

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

[Agree](#)

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

[Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform](#)

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

[Nothing to add.](#)

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [352](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Better water quality?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [356](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [357](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [359](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 360

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Good

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [361](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The only concern is the anticipated rising costs without the reform. I am against the reform aside from that

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **363**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [367](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton’s drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton’s stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government’s reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Historically large government organisations fail to address the needs to lower population area sufficiently. In the case of water it is likely that due to the extreme range of each of the 4 proposed entities that government bureaucracy will increase costs and decrease the efficacy of delivering the appropriate infrastructure to outlying regions, or alternatively existing infrastructure that is deemed above specifications will be unmaintained and that money will be utilised to bring under spec areas up to spec but will ultimately result in existing infrastructure failing to be maintained until it costs more to bring back up to spec.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Any mana whenua representative is effectively a representative for a private organisation and not a community group as this does not represent the community as a whole. The 1 share per 50,000 people is more representative. What is the difference between a mana whenua representative and representative from a water ski club, or fishing club. This demand for a particular group to be represented over an above any other group is not democratic.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure should not contain any private interest groups

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 368

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 370

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

This legislation is in much need of progress to comply with each community's concern. I feel that this will have little impact on Hamilton's water systems at this point and time. This reform was created too early without a lot of information missing that proves to be an asset to public health and safety.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Services and costs look to be an asset for current situations of high living cost. Future economy is likely to get more difficult but with the right teamwork between both parties, the reform may be the start to help lower the cost of current situations in 2022.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

This will still have no effect in protection from privatisation. Leaving it in council's control mean they each make are making right decisions for the communities they already and what this organisation would not.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

The make-up/roles should be changed because this is a large and nationwide project that is for the nation to speak of. Having selected councils speak for the entire country will not be fair on others that can voice their opinions as well. It would be better not to have a single company run control a necessary requirement of our lives. One mistake from the organisation and this will prove that they have not listened at all and our people will suffer from the doing.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Financial structure of the reform needs a lot more work in order for income to be stable for daily lives. I would rather trust my council controlling the reform rather than a large unknown organisation do it.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

councils to still keep control of their water assets

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The reform is a small step in what would help our environmental living. But the Government's proposal has much work to be done in order to satisfy Hamilton City Council and other councils and to trust that this reform will prove beneficial to us.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **371**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

the government have already engaged contractors and businesses to implement 3 waters nothing the councils do will stop it.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

change the government we do not need to give away our assets of have them taken they belong to the Hamilton residents

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

the government have already decided on 3 waters implementation only a change of government will stop it . they have already employed the services of a number of businesses and let contracts as of June 2021.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [375](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **376**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[No opinion](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[No opinion](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **377**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

It's the cost that is the worry. Decisions made by people who don't know/live in the waikato. And we are better off than most - why should we subsidise them

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

[Don't believe in it](#)

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[No opinion](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[No opinion](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[No opinion](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **378**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Keep control under local bodies. All NZers must have equal say

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Costs will increase

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Co governance will cause big problems. All NZers should be treated equally

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Reforms are controversial & won't work

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Costs will increase

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Keep the status quo & don't arrogantly ignore the general opposition to three waters

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 379

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Much more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Should be changed](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 380

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **383**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton has been well organised but we need to stand with the rest of NZ who are not so lucky

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [384](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[I am pretty certain that they can do better than the current system which is essentially limited by the appetite of local elected members to increase rates - which is normally pretty limited and has led to higher than required infrastructure costs over the longer term](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The cost of water rates in NZ compared to other countries is already much too high - this is likely to continue without reform

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Firstly i would say that a professional board must be better than the current system - whilst i think Mayors and councilors do the best they can - these are highly complex decisions. The protection against privatisation seems to be as good as it will ever be.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

This seems like the best benefit of the whole thing.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **386**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Good, seems to guarantee that it won't be privatised

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 389

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[No opinion](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[No opinion](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[No opinion](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 390

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Disagree](#)

Better water quality?

[Disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Disagree](#)

Better water quality?

[Disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [391](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[If the current government's handling of health care is anything to go by, I would anticipate worse performance from a centrally controlled water entity than the current council controlled model.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The government's claims of improved costs have been disputed by many and I feel they have not been very transparent.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I am opposed to privatization of water in New Zealand but feel this may be a smoke screen from the government.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

I feel it is inappropriate to have special treatment to people based on their racial ancestry. I feel the Mana Whenua aspect is discriminatory against New Zealanders of other ethnic ancestry and should not be pursued.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

The racial aspect of the proposed reform.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I think the Government's claims of cost savings have been disputed and the validity of their claims has not been proven.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [396](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton’s drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton’s stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government’s reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don’t know](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

My main concern would be if our city's specific needs will be prioritised by the new entity - particularly around new housing and growth.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 398

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 399

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 400

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 403

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 409

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Wont make a difference at all, leave responsibility to the local councils.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 410

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Despite assurances it won't happen, the Government can't control market forces which will ultimately dictate the outcome.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Tribal lines and/or race should have no bearing on the makeup of these roles.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Instead of sweeping centralization the Government should concentrate on funding and aiding the Councils whose water infrastructure is lacking. This would ultimately prove cheaper.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **411**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [412](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 420

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 422

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Adds another administration layer and cist

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Keep it in local control

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 423

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I'm all for change but at the same time don't think money should be spent to 'change' things that don't need to be changed, thank you for taking the time to read my conflicting answers.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 430

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 474

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 476

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Proposed changes would complicate the overview of health & environment needs at a local level & thereby hinder fast resolutions.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The structure required to implement & manage reform will be costly & top heavy to set up & even more costly to manage. This has been proven in the past with other reforms when governments take control. The proposed costs as set out in this document will no doubt bare little resemblance to the final set up & running costs.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

My concerns around privatisation are reasonably well covered,

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

The make-up of the boards should be by public election & NOT by appointment. All appointments should be democratically made solely by ability & NOT on a race basis

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I have concern that this will prove to be a another asset that will enable the government to borrow more against. Looking at history most large changes such as this have invariably had huge cost blow-outs. I doubt this would be any different.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I say NO NO NO to the proposed reforms

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 478

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree strongly](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **481**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

I have some concerns about the ownership of Hamilton resources. Will our needs for improvement (storm water system) be overlooked under the three waters reform. Will areas of high growth be capped by a nationally controlled water system

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 486

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I believe that taking all the water controls away from the councils and putting them under one umbrella is the road to privatisation, this will make it more likely for our government to be able to sell the water control onto a foreign company down the track, right now it cannot be done as too many councils will oppose this, Nz governments don't have a very good track record for retaining public asset's.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Disagree](#)

Better water quality?

[Disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 488

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

i support the new move in the reform to protect against privatisation.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 494

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Creating multi-levelled management structures is highly inefficient

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 496

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I feel that we need to improve our water quality nation wide but in conjunction with local councils to get the best results for all.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think protections against privatisation is very important particularly if we continue to have sales of land to overseas buyers. Water will become an increasingly valuable resource in the future and it needs to be safeguarded for all.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Don't know](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Local government input is very necessary .

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Local government input is very important because they will have Information & research on local problems which might be overlooked by a central body..

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [502](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

A 'professional Board' responsible for specialist decisions makes sense to me. Privatisation of this resource would be wrong; iwi and government are collectively responsible for the overall welfare of water.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 504

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

It will be sold like the post, phone and power companies have in the past. It will end up costing more and the poor will have to go without.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 507

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The larger, more-concentrated population centres will inevitably subsidise the smaller, more isolated communities.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Economic pressure to sell the shares, or some of them, will eventually occur. Some dividend would be required diverting funds away from the core purposes. This necessity will compromise the Board's power. A 75% vote threshold won't prevent this unless it is 75% of all of those entitled to vote, as against actual votes cast.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

Representation groups should broadly reflect the community of water users in terms of population size (ie people not volume of water). Rules concerning how representative groups are selected need much further careful work to make sure major users as defined above are fairly represented.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The water boards will inevitably become massive bureaucratic administrations with little ability to make rapid changes where and when needed. Staffing and salary costs will inevitably offset efficiencies gained from amalgamation services.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

as commented on earlier

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 509

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Totally against any forms of co governance on the water reforms issue. That's a side issue that has snuck into this issue by stealth. Water is for all people not for a minority to have a larger share of control

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 510

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Not good Hamilton Waikato should be by them selves.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [516](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton’s drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton’s stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government’s reform here:

[I feel this is very important.important.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Any goverment services are too slow. I wouldn't expect too much.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Privatisation is dangerous..

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

It would be difficult to fund the money.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

[Don't know](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Don't know](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

[Don't know](#)

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

[Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform](#)

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

[No further comment.](#)

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [517](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

Uncertainty

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [519](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[The local situation will become to widespread in any decision making](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The cost of compliance has been increasing this change will only increase these costs.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The assets are owned by the rate payers of our district. How can any one think that it is commercially acceptable to reduce the controlling management of those assets over to an unelected minority. Do they government think we are idiots or someting.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

They should be left as they are. We own the assets we should elect who we have as care takers of those assets.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The new entities are a smoke screen to further the governments agenda of undermining our democratic society and Maorifying our society.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The ratepayers of Hamilton have invested large amounts of capital into purchasing and maintaining our assets. Why would we give up 50% of the control of our assets to an unelected minority group. We don't have a water problem. What basis and justification do the Govt have in trying to steal our assets and hand them over to unelected Maori representatives. How many councils have had water problems the only one i can think of is Havelock North in 2019. We want Hamilton Council, who are elected representative, to manage and control our assets.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [520](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Councils across the motu have not done well protecting the rivers etc. In Aotearoa so I am not opposed to this.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

[Agree strongly](#)

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

[Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform](#)

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [521](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [522](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Essential if everyone is to benefit. The argument that I am affected when ever I travel outside Hamilton is also convincing on the grounds of fairness](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

It is only fair that every citizen should have the same level of service and face the same costs

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I would like to see this prohibited rather than just protected.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

While having greater uncertainty the risk of tinkering with the structure to mollify HCC ownership concerns must give way to the greater good of those most affected by decaying structure

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The reform must not be sabotaged by dog in the manger attitudes

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 523

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Public health is a vital issue here, as is environmental protection. The new concept has the possibility of improvements (although the devil will be in the detail and the implementation: nothing can be guaranteed, and a great deal of money will have to be spent).

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Again, the devil is in the detail, but the concept is encouraging.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Protection against privatisation are vital; the provisions look sensible.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

There is an element of guesswork involved in all this; as some councils struggle to fund necessary improvements, on a national level the new plans are good - Hamilton is in the happy situation that it has a good system already in place, although there will be need for improvements over time with, for instance, aging infrastructure.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

This new development is a bit of a shot in the dark, and how it works out only time will tell, but with so many councils trying and sometimes failing in the area of water it seems a sensible solution. Central funding rather than local funding is required, and local sentiment should give way to the national need for good quality water supply and treatment everywhere. But I fear that it may all cost more than currently estimated. The councils feel they are losing a property right, but in fact many will benefit from having this part of their responsibilities done differently. There is too much parochialism in a small country with a small population.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 524

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 525

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Sounds like they've put a lot of protections against it. which is a good thing, as water is an essential service, and should not be controlled by private companies with the sole goal of profit making. From the sounds of it everything is in place to make it very hard for any future govt to change their mind. I am in favour of this idea

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 526

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [528](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I support the governs aims to better protect New Zealanders from privatisation of our water.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

[Agree strongly](#)

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

[Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform](#)

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **531**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think the structure is complicated and unnecessary, and that the protection against privatization is a red-herring. These reforms should not go ahead

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

The proposed reform should not go ahead in ANY form.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Again, I believe that the reform should not go ahead. Therefore, the financial structure is unnecessary

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I strongly disagree with the reforms and I continue to believe that there should be no change.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [532](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 538

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Ask the residence well add more who are not in the higher tax brackets those who will struggle with rates and so on

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 543

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I agree with the government's stance on protections against privatisation.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The overall benefit of the reform to the nation as a whole and to Hamilton in the long term, outweigh the concerns of the Hamilton council in my opinion. The projected financial and economic benefits should allow the council to address any issues they have with the current proposal in the future. I'm not opposed to changing the current proposal to better suit the councils concerns, but not at the cost of delaying the reform. The sooner the nation gets access to healthier and safer water as well as the economic benefits, the better.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [545](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 546

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 547

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The council has not done a good job of keeping our riverways and water drinkable and clean. It is time for change and to stop doing the same thing over and over. I support the 3 waters reform.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Services and costs will always rise. It doesn't matter who is governing it.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

It has been slack in the past. Our most vital resource is being traded without any benefit to landowners, Iwi and hapu. Water is going to be scarce in future and should be retained for all New Zealanders.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 549

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

With the 75% needed from a referendum, I believe it is adequate.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I am not convinced there needs to be an even split of Mana Whenua and local council representatives.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

I do not see the requirement of having Iwi as part of regional representatives. If an item of cultural sensitivity were to arise, it should be able to be solved then with cooperation and respect of those impacted should be able to be solved

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 550

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

No one can control or predict the weather. You can't change nature

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Is the government prepared to irrevocably be prepared to give us a written declaration the same as The Treaty of Waitangi which would stand up in High Court that they will fulfill all services and our water costs will be less and better. If not why not.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Why is your 75 percent vote can bring in privatisation when the Government says they made legislation against this

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

At the end of the day it will be residents paying more for a massive bureaucratic organisation will demand a large 5 storey building and new vehicles and a massive number of staff to paper shuffle and nothing will change to what is now except the residents will be PAYING.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Like everything else this Government has done Three waters will be an absolute disaster and the people of NEW ZEALAND will be the sufferers and pay the cost.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [551](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[No thanks](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

No thanks

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think that there will be a lot of over paid hierarchy and nothing will change.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I don't know

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

No thanks

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

No thanks

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [554](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 555

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

There are many other reforms that NZ govt could make and should better prioritized than taking care of water. Health, economy and housing are some of that. This water reforms for me, as blessed as I am to live in Hamilton, which has great water infrastructures already, only provide reasons to put restrictions and at the end of the day, adding costs and expenses to farmers and population in general which rely heavily on the water streams.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Not enough data given to us. How much would this reform costs us taxpayers? And more importantly what the costs would be for business owners and farmers that will bear the extra rules and restrictions from this reform?

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I totally agree to go against privatisation.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Around 30 per cent of our rates income is allocated for water services - what is this exactly means? Why so big? Should we better focus on more important issues such as housing, economy and transportations?

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

I see this project as a waste of tax payer money, especially for Waikato area. There are many other problems that has more significant effects toward the future of Hamilton as specific and NZ in general.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I don't know the overall planning for more pressing problems that we have at this moment: 1. Economy. Inflation is the current biggest issue - but behind it, are many fundamental problems; small market field, uncompetitive products and services, higher costs (compared to neighbour Australia and SEA in broader scope) 2. Housing. HOUSING. Housing. We need more affordable housing to attract more population in, which at the end hopefully stop the brain and talent erosion to neighbouring countries. Water, for me, is almost at the bottom of importance to put our focus at this very moment. Moreover we need to support our farmers, and not to press them further with more regulations, costs and expenses which put our farming products VERY

un-competitive (high prices here locally!) compared to other countries'.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 557

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Centralised control has less understanding of, and interest, in local conditions. The distribution of assets will be more contentious and less equitable.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The model proposed is too narrow, is there any reason why the Government cannot provide the same level of financial support to existing local councils it is proposing to commit to the new model. That would be more efficient, more effective and a better use of the full range of established resources.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Privatisation is inevitable, regardless of what the Government proposes at the moment. The proposed model has such inherent inefficiencies within it that privatisation, down the track, will be viewed as desirable by all parties (apart from the individuals who will be making money out of this proposed system), including the government of the day.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

There should be elected representatives only, appointed members are a waste of space on committees and only serve to promote the erosion of democracy this country is presently undergoing. Leave the existing local councils in place to continue to manage water assets but establish a proper Government Ministry with contestable funding.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Ratepayers and users of water will be paying extra costs regardless of whether it is directly through rates to council or rates plus additional water charges to a separate body. In the end, users pay. The loss of Council staff is hypothetical, I have yet to see this happen. If staff go, consultants come in their stead. It will make no difference to ratepayers or the bottom line of the Council's financial balance sheet.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I don't believe there will be savings, not that could not be achieved in other ways which still allow the local councils to control their water infrastructure assets. Any sales pitch which involves the promise of major savings has to be viewed with the greatest scepticism.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 558

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Don't know](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Don't know](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Don't know](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Don't know](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Don't know](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [561](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

This government has proven appointments aren't skill-based .

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

Complete lack of trust and faith in the Government's ability to implement a fair, sound, workable system

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 564

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I think this is asset theft and I am not happy about it at all. The council should not accept this madness

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think maori getting any more of a say with the ways our water infrastructure is managed is racist and won't help anyone but the elite maori in their pockets

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Please don't let this happen. Our water is fine and this is a national disgrace

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 565

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Good to spread costs over everyone in NZ. But given the sheer amount of bureaucracy this creates (4 levels as I understand) actions will be slow and time consuming, leading to wasted costs. If we are copying Scotland, we should copy their governance approach. Otherwise we are creating an untested structure that would impact all of NZ

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Public assets of this significance should never be for sale. There should be no options for private sale.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

Too many layers, this is going to lead to time consuming decisions and wasted costs. Why not simply mimic Scottish Waters governance structure??

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Governance/structure way too complicated and bloated. Seems to add even more bureaucracy than what we have with all of the councils running everything

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [567](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 569

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Public Health and the environment appear to be the two underlying reasons these reforms are necessary. Failing city storm water services are evident on my street and in other suburbs.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

While one hopes that with sufficient planning and oversight that the cost of water services will be less. This will only happen if those in positions of responsibility for these services are weighted towards: * water engineers * water scientists * environmental scientists * experts in the practices of designing and laying new water systems * people who have had long experience in attending to fixing and mending water systems * and those with a strong history of sound delivery of these services who will listen to these experts and provide good oversight and leadership; rather than more political positions. In other words, expertise driven.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The selling off of the formerly nationally owned electricity services to private companies during the 1990s provides the lesson here. Local Waikato users were originally allocated shares in these newly formed more local electrical companies and received small annual dividends from these. Within a short time the pressure of company takeovers and the temptation of a small windfall from these relatively small shareholdings if their holders sold, led to large private company ownership, lower overall investment in infrastructure and ever increasing prices to consumers. Profits now go to the much larger shareholders in these major companies where pricing structures are frustratingly opaque to consumers. Private ownership of the waters must be completely ruled out.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is

appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Concern that we would likely end up with not just two lots of rates per year but three lots plus another management 'empire'.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The council feedback information appears to contain opaque information given that there is so much detail to grasp. Also, this document would benefit from a list of terms and abbreviations listed at the beginning.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **571**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

These practical and worthy results may well get lost in the setting up of committees

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

remedial work is needed, it seems, but this is a costly way to go about it.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The present system has these services run by the council . They are not privately owned. Not need to change .

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

There is the fear the setting up of new entities costs more than expected and so less is available for actual water treatment

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 576

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Good idea. Makes it less likely to become something that is competitive

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 582

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Thousands of sick people in Havelock North , not to mention four deaths, were an utter disgrace. These reforms are long overdue, and taking away the responsibility for public health and the environment from incompetent bureaucrats at local authorities can only benefit NZ's local communities, as well as the country as a whole.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Having worked for a large co-operative business for thirty years, my experience is the synergy of four large administrative entities would be considerably great than that of 67 councils - not to mention the current inefficiency of most of us being affected by the variable water infrastructure of multiple councils. The Government's Three Waters proposal makes perfect sense, and they are far more likely to have gathered accurate statistics (including financial measures) than any local authority - even the major metropolitan areas like Hamilton.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The privatisation procedures appear sound to me. Legislation shouldn't prevent privatisation, if that's what the people want. It should just make it difficult, so we can be certain that the great majority of NZ's population want privatisation, should it occur. The proposed measures appear to take this into account.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Anybody who doesn't have a right-wing political agenda should recognise that the financial structures, as described, make good sense.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

If New Zealand doesn't accept the Three Waters proposed legislation, we will have many more unnecessary deaths due to contaminated water, water mains bursting in (Wellington) streets, and all-round water infrastructure disaster. It's inevitable. And the privatisation of that water by a future National government is only a matter of time. Only dog-whistling right-wing politicians with a personal agenda would preach otherwise.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 583

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think they would be effective in preventing privatisation

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

[Agree strongly](#)

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

[Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform](#)

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [591](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I support the view that all New Zealanders are entitled to good quality water and it should not be limited to cities. I believe the reforms will ensure that all people get same minimum service.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I don't believe there are any measures that could 100% protect against privatisation even the current setup with councils. But the government's approach is ok but could be strengthened by making all parties agree but this may not be possible considering the current political climate.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

Whoever ends up on the boards should be required to take training about the places they represent and ensure their views consider all parts of the entity role. If they are not familiar with all the communities' needs they make effort to find out.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 600

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Roles should be filled by qualified people and not by people who think they just have a right to be there

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **607**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 608

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

No,one owns the water .

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

No,one owns the water

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Generational New Zealanders own the water

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Collective ownership by all New Zealanders

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

No one owns the water

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

No one owns the water , I trust my local council then I do the New Zealand government

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **610**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I give my trust to the government and have faith the government will do good things to make people have better life and cost less!

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [611](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The most important thing to note about such reforms is that we are at least stepping in the right direction of holding a discussion about the problem. This means that at the bare minimum if we are seeing conversation surrounding the topic we will be able to move in a positive directional change. The only problem that remains is we have to be careful about ownership as stated before it is not clear who owns such things and when it comes down to emergency decisions or any decisions for that matter the group we will have to appeal to make changes becomes unclear.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

There will definitely be increased costs in terms of how we run our water services for the short term. The hope is that if put costs into our water services for the short term that our long-term costs will be cut as we will not have to compensate for damages made by our carelessness. The only problem will be that the people might not like the increased short-term price and the inevitable reality that those prices might not come down but they will theoretically be lower than they would have been. This theoretical viewpoint of lower costs will be hard for the general public to understand and might result in people abstaining from such changes.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think this view is fine it allows the option for privatisation to occur in the event that for some reason people lose faith in governmental infrastructures being able to securely deliver water. This, however, has an extremely high bar to pass making privatisation very hard and would not occur because of a few people deciding that we should privatise it and allow our water to be extremely monetised without consideration for the people. This is the way that I would want such water infrastructures as if we stopped believing in our government specifically to give out a simple necessity such as the water we would have other major problems that are not just who owns it.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The financial structures are not completely set in place so its impossible to propose whether or not it definitely will be better but I would say that having an individual structure to work on setting a price that benefits the individual location there will, in general, be better financial structure.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

I think the government is definitely heading in the right direction I just think there needs to be more clarity surrounding all parts of the reform. Clarity around ownership and clarity around the financial structures for the most part. Something which allows us a deeper insight into specific changes that could be made. Right now I am definitely in favour of making some form of change as this is a growing issue that has been exacerbated after many climate issues. If our goal is to help the rapid change in climate control this is a step in the right direction of positive change for our climate

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the

reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [631](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Sorry my English is not good, I do the survey the best I can](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 633

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 634

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 638

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

With the way our council has our water systems set up with the water being paid for inclusive of rates, I see that our costs of water WILL go up if this reform goes ahead as water meters will be installed and turned on hence all households and businesses will be paying for water over and above rates and other expenditures on properties. Also, with costs being shared amongst all New Zealanders, there will be a mass of infrastructure required in areas within New Zealand which will need to be paid for. I'm contributing already towards infrastructure within Hamilton which is fantastic and I applaud the work that is happening within this city, so now why can't there be assistant payments made to councils who are in need of rebuilding their water networks from government and add these costs of rebuilding those networks to all New Zealander by usage of our governmental taxes.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I just hope that any thoughts of privatisation are quashed and not brought up again as this increases costs for New Zealanders as those entities will want to increase their bottom line and not think about the actions of that requirement.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

There wasn't an option to say that they should stay the same as they are

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 639

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I don't know how some councils will be able to afford to upgrade their infrastructure without this reform.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

It seems patently obvious that the reforms will provide better equity across Aotearoa New Zealand.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Future privatisation is a significant concern. I would like to understand the evidence behind the safeguards put in place, to be assured that they demonstrate true safeguarding of these public assets. If the evidence is solid, then I guess this is reasonable. E.g., I query if 75% in a referendum is high enough?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

It's obvious that this new model will allow councils to focus on managing other issues instead of water (which has clearly been done poorly in some jurisdictions for many years). Under this new scheme, water also will be managed by people with appropriate skills and knowledge, which is far more appropriate than elected members with variable skill sets.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [641](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[It is an essential change as our population ages and the rating base struggles to fund significant infrastructure developments. Drinking water, storm water and sewage need significant development with city growth and climate change.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

We need to think nationally not just on a local scale.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

They must be strong like at a constitutionally change level. Privatisation means little accountability and the focus would be on making a profit not the 'social good'

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

No privatisation written in stone

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 644

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 646

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **647**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 649

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Centralised control generally does not serve the community well, as often bureaucrats are out of touch with what's happening on the ground.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Wellington ideas for local people generally end up costing way more

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Impossible with the amount of money corporates have to manipulate things

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

Keep status quo, keep council ownership and management

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [652](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 657

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Waikato will suffer as areas like Auckland will get priority for services!

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Central Government is the biggest scam of all. The decisions and control of water should remain in the region under the elected regional/district councilors. Therefore the people in the area have a choice on who represents their actual needs, not a central government that does not care for our individual needs. There are other ways to protect against privatization without taking over!!

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

Publicly elected regional representatives should make up majority!

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 663

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 664

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Government promises are not always kept. What will happen if there is a change of government?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I feel the cost to ratepayers will be greater if this bill goes through.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council represent the area's residents and have a better understanding of what is needed for our region. If they lose this control we, as residents, will not have any say in the matter and would therefore not be represented as well. We will just be a number on a great big wheel.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 666

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I can't see how a national organisation is going to know / understand the needs for each town / city and be able to assign the appropriate resources and priorities as needed. Large national organisations are normally less efficient, slow acting and ineffective.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Efficiency and govt dept don't go in the same sentence for me. This is just adding another layer of buraucracy.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Ignorant.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Why should Mana Whenua have so much influence on such a critical resource. I don't see this group having all NZer's interests accounted for. They are slow to make decisions and are well known to hold up resource consents. How is this going to be any different???

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Council rates may reduce but if FTEs are to increase then costs are increasing and the user will pay more one way or another, be it water rates, taxes....

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I have no confidence in this government to put together balanced legislation on an issue as significant as this. All they have done so far is impact the cost of living negatively - especially for the lower socioeconomic population.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 668

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 670

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Councils should retain planning and financial control over the new entities, to support the comprehensive strategic and planning frameworks in place for growth, development and placemaking (local and cross boundaries)

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [672](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree strongly](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 679

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Depends on what type of Government is in control. they do change there minds when in control of assets.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [692](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton’s drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton’s stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government’s reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Better water quality?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 693

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

If this reform will be led with Maaori knowledge by Maaori, there is high certainty that every thing environment will be improve significantly & far more beneficial for all living in Aotearoa. If this is the government's intentions to have Maaori advisory and actions led by Maaori with Maaori knowledge Aotearoa will be better off.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

If led with Maaori advisory, Maaori knowledge this reform will be highly beneficial for all living in Aotearoa.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

In the past Maaori allocated portions of our land and waters to non-Maaori with the thought that non-Maaori would care for our environment. Privatisation was privileged to Maaori long before colonisation. We had our own working system that benefit not only the people of the land but the overall environment as well. We lived one with the land, air and water. If government privatises with intention of knowing that Maaori knowledge will heal our land. Then yes. I agree to privatisation.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I don't care for financial structure. I care for my environment. My ancestral lands and waters are priceless. No fake money will resolve the problem created by colonisers. Action done by Maaori with Maaori knowledge is all Aotearoa needs!

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Government is led by a Female who is of Generation X and acknowledges the importance of Maaori and Maaori knowledge into all aspects of our environment. The hamilton city council can barely acknowledge the murderers that the hamilton city council have named the city central business district after. The hamilton city council is full of ancient white people. Enough said.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 695

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Hamilton has a well established drinking water network, but will need improvement with the population growth. Overall, New Zealand has some problems with wastewater and would be ideal to have proper means to prevent pollution into waterways

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I support the environmental side more than financial side

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 696

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Unnecessary yet again adding more layers and change for change sake

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Yet another layer of infrastructure changes will not improve and reduce costs - unnecessary spend totally

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 697

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 699

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I am concerned about privatisation of public services but hope involvement of iwi will safeguard this

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I don't think HCC is a good financial steward. They have made poor decisions in the past so I will be happy for water to be aligned with a different entity

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Stronger protection against privatisation in the future

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 700

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I am very experienced in drinking water quality as well as waste water / stormwater. 3 waters will not make a difference KEEP it local

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

This will be a user pays....with iwi taking their cut!!! I am staunchly opposed to 3 waters. If you knew my experience you would understand my reasoning. This is corrupt keep it local

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Not worth the paper they sign on...all about money

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

Too many people that have no knowledge in water merely filling their pockets

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

HCC and other councils make significant money from water assets this is now lost - 3 waters comes in and this country is going backwards

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

3 waters is theft of assets and will not achieve better outcomes for all. I am experienced in the water sector and know that this is wrong. If it passes I pray that Act / National reverse all of the damage as they have stated they will. This is theft and undemocratic

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 703

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I believe that the protections are there to ensure that they will always remain in public ownership. Putting it to central government ownership still means that it's publicly owned but removes certain biases that exist because of elected members.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 707

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **713**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **718**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [722](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [727](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Water and the way we manage it is very complex. I am amazed and impressed that we manage it at all. The amount of team work and skill contribution in order for the waste water plant to function in Hamilton is phenomenal. (I did a school trip there with my children once). I am pleased that Hamilton has some of the best systems for organising water in the country and wouldn't like to see our ability to make decisions for the wellbeing of our area reduced but at the same time hear the problem of other areas needing help and support to upgrade so everyone can have safe water and the best efforts are done for the environment. In all honesty I have no idea how to achieve what we are wanting in this country for water. It is above my knowledge base. Thank you to everyone who has skill and ability in this area. I wish you much wisdom, patience and help to work out what we are going to do to continue to manage this resource as best we can.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

It makes sense that equipment needs updating and this costs money and councils would have to recoup that from rate payers. If we go with 3 waters that bill is apparently not going to fall on councils and rate payers. (But won't it fall on govt and so tax payers?) Somewhere along the line we will have to come up with the money to pay for the upgrades.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Confused. We don't currently have any of our water privatised so why are we worried about this happening? Under the new 3 waters system it could still happen if 75% agree it needs to. It sounds all very complicated but I imagine it is complicated. Probably the current system is quite complicated too.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is

appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Well if the governments claims are true only good things will happen. We'll have better water services sooner it will cost the rate payer less initially but we will end up paying the new entity which effectively means we have another bill to pay which means we are still paying and possibly in the end end up paying more. council will get to focus on other things and the Water staff get a new employer and are no longer employed by council. Sounds like a lot of promises I hope they make good on. change is difficult for everyone but perhaps necessary and I do wonder about how we section the water up like the part Hamilton City Council looks after and does a good job on isn't impacted by the council next to us who aren't able to do such a good job. Aren't our waterways all connected so we all need to be doing the best job possible so the

water of Nz is good not just Hamilton so because of that I think I lean slightly towards the Government 3 waters idea because we need good things to be happening for all of NZ's water and this seems like the way to make it cohesive as it's all connected. God Bless with all of this I wish you all the very best to do good for everyone and our beautiful country.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates.

Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

may the best outcomes happen and may the team work be blessed as we all aim for the best water systems possible

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **737**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I dont see how this co-governance model is anything less than racist. either we are one country where every voice counts as one or the 14% of population equals 50% of the voice

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

there should be absolutely no co-governance with maori iwi

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

labour government and financial responsibilities do not go together

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

absolutely no co-governance model

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **747**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 750

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **751**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[No opinion](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[No opinion](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[No opinion](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 753

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Lived in NZ for 30 years and ive never had to boil water once. EVER. Council does well with the water so why change what isn't broken here.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

You have no real data to back up those figures and looks like its based on a worse case model. Whats going to happen when you take the water to the rates? wont they go up to cover cost as the council cant take in that income anymore

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

THIS IS THE ONLY GOOD THING YOUR DOING.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

In one sentence you say your appointing skill based team to run day to day and then a few lines later you say your recruiting half the board from Local Maori. You cannot pick someone based on race or culture or societal status. YOU MUST PICK THE BEST MOST SKILLED PERSON. That's fair.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

How can we talk about financial structures when we don't know who owns it. cmon.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Please there are some good parts like privatization, But some councils have done well and put alot of time money and effort into water and now the govt is just going to claim it all? Like wheres personal responsibility for the councils that have messed up, and why do the good ones get punished for the terrible ones. DONT GIVE PEOPLE A JOB BASED ON RACE. ONLY EMPLOY PEOPLE FOR SKILL, NO DIVERSITY JOBS, NO INCLUSION JOBS, JUST JOBS FOR PEOPLE THAT CAN MANAGE WATER. PLEASEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 758

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 761

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

There is no easy way of confirming the lower cost claim by 3 waters - I simply don't believe their numbers.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Don't see how this is any different to what is stopping privatization now. What is wrong with privatization anyway?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Looks like bureaucratic nightmare. How can a large regional structure oversee and manage to a community level let alone at a street and house level. Large doesn't mean better.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I don't believe what the government is saying - they are incompetent.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 762

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Disagree](#)

Better water quality?

[Disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Disagree](#)

Better water quality?

[Disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 764

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 768

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

There is no-one who cares more for local issues than the people living there.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Rubbish, the future costs cannot be guessed at, they will be higher than now.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

How much more time is our council going to have to spend defending our city when there are always other issues?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

Leave our water as it is. How many more people will be employed in this 3 waters, how much will it truly cost?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 769

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 770

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Disagree](#)

Better water quality?

[Disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [772](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think that the protections against privatisation are good.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 773

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

All I can say is the government is doing the right by staying clear of privatisation because it never worked in the prison sywstem.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [775](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **776**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

This Labour Govt just another promise they Will NOT deliver on

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Why change something they can't deliver on

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I'm sick of this Labour Govt. Have they an alternate motive for such a change.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Population increase is inevitable financial structures is part and parcel of growth

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I do not trust this Labour Govt. e.g the most important thing HOUSING not delivered

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 779

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 780

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

All I see is that costs associated with this will penalise lower sectors of the community.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Concerned costs associated with this will hit the lower income people . People now have hard time paying rents and I see this will only cause further rises in rents. Very suspicious of anything to do with Treaty of Waitangi and benefits of these reforms going where they shouldn't.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Government seems to be able to change things to suit themselves if necessary. To many looking after their own interests.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

In respect to councils There is so much stupid red tape and slow decision making that my faith in them getting much done when necessary is zero.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **782**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

It seems unlikely that the objective of these reforms is to aid privatization easier. In the long run if a government was committed to privatisation it could make the required changes in any case, so said protections are largely irrelevant.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I fully support the transfer of water infrastructure assets to an independent regulated body. Water is too precious a resource to be managed by local politicians whose interests inevitably lead to populist short term decision making.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 783

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Would rather see local councils provide more local communication to community on what our status is on our water supply and health and what is being done to improve it. As a rate payer I want to be communication with the local council not trying to communicate with one of the four committes.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

As a rate payer whom is paying 327.00 a year towards for water rates. This new system and costs will put me into hardship regards new rates cost.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Honestly I feel too much privatisation is killing New Zealand and taking its toll on us as New Zealanders

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

With Hamilton City Council and Enviroment Waikato set up the way it is I am very happy as a rate payer. Why take away and have the set up and add finacial strain on the community and rate payer

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

As a rate payer and long standing resident in Hamilton. I am strongly against this Three Waters bill.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 784

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I believe council control will be more reflective of the needs of the Hamilton communities wellbeing, future development & framework

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I believe the ownership must be held & maintained with the local council

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

In my opinion, I think the proposal is flawed & the current be status quo

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Costs to the community need to be controlled & affordable to the residents. I believe local council will have be closer to its community to understand what it can & can't afford

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 785

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Not happy with who is going to run this???

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

dont trust the government to make a correct decision

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 789

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

It's good. imo as long as the gov't is competent enough, privatisation is something that shouldn't happen unless if you want to kill the country.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Don't have anything specific. I just believe that the smaller the group, the better. A large organization with many people at the 'top' tends to waste a lot of resources vs a small efficient team. Make the team as small as possible and only get the best ones that you can get.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 790

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

We have to absorb the excess wastr water from other regions. This will have an impact on council spending such as building new treatment plants

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Does the costing takes into account the population and other users such as businesses and factories density ?

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Privatisation will incur less cost to the government. But will increase cost to the public by hiding behind new entities and its managements. And also putting it on efficiency and other rising cost such as fuels

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Will the rates and councilors remuneration keep going up ?

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Any information on how it will effect our rates ?

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [792](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[The new system will only place another layer of management in place with the resultant increase of costs for the consumer. It will not insure the most effective management of the system, but rather a representation of specific political groups. I cannot see how this will ensure the interests of public health and the environment.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The different regions have different needs and cross subsidy will become inevitable - local authorities who have managed their system well will have to pay for those authorities that did not manage their systems effectively. Will the new system ensure a reduction in payments to local authorities for water services to pay for the centralised model? The net effect should not imply an increase in costs. Past experience with the government's obsession with centralisation of services increased costs significantly - the polytechnic sector is a good example. Annual costs have increased by approximately \$5 million per annum with no obvious additional benefits to this sector.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The current or any future government cannot give protection against privatisation. Given the history of the provision of services, authorities have often privatised services if it becomes too expensive for them to handle it politically. It may be a very useful idea to watch the broadcasters view on this issue at the following site:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?app=desktop&v=yZrmt-ooFO8&>

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 793

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 796

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **798**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Why are there only 4 areas for the 3 waters? And how have they been fairly decided? Why is there not just one area and everyone pays the same? HAMILTONS new area does not make a lot of sense? Are the figures predicted taking into account the many rural towns of this area? that would suggest this is pushing up our cost? There is not a lot of evidence that suggests these 4 areas are the best options for ALL New Zealanders.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Is it protection or is it the government privatising crown assets for interested parties? How is the appointment of people truly fair? Will there be a lot of communities and their leaders missed from being involved in decision making? In the end, how is any of this fixing our stormwater and waster waters, cleaning our rivers and water?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

It does not seem fair to ALL New Zealanders

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **801**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **802**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 803

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Please make tap water more healthy and fresh, cause it is not many people who drink tap water including me feel weird after drinking it and it tastes funny. Thank you

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

clean water in environment and homes, especially clean waters that is running from taps in peoples homes

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 805

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I'm against monopoly or privatisation

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **807**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Better water quality?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Better water quality?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **813**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 815

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Should be changed](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 816

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I feel the same as HCC on the regional management aspect, there is likely to be less understanding of Hamilton's unique growth situation.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Probably needs to be clearer

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Commitment or mandated requirement for funding growth that is already planned at a bare minimum.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **817**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Saying and doing are different

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Stop wasting money of speed bumps, have better training for stms because the signage and cone layouts are awful. Near the university is a great example of how terrible the safety of the roads are. Regarding the water I think people with better educated and are specialist is this region should do what is best for all regions in new Zealand without having to provide less to those who are doing the best, weither it be from the council or a seperate committee

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [822](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Seems good

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Don't know](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

More details on how this will be beneficial to the fight against climate change. Additionally, how this may impact our land and Iwis

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 826

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

N/A

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

They better work. Water is a one of the Fundamental Items required for all life to flourish. To privatise safe drinking water would be a crime against humanity.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

No Privatisation of water

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 828

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **831**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 836

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

How can they make any reliable estimate of costs for 30 years ahead when they can't even get the next year's budget correct.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Just words. If they can change the current structure then they could change the new structure as well at some later time.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Mana whenua has too much representation, given that they may have representation on

Councils as well.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **837**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 839

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Locals should be looking after locals. We don't need any other board

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **861**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Mana Whenua should be a key stakeholder but should not be guaranteed a seat on any board.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

No guaranteed seat at the table for Mana Whenua.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 863

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

This is not the government job it is a Local thing. the group will be out of touch with what is go on and correct their own home area first.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Cost will blow out in all area. Two many fingers in the pie

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Must not be privatized, Overseas shows corruption to the highest level. This is starting to smell a bit as it is. Not sure where it is coming from, but not happy with this at all

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

STAY AS IT IS - no three waters.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Will cost the Rate payer MORE

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

NO THREE WATERS

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 864

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Firmly in favour of protecting against privatisation

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Very concerned that assets have been snatched by stealth from our council

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Fail to see worth in flawed undemocratic underhand process that has resulted in this legislation being quietly progressed to this point without opportunity or encouragement for community input

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [866](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[I think the reforms sound like a great idea](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Great

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Seems like a great proposal overall, excellent!

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 871

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I have answered don't know to some questions because at this point they are just promises and quite frankly any government makes promises but rarely act on them. I feel the Hamilton city council does a great job as it is and that is because they know the area how it works and how to sort issues, having an outside entity running the show will fail unless they have the utmost robust systems in place.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Said legislation proposes these things, once again how do we know? Perhaps they should be charging overseas companies to remove spring water from our natural springs to bottle and sell back to us an amount of money that would go towards reducing costs to residents. For a country that has plenty of natural resources and rainfall there is a question as to why we must pay for water? Paying for infrastructure is understandable.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

No representation on the board required? This is where the first issue will arise, a CEO and a board of old white men (let's be real this will happen) will not benefit residents or communities as much as it should. Corporate business models should stay in private business not in running our governmental (local or national) facilities it will never benefit those who the service are there to serve.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

There needs to be much wider representation throughout all levels. Our country is very different to what it was and representation should reflect this.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Would the council be paid for the assets or would it simply be the debt removed. I believe the balance after the debt is removed should go to the council to fund other services and community projects. If I had something to sell for \$100 but had a debt of \$30 what happens to the balance,?

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

I can see that possibly there could be benefits and there is the possibility for anything to happen here. There needs to be changes made to protect councils and residents. This is a major change and although it looks like a good idea we just do not know how it will play out. I would like to see much more regulation put on any entity taking control of any assets in this country to protect the people past present and future of our country. I would hate to see New Zealand go down the path of a totalitarian system.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

They “say” we would save money but you can guarantee we would be hit in the pocket somewhere to cover any cost. If the government would actually allocate our tax dollars where they are needed instead of things like working groups, and stupid reforms and un-needed legislation we could have an amazing country again, Bring on the elections.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 877

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

If an area has a problem put a team in & fix it. Centralising is all about government taking ownership of water

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Neglect by some will be paid for by good service of others

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Do not believe protection will last Government should stop trying to take ownership of water.
Have had enough **** taken out of me already

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Over valuing & or under valuing of assets will determine share? The money the government is throwing into this would be better used to pay off council's water service debts

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The use of the word mandate to do things is incorrect when this whole thing was not part of any election promises Election promises were things like Kiwi build

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 882

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Just a grab to get people to think it's a good idea. cheaper rarely means better. costs will work their way in eventually

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Sounds like an open invitation for bribery and corruption to get a 75% vote

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

Dodgy

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 890

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I believe the Govt's protections against privatization are more than adequate.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Taking into consideration that there may be changes to the reforms including financial contributions, based on the overall (all councils) feedback, I support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [891](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree strongly](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 893

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Despite reading all of the material provided I honestly don't really know. It feels like a lot of the detail is missing from the Government's proposal. Which I suppose is one of the big issues with it

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Again, would love to see where the figures come from

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

It's nice that it requires a unanimous vote from shareholders etc and is thus less likely but I hate that it's an option at all. I suppose it's better this way though than individual council efforts being bought off by rich influential offshore entities, assuming that can be done currently. I just don't know all of the rules. I am glad steps have been taken to stop it as I think it absolutely shouldn't be allowed to happen, but why don't they make that so explicitly? Maybe make the only people able to buy it the government or something, by law?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Is the loss of income from water services going to be a major? I guess there's a lot of cost dropped too so probably will be fine... I guess?

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

More details and transparency on the proposed changes

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I ultimately think that Three Waters is a necessary step but it would be nice to be a bit clearer on the full picture. Still, if push came to shove I would endorse it

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 894

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Totally disagree on the 3 Waters and our own xxxx just sits on the fence with no opinions but to follow this government like a sheep.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Nonsense

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 895

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Hamilton City Council has a good record of building and maintaining it's water infrastructure however the existing stormwater discharge, of our older areas, directly into the Waikato River needs to improved. Many other existing communities/districts would benefit from the pulling together of both monetary and knowledge bases to improve and maintain their infrastructure.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Some large metropolitan areas have the benefit of increased rates income to finance and maintain infrastructure, while many existing rural or smaller communities have large areas to cover with limited resources to maintain or improve their systems.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The proposed protections against privatisation clauses appear balanced and good. there is never any certainty as in the past Governments have privatised public assets (though almost invariably this has proved detrimental).

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

For well resourced and better funded councils I do not perceive a lot of change but for those councils struggling to maintain their services something has to be done sooner rather than later.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 898

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

the government should keep its nose out of local body business they are only going to make a mess of it

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

the government should not interfere in how it is run now i dont agree with any part of the system

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 908

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [911](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 914

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

If the people that run the system arnt using it they have no obligation to keep it running exceptionally Small water schemes will not benefit as people are ok with it currently however beauracrcy will just make the process terrible The people who are in charge need to own the asset so that it actually lies with them.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I believe that treating the system as several main entities will actually increase the cost substantially as you need to pay for the bureaucratic BS. As people are expensive. the wait times are going to be longer it's just a terrible idea. It will not work efficiently as you cannot treat everyone under one roof and will severely disadvantage both rural and urban people

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I have no issues with privatisation it however the privatisation should pay the actual value of the asset not just be given. Then the assets value must be used within the city for roading projects, something that everyone can use. I would also expect a citywide vote not just a select committee of 1/2 non city representatives I would also expect rates to decrease as a result and be charged a water bill

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I strongly believe that boards of people can be removed and let the people vote on the major changes Through the use of technology. This allows councils and boards to limit their hate and blame the voters. The main reason I disagree with the roles is that with the iwi just given 50% of the say 1vote is effectively not 1vote for everyone This is not needed as the makeup of council and government is actually representative of the % makeup of the community and in most cases overrepresented and that is fantastic however just giving away half the votes dependant on racial and tribal makeup is actually against the treaty of waitangi as we are meant to be held as equals and at this point the Maori will be held as greater if it is implemented it is also against the rights of indigenous people as democracy is already part of the nation so has no reason to be worried about. Let me ask you this, if you were living in your house that u own and the government forces you to give power of what happens in your house over to them and then half of that power is given to non elected individuals how would you feel. You still own the house however they could demolish it put more people in it or even kick you out. This is absolutely stupid that this can even be an option

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I have inferred that councils use money more effectively than the government as it has to be used within the city this means that the government will actually use money from taxes to

improve or change or even run the water scheme. This means that one city with a good water situation will actually pay for the upkeep of a poor one through taxes and the system they are trying to implement. The council only had to deal with their own scheme so it makes sense that you just leave the council to it as it will cost the same no matter what. I do believe the council can cut down on non required spending such as speed bumps and narrowing of roads in situations that make the road more dangerous but the council still has the ability to do a good job far better than the governmental and non elected individuals.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should

keep control of their water assets also because the current model is flawed and racist and needs to be looked at in a way that will enable better spending in the end this system will be reversed very quickly back to the council so it will be a waste of money to implement

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Just don't do it, please ask if I am able to do a verbal comment to the actual government as they have not allowed that due to the national opposition, this is an item that should be at an election asking if you support the reforms

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 918

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Greater access to funding allows access to upgrades and maintenance that will increase the quality of our waters. The issue would be fair and equitable distribution of funds as the four functional areas are relatively large

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

As long as the members appointed to the RRG are selected via the means stated (skills and merits based) and proper due diligence is conducted I believe this is a good idea. The proper Community views should be communicated better and hopefully a more transparent management process is the result

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree strongly](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 920

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Dont really have any as i do not have much faith in our current government

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 922

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Every city would have their on demands based on the geographical conditions and populations. Would the reform be able to have tailored solution for individual cities. ?

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

It would be good if HCC could continue providing free water service for Hamilton residents.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Sounds like a good approach.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

in cost reduction

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [924](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Much more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Much more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 925

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I strongly disagree with Three Waters and it should stay in Local Council as it is now

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 927

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Much more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Much more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 928

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 929

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 930

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [931](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton’s drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton’s stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government’s reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don’t know](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [934](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 935

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 936

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

My concern is if low income, renting families who already struggle to meet weekly expenses being required to pay a water subsidy. On the governance questions, i wasn't sure how the Waikato River Authority would be impacted by the new legislation.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

concerned about low income families who currently live week by week needing to pay a water subsidy.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [937](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[I hope that it will make it more likely that all water will be fluoridated to reduce tooth decay](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 938

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

All for it.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

More Council involvement.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 939

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [942](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Don't know](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Don't know](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Don't know](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Don't know](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Don't know](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [943](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 945

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I am fully against privatization of public services, take a look at America for an example of private public services

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 949

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Although Hamilton appears to be in contiguous area for its entity I fail to see the logic of including Nelson/Marlborough in the entity comprising mostly the eastern lower half of the North Island - plainly dumb. I feel that Hamilton's water services are largely well managed and there could be some diminishment of these services if excessive expenditure is needed in other areas at the expense of providing services to Hamilton.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Protections against privatisation appear sound at face value, BUT there appears to be a lopsided voting system where Hamilton would only have 1 vote in any of its' entities dealings - surely this needs to be more truly based on population.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

At both regional and sub-regional representative level the groups need to be comprised of people with appropriate governance skills. For too long NZ's local government committees have been open to barrow pushers who don't necessarily act in their community's best interests.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

protection of assets is paramount

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Protection of assets is paramount and there must be mechanisms at operational level to ensure that future investment, as well as meeting the aims of 3 Waters, is fairly spread across the different communities in each entity.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 950

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Make sure there is sufficient funds in the Kitty.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Make sure any complaints about the service are dealt with quickly.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Want people involved to have adequate understanding and excellent qualification.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

don't overcharge and the people suffer

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Its complicated, I've done my best

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [952](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I do not see how the reform improves current management and how it will prevent the increase in cost mentioned.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The possibility of privatization of our water is a great concern. I support the governments approach and will further support any other measures that can inhibit the future privatization of water.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I support the reform but do see the importance of local bodies making decisions on their local conditions and i am worried the reform will bring about a depersonalized system that will lead to wrong decisions through disconnection with the local conditions

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 954

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Much more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Much more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Should be changed](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 955

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

No comments.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

No comments.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Neutral.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

No comments.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

[Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform](#)

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

[No comments.](#)

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 959

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [961](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [962](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

It seems to provide protection against privatisation, which is necessary, as resources such as water should not be privately owned. There is a possibility that privatisation could occur but needing 75% of the votes seems to show that privatisation would be difficult.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 967

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 968

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 969

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I don't believe them !!!!

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I don't agree Maori should be given half the say on running it

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Another example of tax payers money wasted.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

FRUSTRATED

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **973**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Not strong enough.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Stronger conditions in relation to the protection of privatization/foreign ownership.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 974

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

BIG NO TO WATER METER IN HAMILTON.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

These proposals will just increase our rates as taxpayers . also BIG NO TO WATER METER IN HAMILTON

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

BIG NO ' TO NEW PROPOSALS And NO TO WATER METER in Hamilton

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

These proposals will just create bigger expenses .

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 976

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Local councils are better to control their own issues.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 977

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

N/A

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

N/A

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

On July 28th 2010, The United Nations declared water and sanitation to be a basic human right. Resolution 64/292. Therefore water and sanitation belong to every citizen, resident and tourist within New Zealand. As long as water and sanitation is available to everyone, and is owned by the New Zealand Government on behalf of all New Zealanders.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

N/A

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The utilities asset owned by the Hamilton City Council on behalf of the rates payers. Are financed by the rates payers and local & national borrowing. If the Government is planning on moving forward with the changes (3 Waters). Subsequently the utilities assets exchanged between the New Zealand Government and The Hamilton City Council must receive a fair, reasonable and agreed value that is competitive on the quality of the utilities assets.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Water & Sanitation is a basic human right. Between the New Zealand Government or The Hamilton City Council. As long as all humans within New Zealand have access, then I am happy.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **978**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 979

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Leave it as it is!

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Try using the money this reform has wasted to pit towards councils infrastructure upgrades

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 980

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 981

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [982](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton’s drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton’s stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government’s reform here:

[That they think about the people more and there health and safety.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

cost to the people is going to go up and down regardless, its about money and not the people.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

what about maori that own there land, what happens there?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

not 100% sure about this area,

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

no comment

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 983

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 984

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree strongly](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 985

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 986

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 987

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 988

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Do not really have a view on it.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 989

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Public referendum is good

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I think that keeping our water controlled by local councils allows each council to better look after individual areas, as a larger overreaching body is more likely to miss things than boots on the ground

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 990

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much less likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [991](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 994

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

It seems like bit of a mixed bag, but honestly I don't really know. It could swing either way. I don't really believe in the effectiveness of the government, but I also hate private companies and don't want to see our assets sold. No idea

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

I don't know enough

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 995

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 996

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The proposed changes and reform make sense, Hamilton city council currently do not have the ability to meet the needs of infrastructure for Hamilton's future growth. I do not agree with the scale and size of the new reform areas.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Un sure.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

areas for the reform and government structure

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 999

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1000

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Should be changed](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1002**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[No opinion](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[No opinion](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[No opinion](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1004

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I agree there needs to be strong provisions to protect against privatisation. Water should be kept in public ownership.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I totally disagree with the different levels of representations, and the 50:50 co-governance with Iwi. Hamilton's input into the delivery of water in our city will be greatly reduced. There will be unnecessary levels of bureaucracy that will cost more and lead to slower responses to issues

in our city. IF reforms go ahead, the regional representation needs to be simplified and should reflect the region based purely on population size, not race.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

I believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets AND
I do not support co-governance

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I don't believe a combined entity will result in longer term savings. It is too easy for the Government to say costs will be lower under their model, but not have to prove it. Already the numerous layers of bureaucracy and their associated costs indicate that costs will be higher under a regional model. As a large city with an excellent water system, I believe we will end up subsidising towns that have not invested in their infrastructure. This will cost Hamiltonians more than what we will pay under the status quo. I also don't believe that council will completely reduce rates by the the current cost of providing water services. We will end up paying twice - the part of our existing rates not reduced; and the water rate from new entity.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1007

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Adding Bureaucracy never fixed anything changing water quality letters costs money but doesn't change the water out the tap, we still have some of the best and safest water in the world there is no city in this country where i wouldn't drink the tap water

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

not unless you pay for water out of Tax's and not rates why doesn't the government just lend councils interest free for infrastructure lots of money spent on reforms that wont change a drop better spent on infrastructure that will

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

management of such a large area with competing requirements everyone's going to be disappointed and paying more for less.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

lot more like its done now each council making decisions on its own requirements

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

rates wont reduce or they would soon increase to the same level but water rates will go up instantly and continue to rise we will be paying 3 lots of rates just ask Auckland about water rates if you are going to do this fairly pay from tax's and company water taxes

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

that increase is only the inflation amount doubling in 30 years is below inflation rate this sounds like creative accounting and manufactured justifications

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1008

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

N/A

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

N/A

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

While the Hamilton City Council would not be controlling it anymore, a lot of the same infrastructure and systems will stay the same and keep being used. I think that the Government will not privatise water systems.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

N/A

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

N/A

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1009

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The theory sounds great but history and experience shows actual results are not being reached

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I would like to see greater influence for local community

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1010

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1011](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1017**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[No opinion](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[No opinion](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[No opinion](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1018

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Government reforms are lead by people who are inexperienced in water management. This is extremely unwise

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

No clear plan for delivering savings. Poor track record of delivering results such as kiwi build, housing crisis and failing health system. Water management services should remain with local councils. Government should focus on delivering on health services, policing and housing.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Keep the existing system and then privatization is not an issue. 100% council is a fair Democratic solution.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Leave current system in place. Referendum needs to be held for proposed changes to be fair and democratic. Make up of roles can't be race based as this is not democratic. Selection needs to be based on knowledge and experience to deliver solutions not on skin colour.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Unlikely to have clear visibility of costs and would lead to increasing project costs

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

How reliable are the current government cost calculations. They are wildly optimistic to push 3 waters policies. Once 3 waters change is made if savings don't eventuate the structure can't be changed back.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1020

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Can we start enforcing and charging faemers for their run offs eslecially in the Waipa river

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Admire the inclusion of manawhenua

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1021](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Preventing privatisation of information that should/needs to be available to members of the community is a must

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

more help will be needed for individual or smaller community's

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1022](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1023

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Currently Waikato do not have water metres. I am gravely concerned this will be implemented under 3 waters. Our rates have almost doubled recently and yet our family's usage of the cities facilities has not increased.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

against

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

As stated before we have already received a significant increase in our rates with no benefit, to read there will be another increase for water makes me wonder what kind of living standards some Hamilton residents will have with the added pressure of a decreasing housing market. Middle and low income families are struggling to meet basic living costs, this feels like another cost being pushed onto people already struggling.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1024**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[No opinion](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[No opinion](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[No opinion](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1025](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

To me the system the government is trying to put in place would be worse than privatisation

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I do not agree with mana whenua having a 50 percent vote with non elected officials from 13 percent of the population. This is not democratic

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

How are the new water entities able to fund the investment in water services? Government money. Why can't there be a system where councils are able get access to the same funding?

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1026](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton’s drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton’s stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government’s reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1027**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

They will say one thing now, and sell us out after a few years

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1029

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1032**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton’s drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton’s stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won’t make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government’s reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don’t know

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won’t make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don’t know

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1033

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Any change to improve Drinking water is significant because Water for all living beings is life without it there is none.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

As I mentioned before, the quality of water is life for all living beings.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

No comment..

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

[I think that's is much better...](#)

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Non

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1034

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I guess I'm just having huge trust issues with this. Hamilton City Council is notorious for wasting rates revenue on stupid things and the Government don't do what they say, no matter who's in power. Look at the state of mental health in NZ and how it's managed, or Oranga Tamariki. Abysmal failures. On the whole, I feel quite negative about this whole Three Waters thing - it seems a case of damned if we do, damned if we don't.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

sounds quite well thought out.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

With whatever extra money you have to spend on other projects, please, please, please put it to better use than waste of money nonsense projects like that street that got all painted up and blocked off. We've had huge rates increases over the last few years, only for our money to be spent on such ridiculousness. For those of us who are in our own homes, but really struggling due to price hikes everywhere and for everything, it really gets us going to see our money wasted like that. Councillors are on a good income so probably can't understand....

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

I'd like options and more transparency with this. The whole thing seems hush hush and sneaky. It's not been a very democratic process.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1036](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The existing model has suffered from generational underinvestment, weak enforcement and conflicts of interests. In the Waikato we have had a 15 year strategy to improve compliance for effluent ponds in dairy farms. To date only 24 percent of farms are fully compliant, this shows systemic failure and conflict of interest e.g. monitoring virtually ceased during the early 2010s as the WRC failed to act on its statutory requirement to investigate egregious breaches of the RMA. One infamous comment at the time was that monitoring was 'too stressful'. We need to ensure that oversight is independent, free from short-term political meddling and that sufficient resources are dedicated to monitoring our water quality. Recently we had a detergent spill in the river at Te Rapa - as monitoring is so inadequate this has been a recurrent issue and unresolved. We swim in our local awa, my little boy got sick as a result of pollution in our awa. We must prevent this from occurring, the health of our awa should be paramount.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

History has shown e.g. electricity reforms failed on the cost front when not reinforced with consumer oversight. This model needs independent oversight and a strong consumer voice to prevent wasteful spending and price-gouging. I do support a national approach to our water infrastructure, particularly the 20% of people who don't have the essentials of safe water supply, but it also needs a regular and consistent pricing oversight to ensure we are not being overcharged.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I support any device that protects us from privatisation. A national asset should not be subject to unfettered capitalism as in invariably results in monopoly ownership. We have seen from the failures of the USA model of water privatisation where e.g. in California the Resnick family own what was supposed to be the state's water bank. They have become billionaires with their ownership of water - whilst ordinary Californians suffer water poverty, and many do not have safe drinking water, whilst wild fish populations die and whole habitats are destroyed by drought, whilst Resnick's associates and political beneficiaries have profited.

<https://www.motherjones.com/environment/2014/09/california-water-politics-drought-players/> Ditto the Colorado river now runs dry and Lake Mead is just about stagnant meaning Las Vegas may soon run out of electricity - the whole west-coast economy is being jeopardised by a lack of co-ordinated oversight of a finite water resource.

<https://www.thesimpleenvironmentalist.com/post/what-happens-if-lake-mead-dries-up-and-the-sw-us-runs-out-of-water> The Waikato river resource is over-allocated - from what I understand if all consent holders took their full allocation of water the river would run dry, much like the Colorado does and as did the Murray-Darling in Australia. This was a major failing of the current model - we have nibbled our way to over-allocation of the Waikato River by a complete lack of co-ordination and oversight, and a fear of tackling hard issues - i.e. telling large users they cannot have all the water they want, or must use their allocation more efficiently. We need to review the allocation of existing resources to take a holistic overview of this

resource - to ensure no individuals gain ownership of this vital national resource and that we protect the quality of our water and of the biota that depends on this water running in sufficient volume, and unpolluted.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

In the Waikato we have 23% of our population Maori. Not 50%. The fundamental concept of democracy is one-person-one-vote, regardless of skin colour, creed or tribal affiliation. The embedding of race-based over-representation is fundamentally undemocratic. Tying a vital water reform to a neo-apartheid management system is going to doom this model to failure - it is not democracy.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

It is evident that more investment is needed at a national level around the country. In Hamilton we have managed because ratepayers invested in the Pukete poo plant, and adequate water resources. Places like Queenstown, Waitomo and Havelock have failed in this regard because they have insufficient population base to fund needed infrastructure. I'm loath however to apply a one-size fits all approach - I think we need national minimum standards for safe drinking water, sewage and river quality - and decisions on resource allocation should be set based on these, perhaps contestable, so we can manage to prioritise finite financial resources.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Apply full democracy to the process - one person-one-vote .

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

democracy dɪ'mɒkrəsi noun a system of government by the whole population or all the eligible members of a state, typically through elected representatives. a state governed under a system of democracy. control of an organization or group by the majority of its members. the practice or principles of social equality.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1037

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The aim is an important one for continuing to manage costs to ratepayers and quality, although could be more watertight

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I assume these roles are paid, in which case it doesn't seem cost effective to employ additional stuff for tasks already undertaken from existing roles within council.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

More localised governance

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1038

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Going to a larger national lead organization never delivers better results for individual regional areas as the level of bureaucracy just increases and the level of accountability decreases .

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I feel people from our region will end up paying towards fixing other regions problems when that should fall back on the local authority and rates payers of those areas. It is like my son achieving 92 % in an exam and being asked to give 10 % of his marks away to another child who didn't work as hard for the result .

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I am firmly against any promotion of race based policy . I do not agree that mana whenua should have any representation over and above any other person or culture in this country of ours other than being elected through proper elections .

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

There could be some benefits to a changed structure but there needs to be full disclosure on how these multiple levels of committees and boards are going to be funded . No Race based representation .

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I am yet to be convinced there would be any more accountability under the new system than what we have now . If we feel currently the Mayor and other councillors are not doing their jobs we can vote them out of their roles at election time .

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1041

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

wont change

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[No opinion](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[No opinion](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[No opinion](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1043

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

How is four regionalised authorities supposed to successfully manage the public health and environment. Areas will be ignored. It will be harder for the average person to be heard over issues.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Privatisation is still possible, which means risk of rapid cost hikes. The fact that some councils have and some councils are considering dedicated Māori seats, giving IWI a greater say as they can be represented at all levels on both sides of the table.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

If iwi are given / have dedicated seats at council level that only iwi can stand in, and they can also have a representative at the other levels they can unfairly influence decisions and plans. It also has not clearly covered how we get people at each level. With council, we can raise our issues, and if not heard change them at the next election. With 3 waters they effectively become a Corporate identity with what sounds like little To no direct accountability.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I can not see the costs coming down. The HCC might remove the water section from their rates, but with the ever increasing pattern other costs will just be added. Then we still have to still pay for the water, including its new management, boards and offices

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

The structure will increase admin, doesn't appear to look locally enough for each area, and has the ability to be bias by iwi influence

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1046

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Hopefully water meters are added as well

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Excited about these changes, council hasn't prioritised water and it's infrastructure nearly enough

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1047

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1048

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

It's good to safeguard against privatization as all shareholders must agree to it. The further requirement of 75% vote through referendum to carry the proposal for privatization allows the community to protect themselves.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

The RRG should have some level of mana whenua requirement. How is a group meant to make appoint decision makers and decisions without the knowledge of the land in which the decisions

have an impact. Mana whenua are the key stakeholders in which these legislations effect and thus need the appropriate representation to reflect that.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

[Agree strongly](#)

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

[Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes \(please specify\)](#)

Specify:

[Read feedback on RRG structure](#)

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1049

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1052**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

This is a good thing. Will surely ensure future affordability for consumers

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

[Agree strongly](#)

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

[Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform](#)

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1053

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The measures that are currently being put in place are going to work well. There is already enough legislation in place to protect the water resources that we have.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The proposed government reforms will mean that the councils that have future proofed their existing water systems will have to subsidize those that haven't kept up with demand and maintenance. Example Auckland's population has grown faster than the Auckland council has kept up with its water demand. Consequently it has needed to increase its drawing of water from the Waikato river. If it had increased its storage capacity or installed a desalination plant then may not have been required. This type of poor planning and future proofing of their water services means that those councils that have sorted their water services will subsidize those that wasted their rates on other non essential items.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Protection against privatisation should not be required. This whole 3 waters rubbish is a waste of time and money. If the councils did their jobs correctly and managed this essential resource then there would be no need to privatise the supply and maintenance of a water system. Being managed by the council that has been voted in by the rate payers then that should be enough protection. If the rate payers were sufficiently strong enough not in favor of a council decision then they could get sufficient signatures to force a referendum .

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Why do half of the Regional Representative groups have to be appointed from mana whenua within its region. Maori only represent about 16% of the total population of New Zealand. Yet they receive half of the membership of the regional representative group. Surely this is discrimination and favoritism at the exclusion of ALL other ethnicities within New Zealand. I thought that this type of process would have been sorted out at Waitangi Treaty settlement time. Again the squeaky wheel gets the oil. What ethnicity were the majority involved with the recent protest at Parliament? Maori. It is about time the government put a definite time limit on all Treaty settlements. They are crippling the country at the expense of so many other services - Health, education and housing. How make up should be changed would be to totally abandon this 3 waters rubbish and leave it up to the local council to manage their own water resources .

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

This is again a typical example of government thinking they know best for the country. We are meant to be a democracy not a communistic state. That is what elections are for. LISTEN to the

people. If you don't then your actions will be reflected in the next general elections in 2023. All of this two-tier governance structure will just add additional costs that will be disproportionately required to manage this whole debacle of a system.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates.

Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The people of New Zealand have indicated to the Government that this whole 3 waters situation is just ridiculous. It is so much in favor of the Maori that it is unbelievable. I am sure that they will find some way to clip the ticket in their favor. Look at what has recently happened with regards the Minister Mahutu's family being investigated for favoritism with regards some contracts being awarded to them. The country has had enough of hard working New Zealanders supporting some many free loaders. When will it stop.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1055

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Much more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[No opinion](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[No opinion](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[No opinion](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1057

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The proposed structure looks very unwieldy, very costly in extra bureaucracy.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Need greater accountability. Should be controlled by representatives of the local bodies that currently own the assets. Who appoints Mana whenua and how many of them. They can be represented by the local bodies that currently have Mana whenua within their ranks.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

We, the people, are going to pay for any improvements one way or another. Three waters is going to be a lot more bureaucrats and a lot more overheads.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

These questions are misleading. For example, I don't believe the governments claims, I don't believe there will be any savings at all. It will just create a huge organization that will be expensive and inefficient. Just like the Ministry of Health. There will not be accountability to users or owners of the assets, like for example, Ports Of Auckland.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1060

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1061**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1063

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1064

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I am not convinced the proposed structure will deliver the superior level of management of the 3 waters the Government claims. What I see is an addition of another tier of bureaucracy with overpaid board members, most of who will not be water engineers. The reform is really an asset grab by central government that will deliver marginal benefits if at all. Possibly some help was needed for smaller councils with regards drinking water but the larger cities have the people and resources to manage the 3 waters in the present structure.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I don't believe the lower cost claim when current staffing levels are retained, plus the extra tier of 3 water managers and cultural consultants are added in. Just like the electricity reforms failed to cause the claimed reduction in electricity charges to customers, equally I am convinced the costs for customers will rise over time.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Not convinced as any law could be changed by a future government. I think privatisation is an inevitable result that would come from the proposed reforms. Mana whenua groups may decide to form a joint venture company with an international utilities investor to manage their share of the governance.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I don't believe there is any need to change the current ownership/governance of water services provided by councils. Some smaller councils may need extra help. This can be organised including capital investment, without the need to change the structures.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The likelihood of water charges rising for Hamilton ratepayers after the reform is much greater. Given that the 3 waters entity is not accountable to voters in local elections, like councils are, there is not the pressure there to avoid passing on costs or cranking up charges. Consumers will have no leverage with the new entity.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I don't believe the Government's cost saving forecasts as the structure gives the new entity monopoly control with little incentive to economize. Consumers will be price takers carrying the burden of a new tier managers and consultants on fat retainers and salaries.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1065

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I am utterly opposed to: 1) Three Waters and all forms of institutionalised race-based privileges and policy 2) Any move towards a more centralised state, expansion of autocratic bureaucracy and concentrated monopoly powers

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I am utterly opposed to: 1) Three Waters and all forms of institutionalised race-based privileges and policy 2) Any move towards a more centralised state, expansion of autocratic bureaucracy and concentrated monopoly powers

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I am utterly opposed to: 1) Three Waters and all forms of institutionalised race-based privileges and policy 2) Any move towards a more centralised state, expansion of autocratic bureaucracy and concentrated monopoly powers

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I am utterly opposed to: 1) Three Waters and all forms of institutionalised race-based privileges and policy 2) Any move towards a more centralised state, expansion of autocratic bureaucracy and concentrated monopoly powers

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I am utterly opposed to: 1) Three Waters and all forms of institutionalised race-based privileges and policy 2) Any move towards a more centralised state, expansion of autocratic bureaucracy and concentrated monopoly powers

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1066

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Only reason why I choose won't make a difference is because your going to do what you want anyway

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Taking water from anywhere has a impact on the natural environment, it doesn't matter if the council are not managing it a new label name just means new lies

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Needs to be truth and honesty for our future generations

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Have some one with fresh new ideas and an environmental expert on the board

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Money makes problems, water is being wasted, wasted problems means more jobs for wasted money

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1067

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I don't expect that the changes will result in a significant improvement in Hamilton's waterways, however, it's likely that there will be an improvement for smaller councils, particularly upstream within the Waikato River Catchment.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Accountability will be twice removed and that's unlikely to result in a more customer oriented approach. Costs will skyrocket due to this for a similar or slightly better level of service as would be delivered by a council such as Hamilton.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Insane. I'm not sure why Te Mana o Te Wai objectives could not be built into the entity's incorporation memorandum and appointing a board to deliver on these as well as on those of the rest of the community. Also, how do you get 50% board seats with no ownership? How does that encourage responsible governance? That makes no sense. Isn't that just veto power by another name?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Board composition should be entirely reflective of share ownership. Otherwise it won't matter what the shareholder views or needs are. The governance structure as proposed will only be responsive to capture by special interest groups.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Costs will skyrocket. No doubt. Solutions need to be considerate of practicality and costs. I don't see anything in these proposals that promotes that approach.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Change the governance structure. A CCO would probably better achieve this, even if it covers the same area as proposed. There needs to be a clear link between costs, benefits, and decision making for all - albeit indirectly.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The board as proposed would not serve the communities impacted. I suspect that is the reason for the proposed organisational structure. I am supportive of what the proposed changes are ostensibly aiming to achieve, but I cannot support these changes in their current form.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1071](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1072**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

As the services won't be for Hamilton only it would make better sense to connect Hamilton airport area which is in waipa council zone to Hamilton sewer system as it's closer and could even be extended through to Ohaupo village at far less cost than waipa could do it. this is the sort of thing that could make 3 waters work for us

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

It wont stop it

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

Only rate payers should have representation

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1090

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1097**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

We should absolutely never privatise, it's bad enough our water being bottled up and sent off shore for zero return for NZers. Foreign companies are making huge profits with no benefit to us.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Too rushed

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1098

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Should be changed](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1102](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

citizens will be separated from all entities with the layering of the Boards and the amount of people on these Boards, appointed by each other without any democratic consideration - it looks like a 'job for mates' type set up. Services and costs will be affected - who will pay these layered Boards? The tax payer of course and for what benefit? None that I can see. I do not see thousands of people dying in NZ from water borne diseases. It is more a political statement to justify the 3 Waters proposal

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Sounds good but we won't know until it is tested. Currently, we do not have privatisation worries but the fact the question has come up is worrying. Once the water management moves out of local govt hands, anything could happen and one thing Covid has taught us is any law can be shoved through parliament, under the cover of darkness, and the law can be changed to a form where it is not in our best interest and where we cannot do a thing about it

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

is it really necessary to have 3 layers of Boards to manage water? I don't mind mana whenua involvement so long as it is cultural and not commercial - to look after the water, not sell it off. If local govt and councils are doing such a bad job that the water needs to be taken off them, then shouldn't it be centralised with less red tape and not more? This would make management accessible and transparent, not, as proposed, hidden among the 3 Boards

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Rates reduced so we can pay for our water to these new entities where we will pay more as their overheads will be bigger than a local councils. Council infrastructure has been run down by central govt - the waste water treatment plant in Hamilton is currently being upgraded otherwise it was largely as it has been since the 60's...the new entities will charge more to get more money for their debt and pipe dreams

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Those financial figures are guesses and projections. The govt doesn't know what will happen over the next 30 years but I would rather keep the water control and costs and ownership local - you cannot live without water and some entities without any loyalty, essentially answerable to

no one, would have permission to do as they please. Water is life. It should be accessed easily. It should receive priority spending. It should involve experts who know what they are doing. It should not be taken further away from citizens.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1103**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

When it its working well leave it alone. HCC is doing a fine job now and meddling by the government and implementing new bureaucracy with all the added financial costs just means the the poor old ratepayer and taxpayer will be footing the bill for no gain.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

As already said this will only push prices up and services become less efficient as one sector of society subsidizes another and always happens when you create large unmanageable quangos based on extreme ideologies by well meaning individuals not living in the real world.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Typical communist/socialist meddling. On one hand the government wants things to be efficient, honest open and the best deal for the people, while on the other hand they want to have all the control as they know best, while making sure nobody else (privatisation) can possibly prove them wrong. Nothing democratic with this ideology.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Short term there will be a lolly scramble paid for by the taxpayer but this carrot is just a trap. Money will not be freed up for other things. The ratepayer/taxpayer will simply be paying for the 3 waters through other means. There will simply be additional boards and other time wasting processes that need to be funded.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I cannot believe how biased the Government scare mongering is and how dare they say that their reforms will save money. NOT TRUE 3waters is undemocratic as Councils cannot choose to opt out seriously! I do not believe the environment will be any the better as 3 waters will free up money for greater investment for growth and housing which means quality food producing land is covered in tarmac and little boxes. Adding more bureaucracy and increasing the numbers of boards and additional people involved is costly and mostly unproductive. The idea of bringing in co governance and giving special representation based along ethnic lines is racist and there is NO place for this in this country. I have paid rates in Hamilton for too many years, but largely think HCC has been doing a good job regarding water delivery and I do not

want our water assets taken away by anybody.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1105**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The organisations set up by Government have proven time and time again to be demonstrably ineffective and self serving for those appointed to them .

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

An absolute red herring . Local Authorities which have considerable capital invested in their systems and effective engineering divisions will not fall prey to privatisation . One local authority many years back went down that path and ended up with expensive and poor service to the residents and inherited back a run down and unmaintained system when the agreement expired .

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Not just changed but the whole Three Waters proposal should be thrown in the rubbish bin where it belongs and burnt .

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Water is the most important resource on Earth . Hamilton is fortunate in that water services are well planned and maintained by effective engineering and planning staff . As the city has grown it is recognised that additional facilities are required to service that growth and there is cost associated with such . It is better that Council and the ratepayers deal with the City's issues directly rather convolute matters with Three Waters involvement .

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The Government should concentrate on providing direct and effective aid to those authorities and communities who need and ask for assistance in respect of water issues . The question is whether the Government can even actually provide a valid and competent engineering and design group along with financial assistance to solve those authorities' and communities' problems .

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1107](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Select from just new Zealanders don't choose between race.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

It will just produce another bill and increase house hold costs.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1109**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Don't know](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Don't know](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Don't know](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Don't know](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Don't know](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1110](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Good water systems will be pulled down to the national standard and poor systems lifted to the standard adds another level of government interference and a whole new ministry to be funded. Will cost more for less.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Yea right.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Disagree](#)

Better water quality?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Disagree strongly](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1111](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[I think pragmatic centralisation is good but efficiency is key.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Again, not reinventing the wheel 67 times should produce efficiencies but it all depends on implementation.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Ultimately you want the biggest bang for your buck

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The efficient running of the structures is key. Keep armatures and politicians out. The more centralization and rationalization the better but still focusing on service and delivery.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Rationalisation is crucial in a country as small as NZ. Reinventing the wheel many times across the country should be avoided as should political interference beyond proper governance controls. Efficient structures are also crucial. Get the right people on the job , give them the tools and let them get on with it. The crazy short election cycles, petty bickering and short term thinking is holding us back.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1112](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[The reform is probably in the interest of the majority but not necessarily in the interests of Hamiltonians](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

In the middle of a recession is not the time to set up this type of commitment. Easy for me to say because Hamilton is not that badly off

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Unwieldy and too many layers. These type of restructures always cost more in every way

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Too many financial unknowns for the end user.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I believe it is better to continue with the status quo in the current climate. Councils struggling should receive targeted Government assistance

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1113**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The cost projections make no sense, if they will additionally charge for water. per household, then why would the rates need to be raised to compensate.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Provided there is transparency around who the shareholders are and how the surplus is being spent

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Transparency as to who is appointed to the roles - if it is made up entirely of Nania Mahuta's

family it would be concerning for all.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Provided that each region is treated equally

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1114](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1115**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

1. Added bureaucracy will cost more and lead to slower responses and less sensitivity to community needs. 2. The 3-Waters initiative is mostly political in nature and will produce conflicting aims which will affect services and costs.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The protections against privatisations is effectively eliminating competition. Without the threat of competition from private enterprise the bureaucracy will have no motivation to deliver the services that the public is paying for. There will no reason for the board to be efficient and hence the consumer will see constantly increasing charges.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Actually I think 3 Waters should be completely dumped. It didn't matter how they rearranged the deck chairs on the Titanic, once it hit the iceberg, it was going to be a disaster. This case is the same. Creating a bureaucracy with no competition, no matter how you organize it, will result in poor service to the community and sub-standard performance.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

As I understand the proposal, the tax and rate payers will still be backing the 3-waters financial structures. This removes the moral hazard for the businesses, which will lead to imprudent borrowing. Anyone who runs a real company would think this whole scheme is the dream of idiots or politicians and makes no business sense, except to people who stand to make money from it.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City should keep control of water infrastructure. Any other structure that was given control of the water infrastructure would be faced with the same challenges and costs, but would also have additional costs which we would not know until the organisation was set up. I do not believe the cost estimates I have seen so far and I believe they are grossly underestimated (as government estimates always are). I believe the 3-waters proposal should be limited to the setup of a national water standards and policing organization. Hamiltons'

water infrastructure may need reorganisation but should remain as part of the local body

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1117](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

They r doing a bad job

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1118

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Three Waters will not improve water quality or lessen costs to consumers but will take away local ownership. A centralised system is not a model that will give local people an affinity and feeling of ownership of the water and the feeling of taking care of something that is precious to our community. Local people will always care and react more rapidly and appropriately to their own assets.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The change in itself will add costs to supply and make it harder to contact the people who provide the service, should this reform proceed.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I disagree because the changes would result in more government control of local resources and therefore less control should privatisation become an issue in the future.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Retain status quo.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Services, including water are a key feature of local government responsibility.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I am against central control of local assets and would prefer to retain the status quo. Currently citizens have the ability to petition for changes and vote for the people who control these assets at local body elections.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1119](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[I am being parochial here, but the environmental systems in place within Hamilton are very good. To maintain this level we need the current financial input to continue. I fear that under the proposed model, our financial structure could be directed elsewhere to our detriment.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I am dubious about protection further down the track. Governments have varying ideologies and cannot be fully trusted once in power.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

Don't agree with an additional management layer. As with our medical and power management systems, the costs of the bureaucracy created, outweighs any potential savings. Better technical advice than what we enjoy at present, is unlikely. Don't agree with the management/ownership model. A 50/50 split between Iwi and other New Zealanders is unworkable. Critical decisions could be 'stonewalled' A 25/75 split is more sensible and equitable on a population basis.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

For the preceding question, no one can see 30 years into the future!

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1120](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think that the Government's protections are shallow. There is no substance to this and there is a high chance that when push comes to shove, these protections will fall through

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1121](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Some areas of the country will require more improvement than others. Hamilton will not be rewarded for having maintained a high standard.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Government forecasting of costs has been so inaccurate that its hard to believe their data.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I dont believe or trust this government. I fail to see why any board should be selected on race.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

All board members should be selected on their qualifications and proven ability.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I have faith in the council being able to make decisions and the government should help finance new treatment plants.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Some areas of the country need more support than Hamilton. We will not be a priority.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1122](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton’s drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton’s stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government’s reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1125**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

It sounds like the changes could be achievable but will need a lot of time and money and upgrade pipes and stuff

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I don't understand how it will be cheaper to reform, the money still has to come from somewhere and it sounds like you'll need a lot of money to achieve what you want to achieve

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Heck yes. Leave it with the people!

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I mean it seems like it will benefit the people and seems like it would reduce costs to councils so it seems like a win

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1126

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

water quality everywhere in nz, how will all non reticulated properties be monitored, or does everywhere mean only part of nz that can be taxed.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

i dont agree that low income residents in large citys should subsidise wealthy holiday home owners in coastal towns.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

i agree with caveat that a media campaign to sell has to be balanced with a not sell for funding to avoid a repeat of the early 3waters media campaign that was misleading

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

i cant see how i will be better of financially in hamilton and can only see extra layers of civil servants benefiting from the new roles created. i think it would be better to enable criminal charges to be brought against councilors for not enacting good water management plans.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

hamiltons current debt will remain with the council, is this why they cant say.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

cost doubling in 30 years is just inflation, so there are no savings to be had from the reforms for hamilton. very misleading

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1129](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I'm satisfied

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Reform is urgent, and this is the best (if not only) proposal on the table

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1132](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Leave Councils alone who know their communities, those white collars sitting on their fat backsides don't know the realities, including most of our politicians](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Lots of blah blah blah. Graphs and numbers can be made up by anyone proficient at spreadsheets. Lots of words similar to politicians that only portray what they want, or think we want to hear. More surveys, consultant groups, waste tax payers money.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

People in existing council jobs would lose them, you want them to move, really. Then your so called NEW board members, white collar fat **** cats living in mansions in the cities, don't know the realities of small well advised communities and happy with their lot. Nah not fair or right.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Lots of words, only ones who profit are the well to do, usually white collar fat cats

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

All ****

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1134](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I support protection against privatisation.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Just hope that no one city gets preferential treatment. Although in saying that if there is a city that is in dire need of reform and other cities can afford to wait (health wise) then that city should get sorted first, e.g. drinking water.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1135**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1136](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

As may be a trend in comments, due to the lower logistical footprint per capita in Hamilton (due to the population density of an urban area), collectivization of resources to cover a larger region may increase the economy of scale but will inevitably result in urban residents (such as in Hamilton) subsidizing less efficient networks. Where overall costs for water utilities will decrease for all of New Zealand they will most likely increase for urban areas. This is especially true of urban areas that already have well-maintained infrastructure (like Hamilton) which would have to subsidize areas that do not have well-maintained infrastructure. The idea that increasing the economy of scale will offset the forecast costs from the government plan to the extent stated while further increasing responsibilities, stretches credulity. Either their numbers are wrong, or they took the worst-case costing and compared it to their best-case costings to look good. You don't get 50% cost reductions over 25 years unless your lying. Upshot: good for wider NZ bad for Hamilton

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I'll believe it when I see it. If the 1859 Waitara Purchase taught us anything it's that there is always a way to privatize in spite of public opposition. If the govt want to privatize they will.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Not so much changed as to keep water management in the same organization it already is. Last checked Hamilton City Council wasn't dumping raw sewage in waterways (looking at you Wellington), chronically mismanaging their reservoir (looking at you Auckland), or poisoning their residents (looking at you Havelock-North). If the above were happening then sure change governance, but it isn't.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

From what I understand, the benefit of Three Waters is that it removes infrastructure costs from the council. The negative is that it will remove the ability to leverage the asset value of water infrastructure for future loans. However, there is a collection of unfunded projects with a sizable pricetag that need to be completed which Three Waters could fund, along with a small sweetener in transition. Sounds nice. The downside is that these projects will be competing with every other project in a frankly vast region (some much more pressing) for funding. IF there was a statement of intent that earmarked funding for new and ongoing Hamilton projects as a priority for Entity B then the financial structure looks beneficial. In the meantime, it is swapping one unfunded project for another and losing council assets (which to be clear they haven't yet clarified to what extent council ownership is maintained).

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

Because the organisational and financial model is heavily skewed away from the interests of Hamilton residents in favour of the wider region.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for

Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The savings Three Waters offers appear very attractive. However, the economy of scale cannot negate inflation + base operating costs + further maintenance + new infrastructure projects by roughly 80%. It smacks of picking the least/most appealing financial models to put the reforms in a favourable light.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1137**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

This is a bad idea. He Puapua / UN Declaration being brought in by a Prime Minister with a socialist agenda.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1138

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1139**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1140

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Needed water for Healthy to drink out of the tap water. Because needed less chemical in the water to keep the water healthy and Safer for people to drink out of tap.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Cut down the water Cost. we need to pay extra cost for water usage. Because All people need water for the showers, Plants need Watering as well. Because it need More Nutarians as well. Its should be free o charge not paying so much money on water usage

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Financial structure need to spend wisely and Keep it low cost for people to pay for some of the things need to pay like fees.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Reduced the low cost. Rather paying so much money on thing that some people can't afford to pay in full. Because it over charging people that is on the low income. some of things need to reduced low cost. and not over Charged of people for the Usages or other thing as well.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1141](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

There are too many unknown costs

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Do not believe them, just see power companies

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

They should not have control, other than a local level.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The proposed reform has unfounded reasons for change. Hamilton's 3 waters are generally well looked after

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1143**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1145**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[No opinion](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Should be changed](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1147**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I personally have no confidence that this will improve any health or environmental aspect at all

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

They appear on the surface to be adequate enough but I don't have any confidence in the government changing this at anytime in the future

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Due to the fact that the government is taking assets from councils that's owned by rate payers there can never be a substitute for the 100 percent control and ownership

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1151

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

It has been rushed - not thorough enough consultation feels like its going to be rushed through regardless of what the public thinks

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Costs will go up - loss of regional control and a disproportionate governing body across all demographics of the community

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think they are weak

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

They should be more equitable so more representative of demographics

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Loss of regional control will end up in less services and we will end up paying more in the long run as when things go wrong who will be accountable

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I don't believe the previous statement - basically its blackmail and bullying us into accepting the reforms ... where the evidence for us locally

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1152](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Ban 3 waters. Totally against it](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Don't believe anything this government says. Failed to deliver on anything.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Better leave with Councils. Against reforms

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1153**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Would Hamilton City Council drop their rates if the Three Waters went ahead??

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1159

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

As long as there are protections in place that it won't be sold, as once it's privatised it's gone and the people have no say

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1160

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

do not allow these reforms. This country is going down the drain. This is an asset grab and there is no excuse for it.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

do not allow these reforms. This country is going down the drain. This is an asset grab and there is no excuse for it.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

do not allow these reforms. This country is going down the drain. This is an asset grab and there is no excuse for it. This is yet more power grabbing from the Maori's. Do not let this reform go through.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

do not allow these reforms. This country is going down the drain. This is an asset grab and there is no excuse for it.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

You all know what these and other reforms will lead to. Do not do it. Your children will have to deal with the consequences if you fail to stop this grab.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

Co governance will wreck NZ

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

do not allow these reforms. This country is going down the drain. This is an asset grab and there is no excuse for it.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1163**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Centralization of many things looks good on paper but historically, the more distance there is between the people on the ground managing the assets and decision making, the more waste through unnecessary spending and neglect there seems to be. Setting up of the large business systems to run very large governing bodies will also need to be absorbed. Roading is a classic example of delayed of this type of system been implemented. Now repairs to roads require long winded applications for money, studies, consultants and discussion groups which not only delays the repair but adds significant cost. Centralization also leaves the door open to political interference.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

If government was really concerned about 3 water quality, they should just support councils financially that struggle either through rapid changes in population (holiday destinations where ratepayers are taking most of the extra burden) or have small and scattered populations making multiple treatments sites expensive and logistically difficult.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Provides safeguards is not guaranteeing that privatization does not takeover assets. This is a very bad idea as these decisions should remain local and assets remain local. This opens the door to overseas corporations taking over more of this countries valuable assets.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

As previously stated.....assets and the management of assets should remain local. There are already safeguards in place should central govt be concerned about local decisions allowing appointees to be stood down.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Changes should not be made to allow more borrowing. Changes should be made for efficiencies. eg leak detection

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Government cost data is rubbish

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1164](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1168](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Water in areas not treated are the ones that need to be made to chlorinate there supplies.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

We will be paying more for our water and costs will go up because of the one size fits all policy, Hamilton will subsidize those who have been doing nothing for their water supply.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Water should never be privatised. Each council should be responsible for their own supply.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1170](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[I agree just leave it the way it is.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Saving money always flops when it comes to the government, many times we have been told we are going to save money. We save money here then where do you allocate where you will make up for this loss?

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Don't like it. Don't trust it.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

What a complete waste of money, the millions and billions put into this for water, COVID19 had a worst impact than the water in all ways why are we wasting so much on this when it's fine as it is. That amount of money could do so much more for this country than fixing the water. Compared to other countries we have fabulous water, we don't have alot of homes for the people and families of this country who need homes. The amount of homes that could be built with that amount of money I can not believe our government is willing to waste this much money on fixing perfectly fine water.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

Because this issue us a waste of time and money, when, it could be put to better use.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Waste of money, saving money what a waste build homes for our people, living in motels with their families and you want to waste money on fixing water.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1173**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

There is not enough protection around the privatisation of a natural resource

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Three waters should not exist

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1175](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

There are sufficient protections

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

[Agree strongly](#)

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

[Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform](#)

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1176](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Each individual should really consider their usage and polluting habit. If enough awareness is emphasised to the public, maybe perhaps everyone can at least think about the changes that can start with them to make water safe and drinkable for us all.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1180

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

There is a question looming around solidarity from more advanced councils to the less advanced councils. Fairness is a big topic in the fabric of NZ. So I think it is just fair that the councils like Hamilton will / need to subsidize the ones with less money.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

We can not predict the interest rates of next week how can we predict the cost of water in the year 2050???????? There is a general risk that without optimization across all the water networks the cost will rise more than inflation. Measure have to be put in place to create efficiencies. These efficiencies are more likely to be delivered in bigger entities with more economies of scale. On the other site bigger entities have also the tendencies to become more bureaucratic and therefore less efficient and the needs of local communities might not be served to the accustomed standard. we must accept trade offs with any proposed change, the question is which.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

i have experience with privatization of water systems in parts of the third world. The biggest mistake every made. Has never worked, the cost went up, the service went down and the people / government were at the mercy of the privatized entity. We have to make sure that privatization in NZ can never happen.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1182**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[No opinion](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[No opinion](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[No opinion](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1185**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1186**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think it's a positive step - particularly as all shareholders would have to unanimously agree to any privatisation proposal. This makes me more comfortable that those decisions would be equitable.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I think there should be some model of election from the public of that region into either of those groups. I do think there should be Council members on the Board as well as the other

groups.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Changes to who runs the entities, or at least how the board is elected. Particularly the Regional Representative Group having public elected mana whenua AND local authority members

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1187](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[I now no how important this is](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Nothing

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Don't sell anymore water or give away water to other countries

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1188**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think privatisation of public resources are a bad thing. Anything that helps to prevent that is a good thing.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

[Agree](#)

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

[Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform](#)

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1190**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I personally support the government's stand against privatization.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I fully support the proposal of the government.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1191**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I think overall, New Zealand's water system will be better off due to the areas that are currently struggling having greater funding access and greater concern from the government to make it right. However due to Hamilton already having a pretty good system going, I think that at best it won't get any better, or it may even get worse than it is due to the new proposed water safety committee being spread too thin, and likely will focus more on the worse off areas.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I think that as far as the costs and efficiency of the water system for New Zealand go, in the currently struggling areas it will get better, but I think in the areas that are doing well right now, it will get worse, and I think that overall it will not be done to what could be considered a high standard, just the recommended standard, which are not the same. I think that a better way to go about it would be a case by case basis, where councils that are already doing a good job and have the access to funds to make it work, should be allowed to continue as they are, but for areas that are struggling, they should get the help they need to bring them up to par with the rest of the country. I think that this would make things more equitable for New Zealand, I see the new reform as a way to make things more equal, but this is not what is necessary right now, unless everywhere is brought up to the standard of the highest or better currently available in New Zealand then I do not believe it is the correct thing to do. I can't see a government controlled entity spread out across a quarter of the country doing a better job for a place like Hamilton than the council can do for itself. Though i can agree that it would be a very good thing for certain places, though there isn't any guarantee of them actually fixing the problems in those places either.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think that a combined safeguard of a unanimous vote amongst share holders, plus a public referendum requiring a clear majority of 75% in favour is a good thing, I'm not sure how one might make it have tighter protection without outright banning the privatisation on these entities. My query would be why run the risk if we do not want it to be privatised. It seems to me that leaving a chance however small it may be means someone wants it open to the possibility of it happening. Personally i wouldn't want my water system to be privatised i feel like that is something that the government or smaller governmental bodies should be looking after, as it stands now, so why run the risk instead of just outright banning privatisation.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

I would like to see a few more than 4 entities, so that each entity can hopefully better manage their area and not spread their selves too thin

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1197](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Our council does the right thing to three water](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The government will increase the costs

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

No idea

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

N/A

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

N/A

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1198**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

it's such a grand idea but it wouldn't make a difference with water supplies & costs of water today

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

The increase of cost with water

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1199**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Fit for purpose

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Flexibility that allows more than 4 entities if required in future

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I would like to see, built in to the proposal, the ability to adjust the number of Entities if the current number(4) do not fit the needs.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1200

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[No comments](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Remains to be seen. Privatisation may not happen in labour govt

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Don't know](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

[No comments](#)

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Don't know](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1201](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree strongly](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1202](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton’s drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton’s stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government’s reform here:

[N/A](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Costs will be increased by the government

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

It really doesn't matter

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

N/A

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

N/A

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1203

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Govt. has not advised which areas/councils need the initial infrastructure upgrade, which has big impacts on this type of policy. One size will not fit all areas within these proposed areas, and therefore could impede local infrastructure improvements. The biggest issue for govt. is to obtain the correct structure of govt groups/departments to adequately manage their core responsibilities. Water infrastructure fell over due to leaving this crucial infrastructure with MoH (ministry of health), who were always struggling to manage too much causing financial restraints. The same is. Is happening with NZTA. I believe the 4 geographical areas under 3 waters will create an unhealthy working environment, and within 8 years time the government will have to suspend these boards and appoint interim boards. The cost to infrastructure will be too high, there is still a regulatory piece missing in everything I have read. Stormwater Revolving governments do not have an investment in all these 4 regions, other than lip service.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Different governments will manipulate the cost structure of water services, and have more influence over these decisions, if the 3 water proposal goes ahead. Therefore I feel the government statements are inaccurate over the period and up to 2051.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I do not trust this statement. Some governments will look for monies to get NZ out of debt, and both parties have sold off asset's and resources before, so could easily happen again. Water is already being sold, and this government can't or didn't stop that.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

To me this structure looks top heavy, introducing various lines of communication, allowing for mistrust, poor culture and at time unnecessary conflict.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

All areas infrastructures will be reviewed and future plans revised. The most urgent will get the first improvements, and we could even in future years face a staggered approach, to support financial restraints. Currently Councils have an obligation to its people and can be voted out, but not these entities. Councils could end up staffing any mis-management of 3 waters to act on the peoples behalf. Hamilton city boom would need a different plan to smaller lower growth regions. I feel very uncomfortable for future generations, who will carry a huge financial burden.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Thanks for including residents in survey

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1204**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Don't know](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Don't know](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Don't know](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1205](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1208

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I don't believe this is going to make any difference at all. I am very against the government doing this and I can't see how this will protect anything.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1209](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[I am against the Three waters proposal](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Don't think hamilton ratepayers should be paying for the rest of nz

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

We should look after our own water for each region

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1210](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1212](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1213**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1214](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I certainly hope that Hamilton and NZ's water services would meet national standards, and that rivers, lakes, reservoirs in general would be protected. Not just because I'll definitely be someone drinking it in the near future, and probably living with the new water system for some time. Other questions are: Fish migration: how are they going to get around in the rivers, as there are plenty of pipes they can't swim up. Who's paying? If rate payers aren't paying for the running costs, we need to ensure there's enough finances being fed into this vital water-cleaning system. What's the game plan if, sorry, when contaminants enter the water? And who do you trust more: small local amateurs or big slow to respond government?

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the

reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

In my experience, government have good intentions and initiate well, but then budgets get cut, services get shunted off the radar, and we finish where we started but with less local expertise.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Worthless

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Layers of bureaucracy always make systems unresponsive. It is not my job to tell you how to do it, but I am not sure government has the insight, will or longevity to do these sort of things.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Sorry, it's about trust. I am not a modeller, I have just seen multiple governments make decisions based on their best interest (which they pretend is ours too)

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

looks very complicated and yet not enough detail to evaluate.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I would like to know that it is genuinely a better system rather than blandly accept your assurances that even though we don't know yet how we will work it out, that it will be better.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1220](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[A power grab is a power grab no matter what the alleged motivations....](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Bureaucracies never reduce costs. Big bureaucracies add to overhead while producing less benefit proportionally

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The proposed governance structure is an unmitigated disaster. No accountability. Dressing this nonsense up as protection against privatisation is misleading in the extreme

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

Councils are directly responsible now to their ratepayers and water users. The proposed structure piles layers of bureaucracy between ultimate decision makers and the end customer. It is smoke and mirrors.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Savings might occur. I have heard that one too often to be impressed. I have been around long enough to know that almost every projected capital cost is way too low, every operating cost way too optimistic and every 'saving', hopelessly over-estimated. Most savings are negative, i.e. costs. The current water infrastructure is definitely not perfect, but I don't see layers of non-accountable governance and bureaucratic structures improving matters. I only see downside. Sometimes good-enough is good-enough.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1221](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

It should be the decision of the owner to make decisions in the interest of the stakeholders. They should not be bound by a previous owner. The owner being the elected representatives

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

You could not have worse governance structure if you actually tried. It is unaccountable to any elected representative. Its not transparent. It fails the most basics rules of good governance. It is prime for nepotism, corruption, and inaction.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

There is a good argument that water services can be rationalised, and achieve economies of size. I.e Hamilton working with Waipa and Waikato. Perhaps falling into a regional council model. But the current structure makes no sense. Its aligned to iwi control. I.e small part of South Island is part of Wellington? The governance is terrible. So it won't.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

There needs to be regionalisation. This can be done with councils working together.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1226](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Nice to trial run to see the impact it has, then decide

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1229](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Confusing.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1230](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1232](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton’s drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton’s stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government’s reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[No opinion](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Should be changed](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1234

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1235](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1238

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

3 waters was supposed to be voluntary when first announced. The majority of New Zealanders are against it but this government is ignoring the wishes of the people and now pushing ahead with it. There will be higher water costs without a doubt. Councils will lose their right of control. Labour did not campaign on these reforms during the last election. IT IS UNDEMOCRATIC!!

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

There is no limit how much the unelected entities can charge for these new water services and no stoppage iwi groups charging water 'royalties'. There won't be any requirement for councils to reduce rates to reflect the fact they no longer control water services.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

There are no protections against privatisation. The 3 waters bill fails to provide final privatisation safeguard.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

The 3 waters reforms is a blatant power grab by this government. The whole advertising campaign has been misleading and irresponsible. Do I think any of the proposed roles of the proposed levels should be changed? THE REFORMS SHOULDN'T EVEN BE GOING AHEAD

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The financial structures put in place are to benefit this government and iwi groups and take ownership away from the NZ people

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

3 waters reforms equals zero gain for the people. These are undemocratic proposals. Listen to what the majority of New Zealanders want....not what this government wants to take away from us for their and iwi groups benefit

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1239**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[No opinion](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[No opinion](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[No opinion](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1240

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1241](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[I think the Hamilton Council is already doing a great job at looking after the public's health and environment. The government's reform is too complex and if there's any issue with the public health and environment specifically in Hamilton this issue might not get noticed until 6 years later under this reform](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Currently residents in Hamilton don't pay for water. Will the residents start paying for water with this reform?

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Good

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Don't know](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1242](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

As the government is pushing this 3 waters reform program without the public's approval, there will be an opportunity / loophole for the government to privatise this in the future. When this happens and a private company takes over, the cost will exceed what has been forecast by the government in the 3 waters reform program.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1243**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Should be changed](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

[Hamilton should have more voting rights based on its population size compared to other cities in the entity](#)

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1244](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton’s drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don’t know](#)

Hamilton’s stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don’t know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government’s reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don’t know](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don’t know](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don’t know](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don’t know](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

It is hard to know if Three Waters will actually reduce costs - where is the money coming from to fund this? Will we be taxed more?

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

Need more education around the costs to individuals, and would like to see greater input from Iwi

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Savings aren't guaranteed as we have no idea what the new entities will charge us

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1245](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Wont change anything

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1246](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton’s drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton’s stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government’s reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Don't know](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Don't know](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Don't know](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1249](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1251](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Hamilton City Council should work with the organization for the Waikato area

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1254](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

NA

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1257](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1258**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1260

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1262](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council does an EXCELLENT job managing our water! We do not want to hand the control of our waters over to the government, where we will not have as much say. The government does not need to control our water.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1265

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The reform is a load of rubbish, individual communities will not benefit from this and the money is going to be misused and spent on outrageous ideas that will only benefit a small minority to keep only small groups of people happy

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I would prefer to keep councils in charge of the water. The reform is only going to tax us in other ways to make up for this cost. I also don't trust the government propaganda

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think its absolutely outrageous that Manu Whenua will make up half of the RPG. Not only is that unfair on the demographic of New Zealand but they will have completely biased opinions on how the water should be managed and where the money will be allocated. I disagree the most with this part of the reform as it is opening the door for a corrupt entity to rise to power

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

It is completely outrageous that the government thinks they can make up 50% of the RPG with members from Manu Whenua. That has got to be the most racist and most biased Government scheme I have heard to date. The idea of this structure is needs to scrapped because otherwise the allocation of the money is going to totally wasted like every other government scheme in New Zealand. I would rather spend more money and know that it is being put to use in the community and not spent upgrading Manu Whenuas biased ideas.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

This reform will put metering compulsory in Hamilton. I would rather pay a rate than be metered. This will be how they will make up the money. Hamiltons rates are perfectly affordable how they are now, why change it

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

This reform is the biggest scam, Ive heard to date. The entity's are going to misuse the spending of the money

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1267

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I am the only member of my family that drinks tap water. If the Three Waters reform is implemented and committed in providing safe, cheaper drinking water in the near future not only for Hamilton but Aotearoa great. However it's not an easy decision where the water services are managed locally but under Govt it will be an enormous undertaking deciding about the water for both rural and urban areas.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The Govt's reform on the services and costs explanation and on the map layout sounds and looks reasonable enough. However its implementation and future fruitition will have to pray that the outcome is positive.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Govt's 75 percent vote to be able to privatisation is a good deterrent.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Better water quality?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The Govt's water reforms explanation etc I can see the positive side for future public health and the environment. It's a big undertaking and responsibility and as part of the community I am giving it my support.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1268](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Sounds fair

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Agree that more government explanations are needed, also can understand hamilton council would like to keep control of its asset. But like the idea of water specialists involved, keeping everyone healthy and investing in upgrades for the basic necessity that is water. I trust it's all in everyone's best interests.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1272](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Can make little difference.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I support.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

More or less agree.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I am overall supportive of reforms.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1274](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

As long as water service is extended toward Iwi and hapu that might be excluded

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Monetising peoples right to something is an abhorrent thing to do, so nationalising something is a far better alternative

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

I agree with most, but I think that the reform should encapsulate independent Iwi as well to meet the te Tiriti o Waitangi requirements

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1275](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Based on the information provided, these protections should be viewed as a bare minimum. It is of the highest concern to this individual, and should be to all New Zealanders, that our water services are not privatised, and especially not by any foreign corporation/power.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Roles at all levels should include local Māori representation.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Greater influence/ownership retained by HCC over their own water assets. Support/governance of nation Government over water, but with greater authority retained by local councils too.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1276](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Cheaper with reform, more expensive without bit of a no brainer really.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think they have done a good job, privatisation only benefits the the first purchaser as they let the asset degrade overtime with putting in more investment.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Financially makes sense moving to the four bigger entities.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1277](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

[Why,?](#)

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

What?

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1279

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

It seems that the ongoing reform aims to improve national water management as a whole, through building the four entities that are responsible to the four regions. However, I suspect that some cities already have the capability to manage the three water well, such as Auckland and Hamilton, because they have the resources, people and fund. The rearrangement of the ownership therefore may not make a difference in these cities. Nevertheless, I do believe that the reform can improve the water management in some regions which haven't got the adequate resources to manage the three water well, as the background context indicates. That is to say, the major population concentrates on the big cities and pay tax, while the reform seems mostly benefit the smaller population lives in smaller towns in which infrastructure and management need enhancement. As a result, I suspect that a national referendum may go against the bill, but the bill does benefit the New Zealanders who deserve safer water.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Again, I personally suspect that the reform may mostly improve the water related services and cost efficiency in 'under-developed' regions, because it seems big cities do have the adequate resources to manage the three waters and make future enhancement of their services and management efficiency.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I wonder whether the new arrangement of ownership would provide stronger protections against privatisation, compared to the current power structure. For now, towns, cities, and regions have their councils which I guess are expected to represent the views of their residents. Is this a good enough protection of equality? BTW, I wonder what is the negative impacts of privatisation on the management of the three waters? Perhaps some more information about the rationale of avoiding privatisation helps.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is

appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Perhaps the consideration of the number of representatives in the two levels of decision making groups needs to give stronger voices to the big cities in which not only more residents reside in, but also more economic contributions are made to the country. An approach might be changing the ratio of representatives, such as 1 representative per 5000 residents?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

It seems that the new model may benefit the under-developed regions by invest more money on their water related infrastructure and services improvement. A potential concern is whether the money paid by the residents residing in big cities will be used to achieve the improvement. If so, how much will be used? If some form of consent is required beforehand?

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Hamilton council and other councils should have stronger voice to take into account the views of the locals in future water related planning and management.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for

Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Thank you for informing us the important information about the reform and consulting our views.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1280

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

It is hard to say for sure if the services and costs will be more affordable after the reform, but as it is right now, it is at the liberty of the Councils to decide how much the rate will be for rate-payers and it is hard to say that priority will be given to the investment in the three waters infrastructure and services. With a more centralised entity, it might be easier to do it at a larger scale but the focus might not be for one region.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

It is good to put in place protections against privatisation, which can escalate the cost of water services. However, with the new reform, it is not clear what the cost would be for having a governance structure for the new reform in place. Would it be very costly to establish the suggested new water entities - would it mean more tax from tax payers will be used for this. Would there be a way to do it cheaper?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Cost and benefit analysis for new entities compared to the current city councils | How rates are suggested to be charged by new entities?

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1281](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Hamilton's water service is one of the best in the country as it stands now. As a citizen with little direct interaction with this service beyond a consumer, I have not had any qualms with its operation in the 20 years I have lived in Hamilton city, nor heard any stories of people dissatisfied. The only aspect that Hamilton's current structure is lacking/struggling in is budget. Not only am I apprehensive that this bill can meet the rapidly changing needs and upcoming challenges of our region, but I believe will directly result in a degradation of both public health and the environment of our current water service. With the water quality and management being so high currently, there is a lot to lose; introducing a new 44 man structure over a wider area leaves me concerned that the quality of our water will degrade due to time being relegated to other areas, and complaints take longer to address due to a larger organization being at the helm. Regarding environmental challenges, the current/future structural needs of metro Hamilton differ from Taupo and differ again to the smaller towns and councils in proposed entity B. These should not be lumped in together. Finally, a detailed analysis of whether this proposition will deliver on the proposed public health and environment goals is not possible under a condensed timeline.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it

more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Costs in the long term seem to be more likely to be lower under Three Waters, and is absolutely something that should be worked on with urgency. However, making choices motivated on cost first and other elements second is a dangerous path to take - especially since the difference in a 1.5 change and a 7 times increase would require vastly different approaches/strategies and may make this proposition more or less appealing. Regarding quality of service, I cannot see how a larger entity laden with 44 people managing many areas beside the Hamilton City Council's district can even begin to meet the level of service currently provided. Efficiency is not possibly on such a large scale for something with the levels of variability that each town, city and region has when it comes to water needs; I agree with the council that if changes must be made, an entity based on existing strategic relationships between the Waikato and Bay of Plenty councils would be more appropriate. Finally, with the council given so little time to look into alternatives, it is impossible to say whether alternative arrangements or propositions that the council could go with could produce similar cost reductions while retaining or improving the level of service to the community currently provided.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Water is a fundamental, basic human right. A service this integral to the rights of New Zealand citizens should not have any chance whatsoever of running privately, or becoming a for profit business. Frankly even the smallest chance of privatization of a resource so fundamental to New Zealand society is deeply unacceptable. If the government wants to protect against privatization, they can perform legalization at a national level to prevent this that is independent of other reform efforts.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed

reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

As previously stated, I find the currently proposed structure to be inherently flawed in a way no small tweaks can fix. A large group looking after such a large section of New Zealand will inevitably be stretched thin, and the share structure means that those who are attending the council will be coming in with agendas that have nothing to do with each other. If the proposed regional groups were smaller in both council size and literal area covered, it may be more feasible and have a better chance of meeting the needs of the area that is encompassed. However, I feel like if the expertise that would be integral to these proposed structures were instead offered to councils as they stood now, similar effects would be achievable. Additionally, mana whenua representation is incredibly important regardless of outcome; the fact that representation is not required in these proposed structures is concerning. There is a difference between conferring with mana whenua outside of an established power structure and those people having a seat and a direct impact in the water reforms around their area.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

There is uncertainty on the detailed financial impact on the Council. If the council cannot get in detail this, then they are making an extremely important decision about the future about the further operation of the council, their agenda and the future of their employees without all the cards on the table. That alone is unacceptable - how can an informed choice be made, when the information either does not exist or is not yet shared?

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

Not enough information to make an informed choice. As it stands, Hamilton City Council should keep control of their water assets; other councils that struggle with water management more may benefit from a larger structure. (I can't speak with confidence regarding matters outside this region - which is case in point almost, right?)

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I've brought attention to the time frame that Three Waters is operating on several times in this survey - the fact that speed seems to have been emphasized over the council's ability to make informed, researched choices for the service that they provide to their community concerns me more than anything else in this proposed bill, and that the council share this is reason enough for me alone to oppose it. You need time and information to make the best choice for your community - and that has not been sufficiently provided. The proposed entity seemed unwieldy in size even on paper and prone to getting bogged down with bureaucracy. It also seems like a structure unable to move to act in as agile a manner as the Hamilton City Council's covered region will need over the coming years if they need to concern themselves with other cities and towns as well. Either a smaller regional structure, or leaving things in their current state and offering help to the councils/regions that need it most seems more appropriate - at the very least, the council should have enough time to confer with the government to get the information they need, rather than rushing a decision that has billions of dollars on the line. Change can be good - great, even! And especially with the upcoming expenses relating to storm water, wastewater and likely climate change legislation, it is very much needed, too. But changes this big need to be done with care, and with the Hamilton City Council also apprehensive about the proposed changes I do not have confidence in the current proposition of Three Waters. Water should be accessible to all - that should be what guides the decision over anything else.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1282](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1283](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton’s drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton’s stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government’s reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

They're good

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1285](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Positive

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Why is mana whenua treated separately from local councils?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I do not think that there will be financial savings for the community.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1286

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The more things change the more they stay the same or unfortunately get much, much worse, example (Auckland Super City). Smart thinking by politician's who promise the public the garden of Eden and instead deliver ever increasing costs across every aspect of life, for every person living in this beautiful country. There is nothing more PRECIOUS in this country than WATER, nothing! I don't believe the government will do a better job than my local council with regards to managing water resources for the health of my family, friend's and the general public nor do I believe they will do a better job than my council with regards to the environment, everything comes down to human error, there's no escaping that whether the local council is in control or the government is in control however the local council has all the experience which most important, that's simply my opinion.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

My thoughts are the government will privatize in future.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1287](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Good

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1288](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[This Government cannot be trusted, it failed to deliver on a number of fronts.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Costs under this Government have increased with no added value. We cannot blame COVID and the war. Timber is produced in NZ....prices have increased.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Do not trust this Government. Note, I voted Labour but they have failed us

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Vote National and let them lead this country

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

We are in debt based on this on this Government. They cannot plan and deliver a solution.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

This Government cannot forecast anything, they are a total failure. The council can do better.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1290

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[No opinion](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[No opinion](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[No opinion](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1293](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Leave as it is](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I am not agree with government proposal.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Future is dark in the hand of privatisation

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I am happy with supply water by council.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Councils are doing good.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

They will increase price of water in future much more than council.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1329

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The need to ensure non privatisation but also need to ensure entities have control of their assets

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

More local representative

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1336

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The shares / 50000 people seems pointless given the single vote. Looks like they have changed the voting method to counter the larger urban centres but haven't cleaned up this artefact.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

There is a bit of mystery around some of the details that allows people to connect the dots. The voting system is *****. Seeing greater local representation at the RRG level would give a better view of how each region is actually doing and will give a better chance of making voices heard.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1337

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

need to spend more money on health and public safety

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

everything is already so expensive

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

mostly everything is private

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

mostly money spending proposals are not even good

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

no comments

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1342](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[It's clear from the amount of water lost, pollution and shortages that councils are not able to maintain or improve water management. Constantly cutting rates may win votes, but has progressively reduced a councils ability to do it's job. The reforms are needed to solve this issue with the funding and economies of scale that come from central reforms.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Unless the council can show it is able to reduce rates while improving services, it simply can't compete with the current proposals. Even a few hundred dollars a year can make a big difference to a household.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

State services are not profit generating. They take tax and rates then spend it on essential services that a private business could not provide while making a profit. Clear examples from the USA show that when services are run to generate a profit the public are harmed and provided a lower standard of service.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

[This clearly shows council will be financially better off from the reforms. This money can be spent on other community services such as the libraries, parks and roads.](#)

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

If the council will benefit financially, still have a say on decisions and rates will be lowered, after reading the provided information it seems like the proposals make a lot of sense. I was against them from what I've seen in the media, but after this I fully support the reforms.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1344](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1348

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1349

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The governance structure of the Government's reforms seems to add extra layers and inefficiencies, leading to greater costs. I don't see how these reforms will reduce costs at all, given there will be stricter requirements for testing, infrastructure upgrades, planning, and community consultation, as well as extra bureaucrats.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think the Government should simply legislate that water entities cannot be privatised as they are a public good.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

There are too many levels. If there is to be a larger water entity board (which I don't agree with) there needs to be a direct link with the councils. I would cut out the regional representative group. Its too convoluted and there is no avenue for accountability to the people who use the water.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The financial burden to the HCC may reduce but I don't predict an overall reduction in financial burden to the end consumer. We will just be paying two different entities (making inefficiencies in billing). If there is an 80% increase in the water workforce, (government figures), how will we be paying less? The increase in gdp and tax take are through more people engaged in these jobs, which the ratepayer will pay for. Also, HCC will still have to engage with the water entity for planning and development, so HCC will not be totally divested from responsibility and services in this area.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

I think it will lead to inefficiencies and greater costs.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I think there must be better/simpler ways to increase water quality, environmental outcomes and cater for development, while maintaining accountability to stakeholders. I feel the RRG is too removed from the end consumer. I am concerned that bigger entities will mean bigger costs, with no recourse if things aren't going well. I am opposed to these reforms as they stand.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1352](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Centralised planning will ensure the same standards throughout New Zealand](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Consultation must be more than informing - the current practice in Local Government ignores results of consultations

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Who reports to the Minister? Is it the Minister for Local Government?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

It is very concerning that the way the services will be charged to ratepayers has not yet been decided. On this basis it is impossible to know whether there will be cost savings to ratepayers compared to the current charges via Rates.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

We hope that the enormous unregulated salaries paid to CEOs of Local Councils (many equal to or greater than the Prime Minister's salary) will not be duplicated or increased in some of these positions. The salaries of all of the Board members and Regional representatives should be regulated by an official body.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1353**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

No problem accepting privatization of proposed scheme

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

There should be no place for co governance in the regional entity

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

No co governance

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1357**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[No opinion](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[No opinion](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[No opinion](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1359

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Would prefer smaller entities i.e Waikato/BOP not all of central north island

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Status Quo isn't working, why not try this out?

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1360

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The Government's statement on protections against privatisation looks, on the face of it, a good policy but there is no reason that the Government won't change this stance in the future.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

From my understanding the Mana Whenua representatives will have equal powers but this seems unjustified as Maori represent only 17% of the population. How qualified will these representatives be and what expertise do they bring to the table?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The current Government is totally inept if handling financial matters and this Country will be in debt for generations to come. There are continuing financial blow-outs in capital expenditure budgets and I don't believe the Government would handle the 3 waters proposal any more efficiently than the status-quo.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1363**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1364](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Hamilton’s drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton’s stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government’s reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don’t know](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Too complicated. Only increase cost for ratepayers. Also not good for Hamilton' future planning and development.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1367](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[No comment](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

[No comments](#)

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

[No comments](#)

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Much more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Much more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

No comments

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

[Agree strongly](#)

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

[Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform](#)

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

[No comments](#)

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1369](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Local councils know their area, their trademen, better than someone on an office, on a Board.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Setting a whole lot of Boards to manage all this will result in Board members being paid huge salaries/honorariums, and for travel expenses, while sitting in an office during endless meetings. I cannot see how there will be savings in this new structure.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I suspect it will happen in due course.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

We should keep what we have. Where Central Govt believes structures e.g. piping should be upgraded I believe it is better that they give that money to local councils to undertake the upgrade, using local experienced tradesmen.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

No comment.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

No Comment.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1370**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Bureaucracy !

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1371](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Hamilton city council is doing great job, instead of taking away govt should support by more funding](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Govt should support the councils by funding to treating the water and less cost for tax payer households and rate payers. Why govt cannot give by funding the same amount cut by 2051 as they are thinking to do. They should cut the amount on rate payers by support funding to councils so councils won't charge more to the rate payers in Hamilton.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Privatisation- I am worried about the level of the quality and service they deliver. I am also worried about rate of the household should be increased which will be more impact on middle class house owner. That also impact on a lot of rentals as owner will increase the rent because they won't afford any extra \$

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Low council yearly charges for the house owners

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Inflation is at high records. Please don't increase any further households rates even reform happen or not. Please support house owners.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1377**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I believe that national uniformity has to be prioritised across public health and environment, that the Government's proposed reforms will go some way to achieving this. As a population we are predominantly mobile, and should be able to be secure in the knowledge that our health and that of the environment is of a uniform and safe standard wherever we may travel to or reside in the country.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Ideally, the proposed Three Waters reforms should facilitate the implementation of a national standard, to ensure all consumers feel safe in the knowledge that what comes out of the tap and goes down the drain is safe, for the consumer and the environment, wherever they are in New Zealand. The proposed governance structure, while one would hope will function more efficiently, more cost effectively and with greater uniformity, also seems to be burdened with multiple levels of bureaucracy. While those currently employed within local council water divisions will seemingly retain their employment, one would hope that this is aligned with competency. At the consumer level, basically I am interested in being able to drink water from the tap, without the need to filter it, without worrying if the water is safe; and to be secure in the knowledge that waste and storm water infrastructure is fit for purpose and maintained appropriately. I live in a relatively new housing area, and waste and storm water infrastructure struggles to cope with the demand, roads flood in heavy rain, creeks and waterways are unmaintained and choked with weeds and rubbish, the local suburban waste water transfer station has been known to overflow and mix with storm water in heavy rains, all flowing merrily into the local creeks and off into a major waterway in NZ. In summary, my hope for Three Waters is that it will deliver on uniform standards and access, uniform accountability and affordability, much in the same way as I hold the same hope for the Health Reforms.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1381**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I like that it is more community and fair voiced rather than an elected individual representing entire regions

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1382](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

very necessary

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1386

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The focus seems to be greatly on national public health, as was expressed by the Hamilton City Council, which may leave certain communities in rural or outlying areas left by the wayside by comparison to those that comprise the majority of these bodies. This is probably my main concern on the topic.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The option for privatisation itself is a somewhat bleak one when discussing services that are vital for survival, but the protection itself is at least somewhat sufficient. I would be happier with an 80% vote from the public, but at least the option requires every member to vote unanimously before the issue can be raised with the public. That being said, the option for privatisation frankly refuses to sit right with me, given the propensity of many companies to falter and err in the delivery of their services, something which I'm sure every citizen in Aotearoa New Zealand has had to deal with at least once in their lives. This is especially poignant considering the fact that the water in this country should not even be privately owned to begin with, as per the Tiriti o Waitangi.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

The concept of the same entity dealing with the Waikato, Taranaki and parts of the Bay of Plenty seems utterly mindboggling, and I agree with the Hamilton City Council in that they really should review how this process operates and whether it needs to encompass so many regions, especially considering the fact that Auckland and Northland get one all to themselves while 90% of the South Island is managed by a single entity who delegates their roles.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

They seem fairly useful all things considered, an entity that works solely for one goal and is able to borrow more to meet that goal seems to be a fine concept. Issues once again arise over form, but I believe I've made my displeasure for the form of this proposal abundantly clear.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Privatisation (Especially of local council water), and Form. Overall good and water reform is immeasurably needed in this country, but I disagree on these issues.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Overall, as I stated before, water reform is undoubtedly needed in this country and we cannot abide by the current systems as the challenges New Zealand faces. However, while I support the spirit of the legislation, I cannot say the same for the notion of privatisation for waters in this

country, and the form of the entities proposed seemingly favouring urban communities above more rural communities, and believe that this portion should be reviewed in favour of a more balanced approach. Aside from these things, however, I do hold support for the notion of three waters reform.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1387**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

No to privatisation

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1388**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1389

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Then reforms will protect our local environment and health into the future and importantly give equity to all New Zealanders.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Costs may blow out but will be shared.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I believe that protection against privatisation regulations are important and at face value are a better proposition than the current law.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Hopefully our Council will be able to focus better on growing our city holistically without money and time and stress being diverted to ensuring our drinking water, drainage and waste water systems are safe and fit for purpose.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Water is the lifegiving resource for our community and environment. I back the concept that we should care about all New Zealanders and the environment of all of Aotearoa.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1392](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

government financial predictions are notoriously way off the actual eventual costs to taxpayers and factoring in decisions from previous historical agreements from treaties signed and reneged on just skewes any logical decisions that could be made.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

representation should be based on the proportion of the ethnic numbers of the population the greater the number of people in any given section of the population then the greater number of representatives on the governing body.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

[refer to previous comments section](#)

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

[In all the years that we have paid our rates and taxes not once has council lowered the yearly forecast for the coming year nor the government of any brand reduced taxes to help the general rate paying populus.](#)

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Better water quality?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1394

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The larger cities will benefit and then small communities will be left behind. (Just look at what has happened when Auckland took over, Pukekohe has not had their share of the . money spent in their area)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Privatisation is good if it is watched over with strict criteria. It can be more efficient, but could also cost alot more if not regulated properly.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The administration will become top heavy and costs will spiral out of control. Big is not better. (eg, look at the dairy company)

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1396

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I believe it should be left to council

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Council should have it

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Council should have charge

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Control of Council only

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Council need to keep in charge

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1397**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1399

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

At present costs for Waters in Hamilton and some surrounding areas are paid for and owned by local councils which in turn is funded by local rate payers by way of rates and when necessary by borrowed money. H CC owns these services. Under the proposed system costs costs will be increased because because of another layer of bureaucracy and unfairly because many regional councils have not maintained and upgraded and provided services in their area of responsibility. Extra costs will be born by all NZ rate payers or by government which means every NZ tax payer. I have chosen to live in Hamilton and have paid my rates and have been happy with the way my money has been spent. I am not happy to contribute to the cost of an extra layer of non elected bureaucracy or to subsidize other areas of NZ who have not upgraded their water services over the years or provided adequate to their population.. I would support the formation of a small Authority to set standards, oversee the implementation of these standards and provide funding loans for those authorities under take necessary work. This would make local authorities responsible for the supply and operation of their works and costs.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I am not happy with the Mama Whenua aspect the Governing body. It is not democratic and very definitely not in the best interests of all New Zealanders regardless of ethnicity. There is nothing in law that says 15% of the population should have 50% of the say and certainly nothing in the outdated Treaty of Waitangi.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I have voiced my views in earlier Questions.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Not enough balanced for and against given

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1400

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1401**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I do not understand why this should be proportionally 50/50 with Mana Whenua . Earlier it is said that it would not be privatised, but then in this section you talk about 75% voting against privatisation. Should it be even considered?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The vast amounts discussed is far too much for me to conceive.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Concern over vast amounts of debt Three Waters will start with. The large administration costs that will be inevitable before the improvements start.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1402**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I don't believe it will be any better than it is now

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I don't trust then not to privatise the water which would cost people more money & would fill the government's coffers

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I think it's all going to cost more because they will be employing so many more people & be jobs for the boys

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1406

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

While centralisation may allow a greater consistency of water care and management, there is a risk that cities like Hamilton which have good water care and management systems in place already will be less prioritised for maintaining that high quality in favour of enhancing outcomes for areas with poorer quality existing water care and management systems.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

On these Government figures (which Council have not challenged in the Background Information), it would seem that it will be cheaper to manage our waters through the Three Waters approach. But this is all theoretical: it may be that the projected costs-savings as a result of the Three Waters reforms will not be achieved? What safeguards will be put in place to ensure costs are capped for residents so that the saving the Government is promising is actually achieved? Is this just another project advertised as cheaper (and therefore better) but then the costs start to mount up and it ends up costing more than projected? Will Hamiltonians still end up paying more for their water (and have in fact been better off with Council managing it?) Will Hamiltonians get a rates discount if another entity than Council is managing the water? Or will we just end up paying more across the board to fund Council and the new entity? Higher rates are not a good outcome for Hamilton - and not sustainable - people are already really struggling financially!!! Is this just another restructure by the Government that doesn't achieve anything but gives the appearance of them doing something? A centralisation attempt that achieves nothing, and is then followed up with a subsequent decentralisation in another 20 years? If the Government want to improve water care and management, surely this can be done without this Three Waters restructure. They can just pass legislation improving national standards. If borrowing money to fund infrastructure upgrades is an issue, why doesn't the Government create a fund to support Council borrowing? While the problems the Government identifies need attention, it is unclear that the Three Waters solution will actually achieve the beneficial change required. People want assurances that the standard of water quality will be maintained and enhanced (or, at the very least, not diminished). For cities like Hamilton, which have done a good job managing out water, it seems like we're being asked to subsidise other parts of the country. It is unclear how this benefits Hamilton. It also fails to acknowledge the good job our Council has done on our behalf.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The protections against privatisation are strange: if you don't want the services privatised, why allow for measures (such as unanimous shareholder approval) that facilitate this? The anti-privatisation provisions are not sufficient and, in any case, a subsequent government could change this legislative position. So, it would seem a better safeguard would be for control and management of the resource to sit with local Councils, who are directly accountable to their regions. Council's mandate is to deliver these services, so it has no incentive to sell the resource.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed

reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

The partnership model between mana whenua and Council reflects the Treaty of Waitangi Principles (which is a good thing); however, as a mechanism for community representation, it is unclear that this is an improvement on the existing model?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

In general, centralised management often results in worse outcomes, particularly around increased costs and inferior service delivery. Local-level resource management can offer more tailored solutions that reflect community needs and this would also ensure that the community are more connected with the governance of the resources they use. While the costs projected for infrastructure upgrades are significant, Hamilton City Council may be better placed to deliver these upgrades than a more remote supra-regional entity would be. Hamiltonians may also prefer that that costs are incurred at the local level. Councillors involved in projects that incur significant costs remain directly accountable to the community in local-body elections, which encourages prudence - a direct community lever that will not apply to the Three Waters Entities. The projected costs will still be the projected costs, regardless of whether they are paid by Council or a different entity; and Hamiltonians will still bear those costs, whether through rates or distinct water charges. So the costs savings arguments here seem a little disingenuous, and designed to justify removing control of the resources from Council to the Three Waters Entity, rather than offering genuine costs savings to residents. The Government are asking us to take it on trust that this model will be better, but there isn't enough detail of how this proposal will work for us to be able to determine whether what is proposed will result in better outcomes. This is still too hypothetical and there are too many unknowns (how will water costs be apportioned?; how will residents' water costs be capped (if at all)?) to be able to compare this proposal to the existing service model. Additionally, this Government has a poor track record on large projects that are meant to benefit the community (e.g. Kiwibuild), so the lack of detail here is not encouraging.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council have done a good job managing our assets and delivering services to the community. Their record on this is proven, and they can be trusted to continue to manage our resources for the benefit of our community. It is unclear whether the Three Waters Proposal would result in such good outcomes for Hamilton, and there is much reason to be cautious. I support the status quo. That said, Council are encouraged to be as prudent as possible and remain mindful of the detrimental effect on residents that increased rates costs can have!

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1411](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[I think it be like the railway sell & buy back](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

why ?

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

joke

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1412](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I believe the quality of service will be much reduced.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I believe that the councils should be responsible for all water services in their areas.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1414**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Hamilton’s drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton’s stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government’s reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Should be changed](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

[Need to be more area specific](#)

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

more local input and adaption

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1415

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I don't believe centralisation of responsibility will affect outcomes. I do not agree with 50% governance by 15% of the population and probably 10% of rate payers

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Seems pretty tight, but I believe the co-governance model is deeply inequitable to risk and ownership

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

Governances should be on a skills basis, with equitable representation from different ethnicities and genders

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Changes to the governance model to better reflect the population demography

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1419

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1422](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Why does this new group get access to more money. More money shouldn't just appear when the government needs it to, to make something work better. Why do councils not already have access to these funds?

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

They don't seem to fairly represent the areas or the sizes of those areas

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

That last question is loaded in a government is alway right way and shouldn't asked.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1423**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Protection against privatisation is really important and the proposals go some way to providing the level of protection needed

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1426

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The new organisations will have much higher costs in wages which I don't think are reflected in costs. Will there be any control of borrowing for infrastructure as how will these organisations be held accountable. We won't be able to vote them out.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

It will virtually be privatised within the government, if they find it's not working they will change the rules to privatise and hand over responsibility.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

What is the criteria for the appointees, do they have the required Experience in this field. Who appoints who? The 50/50 representation is skewed towards mana whenua, this should be based on population of New Zealanders in the district, i.e. appoint the right people for the job, don't appoint just to make up numbers. This encourages nepotism.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

While rates may reduce, what will the new water charge really be, as in all previous entities that the government makes up, the costs continue to rise and no one can do anything about it. The council will look good for reducing rates but ratepayers will be still fleeced with a new water charge. The overall cost to the ratepayer will increase

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1427

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

You should provide more details on how you are going to improve the public health and environment. Not much on the methods that will be used is provided.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

All entities should have to comply to one set of standards

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree strongly](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1429

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Councils are a law unto themselves, forgetting that they are only CUSTODIANS of our money, but they will still have there agendas, and spend our money willfully, so I don't think their focus will be any different.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1433

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1434

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Don't know](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1437

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I'm strongly opposed to the Governments proposal and believe local interests are best managed locally.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The government has never demonstrated good judgement. They are out of touch of local interests and appear to be in the pockets of big interests. Local councils are more easily held to account and so accountability will be more likely with local management

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The government has a track record of ignoring alleged protection - I don't trust anything they manage

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

I don't agree with the three waters proposals and so the structures proposed by three waters should be changed

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The proposed financial structure will take money I pay for Hamilton and use it to pay for services elsewhere - that must not be allowed to happen.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Please stop three waters happening in the Waikato

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1440

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

We need less government intervention in our lives with these sorts of things. They should be guiding individual councils, as they (councils) are proving to often lack leadership to get things done for the betterment of the city.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Easy to say these numbers now. Don't trust these will be remotely accurate by the time it takes place.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

More people to hide away from responsibility.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Again, don't trust these numbers. The last two years have proven how completely reckless politicians are with money.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

I don't trust the government to make good decisions.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The fact that this is gaining a lot of political traction suggests the council could be doing a bit more and be held more accountable for these services.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1441**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1442

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I support the effort, and the proposed plan seems sensible and effective.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

While regional mana whenua representation is important, having 50% of the RRG and sub-RRGs made up of representatives chosen by mana whenua gives them disproportionate power over the remainder of those in the area. Queenstown_lakes District has a 5.3% Māori population (2018, <https://www.ehinz.ac.nz/indicators/population-vulnerability/ethnic-profile/#regional->

differences-in-ethnic-groups) so 50% representation is far above reasonable. This is more likely to lead to members of the RRG and their appointees on the WSE board not necessarily being the best people for the job, and making decisions that do not represent the wider public - I would be more supportive of the requirement for some qualified representation (business/management, scientific, ecological, cultural). I believe the group should be more varied, ideally with all parties compromising to work together on decisions, and am wary of a single being forming such a large part of the structure when in many regions that group will not be representative.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

The requirement of the RRG to be 50% from mana whenua representatives.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1447

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The larger the organisation the worse they perform, greater inequity.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The governments figures are incorrect. To achieve what they say will cost a lot more.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Sure they might be OK, however, I doubt communities would sell them anyway.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Don't change something that isn't broken

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1448

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

It seems as though there could be a possibility of privatisation in the future and also that funds that consumers would otherwise save on reforms could be spent on a costly public referendum. Government could go further to ensure that a referendum doesn't take place.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Ensure privatisation is not a future possibility.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1449

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Hamilton's drinking water is already of a good/high standard. I drink a lot of water, and only tap water. Problems with drinking water in other parts of the country through failing infrastructure or other causes should not equate to Hamilton being part of the Three Waters Reform. Stormwater problems - going a bit off course, but part of the problems re blockages etc can probably be resolved by some forethought being given by Council to what type of trees are planted on roadside verges - I'm sure the drains cannot cope with the volume of leaves being washed into them during the autumn months after rain. Clearance of the leaves by Council seems to be non-existent.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I have little faith in the costings - how many times have we seen Govt budgets blow out. I am also wary of the comment that day to day services are expected to look the same for most households & businesses, at least initially. It's those last 3 words I have difficulty with.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

On protections, on the face of it they appear adequate.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Council may lose the debt to the new entity & thereby make it's books look better but for the consumer/ratepayer there will be no change or financial benefit - the debt remains. It just moves to the new entity! Re the FTEs - is this empire building?

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I don't believe the Govt's comments & have no faith in the Three Waters Reform Minister.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **1451**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The proposed approach seems relatively effective against privatisation. More importantly for me though, the appointing of board members by mana whenua and Councils should help protect against the current risks we face of decisions being made by elected members who in far too many cases are focused on the short term needs of a minority of older, property owning constituents rather than the entire community, with a focus on future generations.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The transition away from councils having to invest such a large proportion of their financial and other resources into water management would enable them to apply focus to other aspects of the wellbeing of their constituent communities. While I realise this would be a substantial change for them, it offers immense possibilities for improving the wellbeing of all people living in our rohe. The issue of ownership of water assets seems to be a red herring. As a member of the Hamilton community the only tangible difference for me whether they are owned by HCC or another entity is what I end up paying towards the maintenance and capital spend on them. How I am contribute to this (rates, taxes) isn't really an issue. As long as I benefit in terms of clean water provision etc, who owns of that provision is immaterial.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1452

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1453

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Can't say much. Hope for the best

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1462

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Don't like it

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1463

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Not much knowledge about the politics here yet. It is hard to know one's true intentions

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

No suggested changes yet

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

[Disagree](#)

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

[Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform](#)

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1464

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Our water is NOT 'dirty' like they make it out to be. Just because of one hiccup in 2016 does not mean we need the government to OWN our water

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Surely having it held locally with people who KNOW hamilton and its water services is better than the government? HAPPY WITH HOW IT IS. If it isn't broken dont fix it!

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Do not agree this is a good idea. I do not believe Maori should have the upper hand. Do they have the skills and knowledge to effectively have so much control???? What skills if any do they have? Are there Engineers, financial skills? I do not believe centralizing the water system is a good idea AT ALL

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

There should be NO changes to how the system is currently. The local councils are more than able to maintain the water services and there is NO reason for this to change. It is so unfair and I personally think the majority being given to the Maori the voice of our Hamiltonians will be lost. This is NOT RIGHT

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The government is acting like it is such a good plan and that water will become more affordable. However I just read the water may be metered? So how will this affect low-income families of Hamilton. LEAVE IT THE WAY IT IS. LISTEN TO US.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

LET HAMILTON CITY COUNCIL KEEP CONTROL

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1465

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1467

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1468

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Its all what the government has to say and not both equal sides, things to government say arent always correct so cant make a fair comment

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1469

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1473

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The proposed bill would create another layer in the bureaucracy. It would be better for local councils to manage their own affairs in addressing these concerns

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The creation of new entities under the reform would mean additional costs to pay for their services, and new unnecessary infrastructures in Hamilton and these would be passed on to consumers,

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Too complex and bureaucratic that hinders effective service delivery

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

I dont agree with the creation if those entities and roles

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton’s water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand’s water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won’t make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won’t make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Best to leave the asset management to Hamilton City Council

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1474

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I can understand the governments concerns with privatisation and agree with the way they have done the share holding model which also gives people a say if it comes to that

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Better representation of people across board/group eg more people

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1475

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I understand the Government's concern with privatisation.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

RRG should have more members.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Better representation / greater number of representatives across all proposed boards/groups

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1478

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Don't know](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Don't know](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Don't know](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Don't know](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Don't know](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1480

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The Bill is not fair to all New Zealanders. The ownership of the assets are not clearly defined in the Bill. According to the News, the 50% of the 4 new entities will be owned by local Iwis. That's racist by definition as Iwis are based on race. There is no reason that Iwi should have the ownership.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

To establish 4 new entities and hire new employees incurs huge costs. Such costs could be saved and invest in the local councils to allow them to start the reform. The governments reform project is a large task, cost overruns are guaranteed.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I don't see the bill provide such protection against privatisation, everything just so unclear. Its water!!! Why the privatisation is a concern? I am also confused about the voting rights. The whole Bill just doesn't make sense to me. Highly against it.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

No new entities and board should even be started. The power should be granted to local council- Hamilton city council.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The impact of new financial structure is unknown to the Hamilton resident. I suspect it would cost us more after the reform. If the changes are required to existing water system, the government could provide local city council with more funding instead of going through a reform project.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I am fully support Hamilton city council to continue to manage the water assets. It has been great.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1482](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

There are no protections against privatisation

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1484

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1524

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

They seem reasonable

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

While 3 waters may not be perfect, on the whole I believe water quality & supply is something better managed nationally as increasing environmental and social pressures require concerted national policy and action.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1525

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I'm torn. I believe in local government, i.e. councils, making decisions with and for the communities to which council members belong. But how water is used is a global issue, and water is going to become increasingly precious as climate change and environmental pollution affects both access and quality. I think Three Waters is worth a shot.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Water should not be privatized. If Government protections will prevent privatization, I'm in favor of it.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1538

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The the long bureaucratic chain will not be as responsive to local issues as compared to being run by local staff who have long term local knowledge of the infrastructure than anyone sitting in a remote office. Past history tells us that centralised organisations have a far greater staff turnover of experts than do localised organisations do, because such persons get so frustrated with the bureaucracy and leave. The supplies that are at risk are the small rural users who often use roof water will fall outside 3 waters. The number of people getting sick from poor water has been used as a political justification when these numbers have been manipulated in the way they are presented 3 waters will likely to be getting the water form the same sources such as rivers or ground water so as 3 waters is not really involved with environmental issues, so I do see how water quality regarding these issues is improved by factors outside the 3 waters field. The response time from centralisation are always slower than local run organisations as they often have no local knowledge and just rely on what's on their screens . My experience with these systems that if you are not on google you don't exist. This will mean that things like sewerage spills will take much longer to repair

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it

more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

According to the information supplied that the cost to the average Hamilton household will be \$2051 each under the current system and \$1220 under 3 waters for so called improved quality and service. Figures are stated to be in today's dollars. It is claimed that there will be economies of scale. They will likely be using the same contractors with vastly more bureaucrats to pay. My experience with civil engineering tells me that this claim will be incorrect. History tells us that by allocating the work to large companies who can carry out the work for the whole entity will be far more expensive as these organisations collect their own large bureaucracy adding very little to the process. This can be seen by observing road working contractors with lots of highly paid people just standing around and looking'

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I do not want privatisation. There has never been and will never be an issue about this happening. This scare tactic is just a smoke screen for a badly thought out policy proposal.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

The idea of 16% of the population having a power of veto will cause much ill will and create suspicion. I have no trouble with Maori having 16% of the representation on the boards by having a seat(s) set aside for that, but only in proportion of the total population

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

At the end of the day someone has to pay. By so called ratepayer savings will mean more cost to the taxpayer, so what's the difference? Public debt is debt, so no difference who's name its in

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for

Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The claims of savings are completely unfounded as no evidence has been supplied. They have manipulated numbers from Scotland which are irrelevant in NZ. My many years of business and public service observations tell me that this is a disaster and will become irreversible once started ,when it becomes a disaster.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1540

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1541

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Should be changed](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1542

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

aging infrastructure paid for by our parents and grandparents needs to be replaced . If this isnt sorted via the proposed reform we will be taxed in another way. Every council will be maintaining equipment to a different standard - this isnt right. People need good drinking water, waste water treated appropriately and systems that deal with storm water to the higher levels required today. Continuing to leave everything managed by the same authorities and expect a different result is the definition of insanity.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I am saying that Hamilton Council has mismanaged the water services. My view is that a centralised function is more likely to bring the small community water services up to an acceptable standard. I believe this is a good thing. Fresh eyes may be a good thing.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

this is appropriate

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1544

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Protection against privatisation is only one of the concerning issues. And is much less concerning that the proposed composition of the board. Governance is supposed to be 50/50 between councils and mana whenua. The question is why is mana whenua going to have a 50% representation on the governance board. 50% representation for mana whenua is an over reach given that Maori do not make up 50% of the population. Having Maori representation is most certainly appropriate, but at 50%? That is giving far too much say where Maori represent less than 50% of the population. This is not how colonial guilt is assuaged! What about representation by other ethnic groups/communities? Where is their representation? Or don't they matter? It would be wise to start considering the other ethnic communities, given that New Zealand is trying to be more diverse, and these communities are ever expanding in the NZ population landscape.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Governance is supposed to be 50/50 between councils and mana whenua. The question is why is mana whenua going to have a 50% representation on the governance board. 50% representation for mana whenua is an over reach given that Maori do not make up 50% of the population. Having Maori representation is most certainly appropriate, but at 50%? That is giving far too much say where Maori represent less than 50% of the population. This is not how colonial guilt is assuaged! What about representation by other ethnic groups/communities? Where is their representation? Or don't they matter? It would be wise to start considering the other ethnic communities, given that New Zealand is trying to be more diverse, and these communities are ever expanding in the NZ population landscape. While local boards are meant to be skill based, their membership will be decided by the RRG, which is 50% mana whenua. And I am not convinced that this will not lead to local boards that are not over represented by mana whenua. Again - consider other ethnic communities' needs!

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

While there is debt involved in holding Hamilton's water assets, the reforms will mean that the council is handing over a significant portion of its assets. Who better to look after our water than our own Council? It is more than likely that Hamilton (and Waikato) will become a secondary concern for the national board (as usual), while they attend to the needs of Auckland and Wellington first. We become a second class citizen.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for

Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council should hold on to its water assets.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1545

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Much more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Much more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1546

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Don't know](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Don't know](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Don't know](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1549

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1550

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1557

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

It is my opinion that the issues surrounding water infrastructure failures are caused by the parties responsible for their upkeep not doing what they are required to do by law. What the government intends to legislate will still be at the exact same level of risk as before, so therefore seems to be a blatant politically driven asset grab where the job titles get shuffled, but the same mistakes being made with no accountability to taxpayers/ratepayers.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

By adding extra layers of bureaucracy, the government will be increasing the cost for equivalent services, leading to higher costs overall. It does not matter whether the funding is taken directly from rates, because the money has to come from somewhere and will end up coming out of taxes, leaving members of the public with less money in their pockets overall.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The co-governance model on the proposed reforms is, to be quite honest, laughable at best. My major concerns are that with the establishment of Maori wards, Mana Whenua are effectively allowed to double-dip on control over the proposed entity, after already having received disproportionate control, eroding the basis of everyone having an equal say in how our country is run. Also, locking our decisions based on what councils in other regions want is going to potentially prevent the Hamilton City Council from acting in the best interest of ratepayers, particularly given the size of the proposed regions. The protections against privatization reads as more of a protection from breaking free from a politically driven asset grab.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

The three waters reform proposals are politically driven asset grabs, and at every opportunity for the government to address the public's concerns about the core proposal, they reword the proposal without practically changing any of the parts that the public are concerned about. This whole proposal is a joke and should be discarded.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

One of the government's major talking points for the reforms is the cost savings for ratepayers and councils, however, they have barely provided any information on predicted costs, or how to recover them from ratepayers, leaving a distinct uncertainty over whether the reforms are actually financially beneficial to ratepayers. Meanwhile, they have worked out every detail on the co-governance model, leading me to the conclusion, that this is a politically driven asset grab that should be discarded immediately.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The proposed reforms are an absolute joke, with the barest minimum of transparency and accountability possible. This proposal wasn't drafted to ensure adequate water infrastructure across the country, but to push a political agenda and force the country to adopt a co-governance model, especially when taken in with the context of the government's other policies such as the Maori health authority. This proposal is a joke and should be discarded.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1560

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

We feel Hamilton City would be more efficient managing their own water. Totally against changes proposed.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

We don't believe privatisation would be beneficial re ratepayers having any say in the future.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

No 3 waters

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1562

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

They seem appropriate.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

[Agree strongly](#)

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

[Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform](#)

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1563](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1567

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

more public consultation

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1574

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Should be changed](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1579

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1580

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1587

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Don't agree with equal representation of mana whenua on the Representative Group

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

We have no metering for domestic use in Hamilton, this is good, not that I waste water but its one less bill to have to manage.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1588

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree strongly](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1602

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1603

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1604

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1610

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

This can change, the next government might feel differently.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Having 12-14 people for a Regional Group then 6-10 members in the Entity Group seems to be a lot of people to pay to sit around a table. Where are we going to get so many 'experts' & afford to pay them for their expertise.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I'm very concerned as a homeowner the future costs to me. Nothing in life is free and I can not image this whole process is going to cost me less than water rates now.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I can't believe these reforms will save individuals like me money in the long run. If it does then it is at the expense of the Govt increasing its dept which comes back to individuals to pay.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1613

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Don't know](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Don't know](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Don't know](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1618

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

dont trust goverment fullstop

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

dont trust government

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1619

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

There is no evidence that centralising water services and their ownership as proposed will improve water quality in New Zealand. There is no assurance those who water is transferring to hold expertise in providing water services. There is no evidence local water quality related issues will be attended to as needed by a massive NZ organisations. There is no evidence rate payers will be fairly treated via the investments they have made to water services over time. What is required is a Local Government being held to account for their decisions and actions. What is proposed looks like a Chinese or Russian system, both of which have worse water quality than NZ.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The system proposed is not fit for purpose. Large organisations of distant parties are less accountable, not more accountable and people not voted democratically into office have no accountabilities.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Private organisations and business are proven to be more productive than what this Government is proposing. Governments should make evidence based decisions.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

My view is the governance structures are inadequate due to reasons already given. An improvement would be a national collaboration by current water care service providers where they find efficiencies and ways to work together without Government interference and giving more than one vote to each person in NZ.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I do not support more borrowing by water services in NZ., I support operating within budgets. The debts of this council, and others, supported by Government are unsustainable as inflation shows. The questions in this survey are biased to lead the responder to the conclusion the writer wants.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

Evidence shows the proposals made will not solve the problems outlined

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Another biased question

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1623

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Should be changed](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1626

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The proposal does not provide protection against privatisation the concept is token in any effort protect us from it and the model is easily moved to privatisation.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1634

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I don't believe it.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Put back into Council control. Hamilton council is doing a fine job. Why change things.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1635

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

i think that its pretty good and its nice to do some work on our home and give us a better place to be in as a family, as a person here in new zealand and its will make this a nice place to be for traveler and another people to see how good we take care of our space.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

i would love to see better changes in our land, and homes and New Zealand is an amazing place for us to live in and we can make it better.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1641

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Disagree](#)

Better water quality?

[Disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Disagree](#)

Better water quality?

[Disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1647

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

It should be controlled by local councils, period. They know the condition of existing systems and is what is required for development/maintenance. Any disenfranchised control is flawed

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Additional costs are inevitable introducing another layer of administration

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

It's A deceptive attempt for co-governance weighted to giving power to a minority of the population not one person one vote democracy ignored

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

Consensus a public referendum for a natural resource and its implementation

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Don't do it totally divisive and unnecessary in the proposed format change yes but regionally

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1651

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

When the Uk divided municipal rates and water rates, the first years increase in charges was acceptable, but the years that followed the water rates were increased to such an extent that they became a major new bill. The water rates became a major concern for seniors on a fixed income. In a home where often there was only one person who did not wash cars, or do much washing, or water a garden or have a swimming pool, it was a charge that was too rigidly applied to the poor or seniors. In addition, I am not convinced that the proposed overseeing organisation has proved they are up to the job. This great change in responsibility needs a proven record and it does not have that .

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

See previous comment A local service always gives better service than a national one.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

This automatically means more employees which is going to be a much greater cost. Who is entirely convinced by the cost and efficiency of our energy suppliers? There should be no chance of foreign powers or people buying water rights of any size or importance which has been allowed thus far.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Keeping it under council control

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

There are still too many decisions to make on how the changes will impact the end user.
However, I can see that the costs to individual households will significantly increase in time.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I think the council should continue to deliver services but the costs associated with large infrastructure enhancements should be funded centrally with a national bidding process to allocate the funding.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1652

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Shareholders could still vote for privitisation unanimously...so its not 100% guaranteed protection

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

More clarification around water metering and potential cost to residents

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1655

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Needs to focus more and walk the talk take action to protect

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Wake it up take action in all areas

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1656

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1659

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1660

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Government should provide funding for the council to complete the works required

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I would prefer it to be privatised

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I think the whole three waters initiative should be scrapped however if it goes ahead everyone no matter what their background should be able to vote for both the councillors and the mana whenua: two votes, one for the councillors and one for the mana whenua

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

This entire proposal has been rushed through by the Adern government, I would like the Hamilton City Council to make their own calculations and forecasts and compare it with the governments.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1673](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Please help us better our waterways and have clean waters all over nz..](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

We should not have to pay for water. It should be free.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

We need our government to look after our land for the better of nz

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

We need to have better water to all people in nz

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

[We should put more into better water services](#)

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree strongly](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

We should be doing a lot more to have safe water in nz

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1681](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton’s drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Hamilton’s stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government’s reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

We don't have water rates in Hamilton which is a good thing even though I pay for it in my rates I'm not a fan of water meter readers which I think we would end up with

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Is the projected water savings been confirmed?

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1684

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

it is crucial for water as a natural resource to be protected before irreparable damage is done, and in doing this, it can be hoped that the health of people in regards to water consumption will therefore be protected

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

as someone who has only ever been able to rent and therefore am not responsible for ratepaying, I have a small concern about unreasonable rent increases, such as those that occurred when landlords were required to meet basic healthy home requirements, but overall feel that it will be an insignificant increase in ratepaying, and will hopefully not effect rent costs. even if this increase does happen, it is still important that the quality of water, and protection and preservation of water is focused on

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I agree, water nor any natural resource, necessity or human right should be owned, privately or publicly but this is not a realistic construct in the world we exist in, so public ownership is the next best thing.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

i feel like i struggle to grasp the financial aspects, it seems that the council also lacks clarity/specifics so cant say anything in absolute terms, making it hard to form an opinion.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

an increased number of representatives. i kind of understand the 1 shareholder per 50,000 people aspect, but also think it should perhaps be higher. I am also interested to see how the mana whenua representatives will be selected as there are 29 iwi whose rights to and and water all need to be represented and protected

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I personally find a lot of the information regarding this hard to access in terms of being understandable to every day people. I feel like I have barely seen or heard information regarding three waters, nor have the multiple people I have talked to while trying to form a perspective. I see that the HCC sent the feedback to Hon Nanaia Mahuta on October 1st, but in all of the resources provided I was unable to see if clarifications have been made since then.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1717

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

At this stage it is difficult to determine if the changes proposed will have the desired impact. As an example, reforms to the health care system looked great on paper, but there have been few changes seen at the consumer level.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

At this stage we don't really have enough info to validate the potential costs. A 4 x increase in costs seems like a convenient argument to gain support for the govt reforms. I would want to see more info on the integrity of the data if I were voting on the reform.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

With all the controversy around the Three Waters reform, the shoulder tapping of family members for board positions, etc, my confidence in the transparency is low. I would really like to see a deep dive on the governance and the entities involved. Who is going to be making the money out of this deal or is everything going back into the infrastructure?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

On paper I support the idea of freeing up resources and outsourcing to experts.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I support HCC to make prudent decisions via effective consultation. Under the reforms I see some opportunities for vested interests and greed to creep in.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1743

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I truthy believe the Governments reform will not add any benefits to Hamilton and it will only provide more red tape and make it harder to provide a good system that works to benefit us all.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The system they are creating will make it easier to privatise. Keeping the 3 Waters with local councils reduces the risk of privatisation.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

The local council should have more say and votes on the board. The council members are representatives of their region and will be more in touch with the locals.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Moving the current debt from local regions to Government does not remove the debt, it just transfers from property owners to all working NZers and it will allow the local councils to apply for more debt. I don't see how any NZers are better off.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I believe the reform will not save any money for NZers in the long run. The system we have needs improvement but giving the control to one central authority will not do this.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1746

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Hamilton being controlled by external entities,I don't agree

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1749

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1751

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1752

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

keep the assets owned in the community of ratepayers

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Unsure about the Regional Representation Group compulsory 50/50 governance requirement. In our democracy, Governance should be by experts who are qualified in terms of knowledge and experience, not on race.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

Governance structure

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

In this survey, HCC is saying that they don't have enough funding or borrowing capacity to improve the assets and infrastructure to keep pace with the growth and replacement of end-of-life infrastructure. And that the new entity would have better borrowing power against the water assets?? How would this be addressed if there without the reform?

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1753

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1755

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I believe Government's protections against privatisation is much stronger.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1757

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

change in Mayor HCC

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1758

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1769

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1775

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1776

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

there is no reference to homes that collect their own water-storing this in tanks. The argument of fluoridation does not appear to be addressed

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Given past performance on cost projections for almost every Government-run project and the inevitable cost over-runs we see, I have little faith in those cost projections provided in this survey

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

when the explanation is explained with the words HELP protect against privatization I do hold a degree of suspicion - it should read PREVENT privatization which I understand to be the case now as it is Council controlled. Why only 75% of local vote as stated - why not 80% or more

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Ownership and Governance is split between council and Iwi - why not simply have it across 100% New Zealand citizens thus making ownership across all. I fail to see why IWI have specific representation - after all, are we not the team of 5M

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Local council knows its local community. I fail to see how centralized water management will be better off by entities that do not know local community. I agree that water reform is required and for the reasons stated but I do not see, from the information provided how our country will be better off. whilst Council rates MAY decrease as stated, water rates introduced (as they will in time) will push the overall ratepayer cost upwards.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Government reform dictating change as current is NEVER acceptable - a cross-party consideration is required to ensure long-term improvement to the water supply because this government's performance on reforms and investment has fallen well short of expectations. We have opposition parties saying they would repeal 3 waters which only shows the current format is a waste of time and money untill a better cross country government consensus is achieved

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1777

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Zero confidence in The lack of democracy being used to push government agenda. Truthfully this whole "government corporation" has been nothing but tyrannical, especially since 1985/86. It public health was the true goal, why are we still putting fluoride in drinking water, even though it's in medical journals stating it lowers IQ? How convenient... Again, the New Zealand Government Corporation and all its councils have my vote of NO CONFIDENCE.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Zero confidence in The lack of democracy being used to push government agenda. Truthfully this whole "government corporation" has been nothing but tyrannical, especially since 1985/86. The government has showed how they continually do a great job leading us to enormous national debt, ridiculous inflation rates, terrible housing crisis, gas prices are through the roof, food prices are continually on the rise.. would you trust them with your money?? Yet we have no choice or say where these assets are going or how our tax dollars are used?!?! Yet we are just supposed to shut up keep going to work and keep paying taxes.... Sounds like "slave" to me 🙄🙄 Again, the New Zealand Government Corporation and all its councils have my vote of NO CONFIDENCE.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Zero confidence in The lack of democracy being used to push government agenda. Truthfully this whole "government corporation" has been nothing but tyrannical, especially since 1985/86. The government's statements also come with fine print of subject to change. National always have done a great job of coming in after Labour sets everything up for sale, and sells it off.. 2008ish ring any bells.. How much of New Zealanders assets did National sell?? Or what a bout the seabed and foreshore act 2004 that the UN deemed it was against the indigenous (Māori) led by Nanaia Mahuta (Māori), did she sell out her own??? Again, the New Zealand Government Corporation and all its councils have my vote of NO CONFIDENCE.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Democracy is still apart of New Zealand and these entities are not Democrat at all.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Zero confidence in The lack of democracy being used to push government agenda. Truthfully this whole "government corporation" has been nothing but tyrannical, especially since 1985/86. So the Hamilton council currently receives \$71mil annual and can't budget and now has \$370mil in debt!? So now someone else will take the debt and the assets and allow and already financially incompetent councils access to create more debt? And get further away from ownership of those assets they traded off!?!? No thanks!!! Again, the New Zealand Government Corporation and all its councils have my vote of NO CONFIDENCE.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

Democracy needs to be respected by adhered to from governments and councils. 1835 He Whakaputanga also needs to be upheld by the New Zealand government and councils.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for

Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I do not consent to my assets being changed or altered by any means, especially by the New Zealand government corporation.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1778

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Government's reform sounds great however not sure how it can address regional water problems that effectively (if local councils don't have that much say in the decisions) as local councils know better in my understanding.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Future costs seem very promising seeing the plan. Hopefully they won't turn out like solar energy plans which sound cost effective for future but then turn out to be more expensive many times,

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The legislation looks great in protecting against privatisation.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Financial structures look good based on the information shared however if the infrastructure is going to be shared not only debts are going to be shared, credits are going to be shared too!

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Local council to have more say to make it more local oriented.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Proposal looks great when it comes to looking after water resources and people's health!
However not sure about how outsiders can make better decisions for locals. In saying that if that group comprises of well qualified and skilled people (selection of that group is not based on having specific ethnicity/ groups but not necessarily qualifications) who can make informed decisions

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1781

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

The representation is disproportionate and does not equally reflect the community or NZ as a whole. The make-up/roles should be equitably assigned not dispassionately allocated.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

the dispassionate representation in the governance that does not equitably represent either Hamilton or New Zealand as whole. Governance should be based on competence to deliver the water services for all and nothing else.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1787

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1791

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1800

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1806

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The government's proposal is too vague to me to be helpful. At least a local council will be better placed to adapt to changes in the environment.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Infrastructure reform is necessary regardless. I don't see why we can't discuss altering the administrative aspects after repairs.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I approve.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Greater accountability to ratepayers, through a regular update for example. Greater clarity on what assets are controlled by whom.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1807

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Costs for new infrastructure for all towns as they grow

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1811](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[The current Government will mismanage - like they have done for everything else.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

This is a very expensive way to mitigate that minor risk.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1812

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I think it will be better if we stick to our own regions but I would be happy to go with what the majority decide. Thank-you for including me in this survey, xxxx

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1816

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1829

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Its a load of rubbish. Just more people drinking from the public sector trough.....it wont change anything for the better

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Costs will actually increase under this government. They couldnt organise anything with efficiency...especially big water reforms such as this

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Absolute rubbish. More noses in the public sector trough. 12 people per entity on a board that doesnt need to be there in the first place, means larger costs to the user...us

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

The iwi should have representation, however they shouldn't hold 50%. It should be 30% iwi, 30% council and 30% government

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The councils will not be better off at all...simply the government trying to sell their idealistic views that they are planning to bulldoze through...regardless of any feedback we give them

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Feedback has been shown to be an absolute waste of time based on previous experience. Both local body government (councils) and the governing political party do what they like anyway....its just a box ticking exercise

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1831](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[i actually like the concept and i support the Government on this .](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I totally agree with protecting the water against Privatisation i do not know why it is being bottled and sent over seas what is the country getting out of this...!

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1833

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

More Representatives based in population.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

More regional, localised governance

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1834

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Individually some areas will benefit more than others to bring them up to better standards. This is a positive result. New Zealand is small enough that there should not be regional inadequacies regarding a healthy environment and drinking water. National entities will be tasked to ensure a more fair spread - councils need to act together for the greater good and not just for their own areas.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I agree with the government protecting against privatisation. I agree with the safeguards they are proposing. .

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

[I am in favour of user pays \(metered water use\) for all NZ households.](#)

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Councils and councilors do an excellent job for their communities but NZ is a small enough country that some services would be better controlled and provided by a national rather than regional entity. In my opinion water services is one of these. Thank you for the opportunity to have a say.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1836

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [1841](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1864

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1889

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

My views are I have a lack of trust regarding this kind of thing, look at the Gib crisis.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

There is no such thing as free money.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1891

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1892

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

A city is more invested in it's local area than someone sitting in parliament. Lobbying from local governments to claim their stake of funding will be an extra cost

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

No one owns the water - we all contribute financially to its quality. Leave it as it is

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Councils are going to have to fight hard to get their peg in the ground for funding - we see it in the health sector and other areas of spending

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Stop this reform - its nothing but a control issue

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1894

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1901

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

theft on a grand scale

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

our rates wont go down accordingly .

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

totally racist

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

the public will be ripped off again.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Water is for everyone not one entity so is very racist .

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1913

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1924

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1938

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I think this is one of the key issues at heart - NZers should expect, as a first world country, that at a very minimum their water is safe to drink. Regardless of the outcome of 3 Waters, better water regulations and monitoring are critical for this country.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I think the costs portion needs to be explained further to New Zealanders as I don't quite understand why, although a significant cost saving, south of Auckland we are expected to pay 50%-100% more for water services costs than Auckland when this reform is meant to make water access more equitable. Is it merely a case of population density - more people = cheaper services? It is unclear. I also note that while there will be transfers of assets, Government will need to take care to ensure that Auckland is not given prioritisation over other areas of the country - especially after the mess that Watercare created in 2020 trying to take additional water from the Waikato awa with potential detrimental effect after its own mismanagement.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Very much for anti-privatisation and the proposed shareholding structure, although the government should also look into companies receiving water for free who then export it out of the country as that is unacceptable. What the governance section doesn't afford is how it will liaise with and involve authorities who might have an interest over water management - for example the Waikato River Authority and how governance would give effect to Te Ture Whaimana.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

In the Waikato there is an entity (Waikato River Authority) which is an overseer of the health and wellbeing of the Waikato awa which plays a crucial role in water for Waikato & Auckland but this legislation appears to overlook.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Would like to see reform for businesses who receive free use of water assets and sell them for profit overseas, and also to see more local Authority representation on governance

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1949

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1989

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

rubbish

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 1996

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Unfortunately, Government (both National and Local) have a poor reputation of making wise decisions for the betterment and wellbeing of all residents, and a strong reputation for making decisions that override previous decisions and not listening to the residents. Not matter what happens, selling off to privatisation (both private companies or Council Controlled Businesses) cannot be guaranteed.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree strongly](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2006

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The government says they won't privatise however it is inevitable. You just need to look back in history with other government owned entities that were sold off then brought back.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2011

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I think that this change will be a lot better than the system we currently have in place. The larger water entities will enable each of the 4 water regions to take a more holistic, catchment based approach and manage water more efficiently than a bunch of separate councils could. It is also more likely that the infrastructure upgrades that we desperately need will happen due to the ability to borrow more to fund the projects. Councils have proven that they cannot provide the funds to keep our water infrastructure up to scratch (as they have so many other competing aspects to consider) and having entities specifically for water would be more likely to deliver them.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Similar to my other answer, a more holistic approach would work better than the bit-sy approach we have now, where each Council handles water services differently. While it is a systematic change that will take some time to get used to, I think that in the long run it will be extremely beneficial.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I disagree with the proposed protections against privatization. I think that water is a resource and that there shouldnt be a path to privatization provided. I don't think the protections against privatization are strong enough currently.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I think this is a great opportunity for councils, to remove debt and failing assets. It will also enable councils to focus more on providing for growth, in active consultation with the new water entities.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

No path for privatization provided.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2013

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I believe that we should focus on controlling wastewater from farms first of all, since they are the major source of pollution in the ocean. Use rainwater with storage systems to be used both as a supplement to water to drink and for use in periods of summer drought. Finally, an accurate control of waste water discharges from houses adjacent to rivers - lakes - ocean would certainly create a favorable contribution to the current situation. Last but not least, avoiding pesticides used on farms in gardens and along public roads would help the situation accordingly. We already pay high taxes, we do not want this to affect our already devastated pockets (see fuel increase - food - house rentals etc.) Thanks for asking me for my opinion !!

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the

reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

We already pay high taxes, we do not want this to affect our already devastated pockets (see fuel increase - food - house rentals etc.) Thanks for asking me for my opinion !!

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

privatize water? It is not acceptable being a common good like air - land - oceans - wild animals and flora - forests, goods that nature has made available to humanity, I am against privatization in general !!

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

I have lost the confidence of the ruling class

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I no longer believe in government promises

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2035

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Why should we in Hamilton pay to help others in our district. I would like our money to stay in our city that's why we live here

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

It's not detailed enough. How many shares would the council hold. Who would own the other shares?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2038

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Appears to be the correct method, there should also be an assurance that when electing governing members there is a defence against nepotism and prevention of members serving for self-interest.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2044

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

75% threshold should be 80%

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

RRG should be based on merit not racist based system

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Council will continue to gather new debt at levels that are not sustainable. Water meters etc should be introduced for management and revenue streams

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2046

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Do not believe.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

There does not appear to be enough representation from ratepayers, if this goes forward would like to see representation from Ratepayers Assn.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2051

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I feel that the 75% threshold should protect against assets being sold to private interests

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

[Don't know](#)

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

[Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform](#)

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2076

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

These really are local issues that need to be solved locally.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I am a long way from being convinced that the Government's figures are accurate, given their lack of accuracy in almost every other sphere of activity. That is one of my main reasons for opposing these reforms.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The issue of privatisation of community assets will always be a political one. I am one of those who is in favour of public/private partnerships. I don't need protection against privatisation, I need protection against nationalisation - which is this particular government's preference. If council's do nothing else they need to find the best way to look after their 3W assets - working with their neighbours. The proposed government model is not the solution we in Hamilton need, in my view.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Councils will be so busy monitoring the new entities that the whole thing will become much more divisive and contentious due to the structures involved. I believe it is different for the smaller councils in the country and they may well need some regional or national structures to support them. Metropolitan areas need to retain responsibility for the financial and operational management of their infrastructure systems. Where I gave a No opinion answer above in the governance section it was not because I don't have an opinion. The option It needs to be changed was not one I could support. It needs to be scrapped.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

Hamilton would not benefit environmentally, its costs would increase, and it would lose accountability to the community.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton should strongly oppose these reforms. We need to deal with our own issues of equity and financial burden. I have long thought that water use should be metered and paid for accordingly like other sensible communities - even if there is a significant retrofit cost it is required in the longrun. I am highly sceptical of the government's financial models and my personal views do not support the proposed model in preference to the existing council-controlled model - which is what would be required for me to support it at all.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2077

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2090

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2091

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2093

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Awareness is very important to make sure people understand the importance of government work, make a video about everything you want to convey to the society, so they will believe it. Thank you

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

It takes time for people to understand the good things

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Protection is always important whether it's government or private. Everything is there for purpose

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I have a great faith in New Zealand Government, they working hard for society

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I know our government work for our people

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2097

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2104

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The new controlling bodies will be less efficient than the current arrangement and less experienced and the extra bureaucracy will result in worse outcomes

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Sufficient

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Iwi should have nothing to do with this. Why is it iwi have 50 per cent? What's democratic about

14 per cent of the population having 50 per cent control? And does race have to do with water quality? Clean water is not a race issue. The quality of water has nothing to do with whether you are Māori or not. We all have equal access to water, we all pay for our water. It's our water and we must have democratically elected people to run it for us. The government, in their determination to divide this nation racially, are mixing too many things together and hoping we won't notice so they can enforce the completely wrong ideology of co-governance. Clean water is one thing, and we all want it. Hijacking democracy for ideological purposes around race, we certainly don't want.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The Government claims larger water entities will be more efficient, but the Government's own peer review rubbishes the claimed savings, which don't even consider the financial implications of co-governance. There is no limit on how much the unelected entities can charge for water services, no stopping iwi groups charging 'water royalties' nor is there a requirement for councils to reduce rates to reflect the fact they will no longer supply water services. The Government has claimed that larger entities will be more efficient meaning slower increases in water rates. The Government claims its proposed reforms will both save money, but also not cost a single council employee their job and even more jobs will be created in the sector. These cannot all be true. The Government's own peer review and those done for LGNZ and its members auditing the claimed economic benefits ridicule the governments modelling. Nania Mahuta's numbers also fail to consider the financial implications of iwi co-governance/control.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

I don't support the proposal at all because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets and because it is a cover up of the govt trying to ram through its co-governance agenda

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2106**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The government has tried to run the country over the last 5 years and has shown its total incompetence and ignorance towards costs

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

A joke

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

How can the government imply under their handling of the 3 waters prices will not double over the next 30 years !

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2108**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Make tap water filtered

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Don't know](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

[Don't know](#)

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

[Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform](#)

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2111](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2113**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2114](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

After having read through the Government proposal I am not convinced that the larger entity proposed is of any greater benefit. Lack of public communication and consultation seems sadly purposeful. There also seems to be more of a stick approach of a national agenda, under the guise of climate change, ownership and potential cost savings to each taxpayer, although very hard to believe as I did not find any supporting evidence. The greatest concern I have however is around the borrowing of funds to implement whatever the four entities will deem as required. Rather than working within financial constraints. The last thing we need as a country is more national debt.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think current legislation has not only sufficient, but has a better protection mechanism in place. If debt levels nationally increase due to the new four proposed entities ability to borrow funds, there may come a time in the future that due to the extent of the debt, that privatisation will become a forced reality.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I disagree with the separatism of New Zealanders, and the governments continual attempt to divide us. I believe we are one people and Iwi should definantly have a strong voice, but this seems to be more about division than unity. Why not have Iwi represented at the board levels ensuring input, but this seems too far.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2115](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Current systems in Hamilton support te mana o te wai. There are clear errors made and demand needs to be met that aren't being achieved. I do believe with reforms and with Council's proposed changes to governance and ownership that Hamilton would be better off in all aspects.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

With specific needs for Hamilton being taken into account, a dedicated entity will probably be more efficient in meeting demands equitably. Costs for services will be very managed in a completely different way, so cannot compare to how costs for services are rendered now but do believe this would be better managed by a board rather than Council

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

This isn't enough. Councils deserve better representation based on metro size. The entity sizes are too broad diluting major metros shares. 75% consensus required for privatisation is too low. Privatisation of public assets unless in critical financial crisis is never good. What happens when there is gross misappropriation? Is a commissioner put in? And who decides when that is needed?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

As mentioned earlier representation of major metros across very broad and diverse WSEs means those specific needs and population sizes are not fairly weighted. RRGs and SRRGs should be changed in ownership and structure to better reflect and weight the different needs of metros. WSEs should be examined to try and better group common needs. I support Hamilton City Council's proposed changes

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Council's financial position needs to be thoroughly investigated. Yes short term the removal of debt would be good, the forecasted spend in the Long Term Plan and Infrastructure Strategy would be reduced but what would happen to Council's debt to revenue ratio and what would be planned to be funded by debt would not longer happen with that reduced revenue. How would Asset management change? Council is able to look at the full picture and manage demands. Council's will manage growth and existing assets but prioritisation of water asset improvements or renewals would happen in isolation? Waters is still critical the what Council's do so how will planning and asset management work when that is not factored into Council's planning. I'm worried it will limit or delay other Council work. With the removal of services, staff and debt from Council's books this does not create any capacity in Council to do more or focus more on other services but again adds another entity they need to liaise with to get things

done for the city. This will cause no change to Hamilton besides once less thing for governance to consider when planning but no change or negative change for staff and getting work done.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

I support Council's proposed changes. I would like to see more protection against privatisation, better representation for growing metros and more information on how this would actually change Council's planning and delivery.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data

shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Shot to all the people trying to make this understandable for the public cause jeez 😊

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2117**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2120](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Confusing question in how it is couched. Water prices will go up no matter who is managing it!
Information on previous page says Govt states with reforms and without reforms cost is going up. We cannot predict on efficiency on other areas of NZ. While they tell us it will be equitable it all comes down to how it is managed

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

**** . Change of Govt, often can change statutes and tweak law.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

I believe the make up/role is over a too wide an area and smaller areas will not be heard.
Especially if there is only a small group effected. Local input is required not a distant body

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The doubling of the current cost over 30 year period is pie inn the sky. There will be other infrastructure costs required in the intervening years and I am unable to see how this prediction is able to be made. I read a lot of information, the survey took a lot longer than I anticipated because of that. Because of how your survey information is presented and then the questions asked I don't believe you will have a high participation from the general public. They will take one look and go forget it . A shame, because we need to be active in voicing our concerns.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2122](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[I believe that our own council/ratepayer owned water care systems are adequate for our city. Public health and local environment aspects will most likely remain unchanged under Govt reform.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Costings have been done by star gazing! Data suggests huge increases in costs? I don't believe it.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Mana whenua would be grossly over-represented in management according to population distribution by race. Protections against privatisation could easily be overturned by the heavy weighting of decision makers.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

Please see my previous comment regarding management.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2124](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[The current format of stated on the reforms does not understand the exceeding needs of Hamilton and its recent neighbouring cities. The Hamilton council are already capable of meeting the needs of its people and taxpayers.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2125](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[No opinion](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[No opinion](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[No opinion](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2129](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

guarantees around maximum costs to public, concern about prioritising who gets helped first

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2131](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[I think taking the control away from the local councils is a big mistake, they know best what is needed for Hamilton. I think the system has been working well as it is and we are 'fixing' something that wasn't broken. There are other areas that need reform much more, water isn't one of them!](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I don't think what they have in place will be enough and rather than giving more control to local communities (as it claims it will) it seems to be taking it away and giving it to a select few.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2133**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Councils need to stand on their own legs, councils that have put in good planning and infrastructure should not have to lose what they built and as residents of an effective council we should not have to subsidise areas of the country that have done a poor job. It should be user pays per location.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Costs only go up, if costs of water with council is doing to double it will be no different under 3 waters. Water should be covered by rates up to a certain qty per month then after that user pays. Smart Water meters should be installed asap so that those that use more then their fair share can be billed for the extra. Those with pools or keep their gardens and lawn well watered are being subsidised by those that don't. Force their hand to capture rain water to save costs.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2136](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2145

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Do not agree that it will improve our water infrastructures Have absolutely no confidence in this government

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Costs will increase

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Council s should manage there own water infrastructure

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Local council should control financial structures

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I am totally against three water !!!!!!!

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2188**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Absolutely support protection against privatisation.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2189

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I think they act like the changes will help but think the same issues will be there and I think people against 3 waters will start caring less and more pollution will come about

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I think again they make out it saves us money but I don't believe it will everything needs updating for keeping ok but the scheme is typical scam trickery

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think government taking over the people's property they suppose to work for us but feel they selling assets of country that belongs to the people water should be preserved for nz not be preserved to sell across world

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

If the information you provide saying it will reduce waste and save \$\$ and upgrades will benefit long run great but I just wonder if it will just end up being as useful as a sore toe costing huge money for little difference and need clean water but feel always different agendas from government they suppose to work for with the people

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

If we save and it does what the proposed ok but I just don't have trust in government we have and feel where they save from 1 they take from another I however think regardless of 3 waters, upgrades to keep water fresh and supply to development areas for housing is needed

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2191**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I think the Waikato and district has good water supplies and management, the appointed roles in the co governance side of the proposal may lead to nepotism and no accountability as these are appointed roles

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The cost may get economies of scale the the governance is a Mahuta family gravy train, already seeming to be corrupt and full of nepotism

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I don't believe a word that comes from the government, unfortunately their record of delivery is abysmal.... consultants are likely to do well

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

I think appointed officials have no democratic interest to make things better, only those who are voted in have accountability for their actions, or in action.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

no matter what the rate payer or taxpayer pays

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

I support bits of the proposal but the governance is shocking, the appointed roles have no democratic interest to do the best for New Zealand, it seems like a Mahuta gravy train and is likely to be full of Nepotism as we are already seeing....

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

This governments record of delivery is disgusting, this seems to me to be more about sliding in co governance than actually looking after water.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2195

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Healthy water for all is to be commended but such an important subject is being handled by "the powers that be" involved in the Three Waters and I feel the localisation loss in this region will be very negative for Hamilton

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Really feel they are a load of rubbish and biased...

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I am against "Large" and appointing grossly overpaid CEOs Shareholding and the tone of the proposals all rings alarm bells to me of privatisation...

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

More careful thought in all levels with localisation roles being so important

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I am taking those figures with a pinch of salt, I feel it will add more pressure for Hamilton to get any results from the new entity. Communication has been so poor in the process up to now...

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Not sure why I am giving any feedback, sadly the Three Waters process has been handled poorly on both sides so far...

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2196](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

My current thoughts are that the centralisation of the services will not add benefit; especially for Hamilton City. The idea of pooling all of the NZ council assets so more debt can be obtained to deal with water quality solutions is alarming.. a we are all in this together will not work and put all at risk. A case in point is that the greater centralisation into Auckland City was to create huge benefits and efficiencies; the current outlook looks like a failed system. The city seems to be on the brink of zero dollars with continued failed sewerage into waterways and beaches. If a single entity such as Auckland City, with such a high number of rate payers and density cannot sort its **** out how on earth will dispersed centralised groups do it. I think go back to basics; establish the requirements at a national level and then with each council create a working plan for the next 50 years to upgrade and renew infrastructure. Hold each council to account to the agreed plan. Our councils need guidance, national support (technical, procurement & funding) to achieve the desired outcomes; not a whole lot of additional bureaucracy and levels of people who arn't accountable at a local level that can hide behind national groupings.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it

more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Government are not good at making long term decisions; they are political and change too readily depending on party in power, swings in voting and the assigned minister in charge at the time. Best to establish an agreed 3-water quality plan at each council; work through consultation with ratepayers and decide on priorities and timing to confirm the 50 year plan then stick to it. Government is best to provide support; 1. Technical - have the government set up a national technical support group so that the best and most efficient & effective engineering and cultural designs can be achieved. 2. Procurement - have the government provide procurement support so that the best pricing for supplies as a national purchaser can be provided to each council 3. Funding - have the government work through and provide funding support along with council funds to get the agreed project work completed 4. Audits & accountability - have the agreed plans implementation and completeness along with effectiveness audited with managers held to account for the projects. Have the planned upgraded systems been completed; do they do what they were meant to do, were they completed within budget and to required quality.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Not worth the thoughts they have written down. Do not trust the current government intentions, mainly due to the way they have brought the 3-waters process in without proper consultation and engagement with the ratepayers and public (who in the end pay for it all). In addition, potential for the privation aspects to be overturned by successive governments, etc. The best way to protect council assets from privation is for councils to do their job properly, with national government support, and maintain confidence from their local population. Do the 50 year plan, agree on it locally and get on and do it.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Stay with the council elected people but as noted earlier have the government set up: 1. a national technical support service 2. a national procurement support service so prices can be achieved as a national procurement level; bit like Pharmac 3. Funding assistance - provide locked funding for the agreed 50 year plan using preferential government loan & bond rates 4. ensure that the 50 year plans are audited and the people responsible (either national support service personnel or council managers) are held to account.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The project work, with the right support structures, as noted earlier will be more cost effective. Whilst an initial better looking books for HCC may show.. the reality is the work still needs to be done, and all things the same, will cost same amount of money. Just shifting cost around so initially looks good and indebting another organisation which is then passed back to rate payers / users doesn't really affect who pays for the work ie. the locals. .. but under new scheme less transparency,.. and more people / levels to hide behind.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I don't have confidence in the government savings projected. At least when at a local level I feel I can hold my council more to account; not a centralised governmental organisation.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2198**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

More education is needed for us all to improve our life time skills to help keep it clean via media ~ TV ~ in plain simple language -- English

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The cost to us consumers \$\$\$'s. for people on pensions, disability allowances and other allowances -- we are all struggling now to make ends met let alone the extra \$\$'s that this will cost. This makes no mention of people who use rain water only and have their own septic tanks and drainage system.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

How long will it last? A change of government may privatise and if so would it be sold off to another overseas investor reaping the profits!!

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Firstly nobody owns the water, it's a right for everyone and their maybe guardians but they are not the owners. The residents in each area should vote for the candidates to represent their area. The more people that are on the boards the more money it costs -- and they want high salaries. If this waters reform goes ahead then all the salaries across New Zealand should be the same. Some organisations should not be given money just because they are the guardians.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

\$\$\$\$\$\$'s by the government but later on in the future it will be the local councils that will have to make up the short fall or take over the running of it!!

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2200

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The proposal does not take into account what ratepayers have already paid for (we would be paying to bring other regions up to scratch) or for the individual needs of specific regions.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The individual needs of regions will be lost in the BIG corporate decisions. Their projections are just that , someone's guess which I believe is a poor one.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The regional representational group will have Mana whenua as major contributor (by the look of the boxes) and yet they do not have specialist knowledge of water treatment etc and are represented by councils anyway. This is giving them way too much power and non qualified power. They can have a say but not should not be controlling the water.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

The representatives should reflect the make up of the populations that use it. eg if we have 30% Asian population then they should have a 30% share etc. We are a multicultural society. If we are going to give representation based on culture then all cultures need to be represented Scottish, Fijian etc and so should the disabled and other groups be represented . This would be equitable ...but this would be a minefield of difficulties and a huge number of unqualified voices. Keep it simple with local experts. Keep culture and race out of the basic need of all humans to clean water and removal of used water.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

When the environmental rates was split from our council rates we were told it would not change how much we paid but it increased in cost many fold, at a much higher rate than the council rates. By splitting the bills it looks better but rates are already a rent on home owners (without corresponding income increases). We have been bled so much that all non essential life costs have been used in these increases and when the water system takes ownership of the assets we ratepayers have paid for (taking what is ours)and then charge us huge increases in costs how will the non-affluent (one income) families afford this? Already we do not heat the house in winter (but wrap up in rugs and blankets) as we can't afford the higher electricity bills. Your proposal may well force us out of our homes and unable to afford rent also. Do not provide water for the wealthy and force more families to be homeless by making what once was one reasonable bill (compared to median wage) to 3 HUGE bills the make home ownership or renting beyond the average wage earner. No ,No, No.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I do not believe that large governance of our water supply and waste water will decrease our financial contribution. Every other time such an action has occurred it has proven (against their predictions) that the costs are much higher for the rate payers. Also decisions will be made for the so called greater good but small voices, small communities or small suburbs will be ignored in the large corporate decisions. Large governance bodies have a reputation for huge waste of money on their consultation processes and their overstaffing and no consequence for squandering others money. Some CEO will probably earn more per year than most of us earn in 10 just to sit and spend large amounts on consultations and investigations into needs etc and NOT on the core business. Let locals make decisions because they already know the local needs and do not need to waste large amounts of money on finding out!

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2205](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Less bureaucracy! More money should be poured into frontline delivery of water services.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

My view is this reform is both ideologically and politically driven. While the intention may be good, but the way the engagement and reform implementation is done is off putting to a lot of people and splits NZ society and the country, which is very sad to see. It looks like the government wants to ram it through this term. I suspect it will likely cost the government votes in the next election. If it is a different government in the future, this reform may be dismantled. In the end, what a waste of taxpayers' time and money spent for NZ in the midst of a potential global recession. Thank you.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2206](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Mana whenua representation makes me feel confident that water will not be privatised, and that the health of our waterways will be prioritised above all else, including profit, which in turn will ultimately mean better health outcomes, clean drinking water, clean rivers for everyone.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2210**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2213**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2214**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2218**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The government needs to better describe the reasons why we need the protection against privatization, ie why are we so vulnerable to privatization now that it is of concern.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

The representative groups are not representative, so the equal share of representation is less than the current model. Also being population based in a growing city how does this scale over time.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

Region size to large and not understanding how moving financil debt to another entity save overall costs in the long run

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2224](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[No opinion](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[No opinion](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[No opinion](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Don't know](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2225

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Regional authorities will address major issues but local, smaller issues will get less attention than current model

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Predicted savings will not be achieved but no-one will be held accountable

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

A public/ private model competing for customers would likely deliver a more high quality; cost-effective outcome

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

There should be more than 4 regional authorities, answerable to local needs. But current number of water authorities is too many, somewhere in the middle, maybe about 10-12 regions

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall cost to individual households over 30 years will likely be higher under the proposed changes. Once the cost is divided between entities there will be no incentive to reduce costs

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Current proposal is too centralised with limited regional input

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2228

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The proposed scaled (collective) approach means it's much more likely that the current variations in service levels, compliance and affordability will be minimised

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

It is critical that these assets remain in public ownership, at for the foreseeable future (so long as the benefits to all continue to be delivered). The public referendum provision provides reasonable assurance of that.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2230

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2234](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

To be seen, but protections really not strong enough

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

A lot of levels of organisation could lead to confusion of responsibilities over time, corruption and waste. Higher levels of proposed structure with less understanding/expertise of water matters.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2238

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I am voting against the three waters, the significant change could mean that we are going to be charged plus a team of people operating this are not known to the public. Also I don't want a sell off situation relating to the three waters.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

This would become a private service from public and owner ship and control.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2243](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Needs change

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Good idea.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

[No comments](#)

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree strongly](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2251](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Not adequate

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Too many unknowns in the financial model.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2254**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Totally against it

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

No matter what I say the Government wont listen to it

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2257](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I would be more in favor of the Governments reform if water rates are guaranteed to be less than without Government intervention

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I am in favor of the Governments protections against privatization however am unsure of the effectiveness of having a board and its members overseeing the whole Waikato, Bay of Plenty, Taranaki and Manawatu

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Don't know](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

I agree with the proposal however I still have my doubts about the effectiveness of the Government being in charge and if it will result in the water being better managed than councils and being cheaper especially when certain groups will be responsible for a large sector of water for each part of the country eg waikato and bay of plenty how they will manage water better than if it is just one council overseeing their water management

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2259

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Won't work!!

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

A few people have control over the peoples water, it's ridiculous!!

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2264](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2265](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I just think we need to keep governance of waterways at a local / regional Council level.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2273](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

This is going to make the situation worse as it seems that our local council will lose its voice when it comes to the health of our river. How will we stand up when Auckland needs more water than the Waikato can supply. When half of our voice is given to the local unelected tribal authorities and the bickering begins over who gets the money and the power, the rest of the residents will be left out in the cold. We will be lucky to get any additional funding for our city as we are above the minimum standard and will be forced to pay our fair share but have to wait our turn at the trough.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I don't think I have ever seen a government estimate for the cost of implementing a project ever come in close to the original estimate by the time the project is nearing completion. Most of the time it ends up being at least 3 times the original estimate. Government (and this includes local government) without fail will underestimate the true cost and overinflate the benefits. so this whole section is a bit like a Unicorn, a bit far fetched really

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think the protections against privatizations are a scam and in the long run will be no protection at all. If the shareholders are the members of council then there is a real risk that due to the new co-governance models being applied to the 3 waters infrastructure, and to local councils by stealth, it is possible to have a the 75% required for privatization and that 75% to be controlled by the tribe responsible for a water entity. With a 50/50 stake already guaranteed, all it takes is for half of the elected part of the council to be iwi aligned and it will be totally iwi controlled. From that point on, Regular citizens will have no say and no control.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is

appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Having a 50/50 split based on racial lines is undemocratic, anti equality, and anti diversity. Even the employment opportunities in the new structure are peppered with Tino Rangateratanga and Te Reo, making the selection opportunities for candidates very narrow. This goes beyond Positive Discrimination . This kind of structure puts too much power in the hands of a small number of unelected elites who will always vote as a block in the interests of a small section of our community, there is no diversity in that. No equal opportunities only per determined outcomes

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The forecasts for GDP here is a joke! The Government forecast for GDP once the NET Zero by 2050 is taken into account showed a massive decrease in GDP because of the reduction in

Farming and Agriculture output due to the required climate change policies. Tourism is in the toilet and has no hope of recovering any time in the next 5 to 10 years at least. so there is no point in including that in GDP! Inflation is rapidly on the rise and has no intention of slowing down. Oops there goes your 0.2-0.3% increase in average wages!. This will continue at least until Russia , Ukraine and NATO sort there *****. This at a minimum blows all the previous estimates out of the water. Covid lockdown policies world wide have crippled our supply chain and Manufacturing infrastructure to the point we cant get raw materials to even manufacture goods. This is just another Unicorn presentation that has no bases in the reality that exists in 2022. Time for policy rethink and send the proposal back to the focus group to evaluate The New Normal would be my recommendation.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

Too Many Unicorns

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I reject the premise of the previous question on whether I would give up any savings I have seen no evidence that any savings would be achieved at all, the reality may be the complete opposite of what their model showed now that the economic starting point has shifted so far from the original assumptions.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2275](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform is just a smokescreen for monopolised control of our water (in all its elements) and our water systems. This reform has already occurred in other United Nations member states and none of the rationalisation we are being given is aboveboard.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Of course the Government will project costs for the consumer to be better or less WITH the reform and worse or higher WITHOUT the reform. Again, this is the narrative being spewed out by the Government and the propaganda being sold to the masses for the purpose of programming compliance. It will never be a true and accurate picture by the Government because this plan - in their view - is already a done deal. I complete support HCC's opposition to this reform. Finally, something the HCC is doing well - considering the disappointment of the HCC's stance regarding Covid restrictions for unvaccinated residents and ratepayers.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

It is inaccurate and false. The overriding reform structure means that privatisation has already happened. Essentially, privatisation is at the core of the entire reform. Nothing is really in the control of the Council and its people once this reform has been forced on Councils. It needs to be stopped.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I don't agree with any of the make-up/roles at any of the levels. This is a bureaucratic way of appearing to have level and inclusive governance, input and control still but with no such thing being the case. It's designed to make people think that they're important and valued contributors to the so-called success of the reform. It's all for show.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The cost will still be incurred by the consumer / ratepayers but with no accountability on the part of the entity. A disgusting model. I do not agree with it at all. The current HCC model and structure needs to stay in place. Please do everything in your power to stop this reform.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Stop Three Waters Reform - at all costs. HCC must retain control of its water systems and structures. None of the Government propaganda and narrative around this is accurate. There will not be improved water quality, improved environmental impact, reduced costs for ratepayers. Although this is what we are being bombarded with - none of this messaging is what this reform is about.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2276](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

This is obviously the most important part of the legislation and I think the council should strongly word this as important to the people of Hamilton

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Once again I think that the main concern is that there is no possibility of privatization of water management, and also I hope that there is Maori representation

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2279

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2281](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Don't know

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2284](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton’s drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton’s stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government’s reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

if it works, it works

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2286](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

this is an unwieldy bureaucratic monstrosity that has little to do with water safety and much to do with empire building. The proposition that there is a need for greater control of water services, to ensure water safety through the entire population, does not in any way justify the proposed governance structure.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

the proposed structures are nonsensical and overly contrived to somehow make water services

a cultural issue

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2287](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2289

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The change will do nothing to protect from privatisation. Provided water control is retained by council and a vote of 75 percent is required for any privatisation change this would be a satisfactory outcome.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

These new roles will be too cumbersome and costly. Present system is working well in Hamilton.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2290](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2292](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

The makeup is not representative of the population

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I believe that the changes proposed are based on flawed analysis. Further, I cannot believe that there will be cost savings by sharing Hamilton's services with that of , say Wanganui

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2297](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[I don't believe this will change any aspect of public health or the impact on the environment. I would go as far to say it will be more detrimental to both those aspects.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I believe we should live in a democracy and support our own councils to make the best decisions for us (which includes asking for our input)

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

3 Waters has nothing to do with caring about the people and everything about the control over water, assets and people. We need to fight to keep our local control as I believe local councils know and will try to do what is best for their people.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2300

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Having seen Watercare emerge out of Auckland into our neighbouring Waikato councils I am firmly in support of anything that a protects against privatization and b means that we won't get ridiculous water charging like has been the case i Auckland since they had meters installed. Having lived there and seen the problems that residents can face when issues are not remediated in a timely manner and they are themselves left carrying the cost we need to stop anything like that happening here in Hamilton.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Would prefer a smaller entity as counterproposed by the council

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2301](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Assuming that the new entities have better ability to obtain additional funding then that should mean that more money can be spent on delivering quality outcomes, maintenance and improvement projects. We will still need additional people with the right skills and capability to do the additional work.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

It is hard to say how equitably things will be, both across the four regions and within each of the regions. Presumably it will be important for some consistent governance and accountability across the four regions which will ensure that there is actual equity

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I wonder if 75% is sufficiently high to prevent privatisation? If privatisation is important then shouldn't the legislation just prevent it fullstop? I would also wonder if partnership with iwi is sufficiently important - if it was, then any privatisation conversation would only be possible after a jointly agreed decision.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I think that the boards and sun regional representative groups should have a mandatory iwi representation to better reflect partnership between crown and iwi. I recognise this will be difficult in some areas due to the number of iwi and hapu in different regions. In some way it would be good to have Te Ao Māori better reflected in the structure of the reform.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

If the reform let's councils spend more money on other services they provide then that should result in better outcomes - however, money is not the only thing that affects councils ability to successfully deliver the other services it provides.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

These have been previously identified in this survey

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The information in the reform really only provides the high level overview, and the actual success or otherwise will likely come down to a lot of the detail. In theory the reform has the potential to achieve what it sets out to do, however the devil is always in the detail.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2304

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Dammed if you do dammed if you don't. There's clearly good arguments in either direction. Personally think water shouldn't be privatised in this country.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Mama whenua representation and evidence that the government won't create this reform claiming to save money whilst reforming elsewhere which effectively leads local body to foot larger bills. Therefore holding more financial responsibility or even worse, making individual households manage the risk.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2306

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I feel it does safeguard against privatisation

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I feel that water quality will be equitable for all communities driven by groups who can focus solely on water rather than other community issues. Money set aside for water would be used for water

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2308

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The first two questions were poorly worded - as is I'm not sure if I answered the way I intended

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I hope it works

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Maybe smaller regions

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2313**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I feel that the proposed reform is unclear about how it will improve the public health and environment. I feel that local council governance would be more effective in solving localised water problems rather than an overacting government body.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I disagree with the government's protections against privatisation and would prefer to leave ownership as it currently is. I do not think that shareholders should be able to unanimously vote to privatise the water asset. That does not sound like a public-owned entity.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

I think that governance of regional water assets should remain with local councils and not be outsourced to a distant managerial structure.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I would prefer to continue to pay water costs through my current rates rather than in an unclear process to the new entity. I think that the Hamilton City Council has clearly considered water management costs and forecasting whereas the reform is still very unclear about what future water plans and cost for Hamilton will look like.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I believe that water assets should remain with local council as I feel they will be the strongest voice for improvement and I do not think that a new larger external entity will be able to create as widespread and timely improvements as a local entity would. I do feel that New Zealand water issues need to be addressed and some changes should be made but I do not think taking away local governance is the solution. I would be prepared to give up some savings of the reform if it meant that the Hamilton city council was able to continue to provide quality water services through rates.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2314**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2315**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Don't know

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Don't know

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2316**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

to have unelected unqualified people appointed based on race is a recipe for disaster .

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2353

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I question the statistic that 35,000 people per annum suffer from illness as a consequence of drinking tap water. The nature and severity of the illnesses and the risk to benefit analysis must enter our consideration when considering the extent to which the proposed reforms might prevent or lower this number. There seems to me to be no necessary connection between the proposed reforms and improvement in protection of public health and environment, since the proposed reforms feature a model of ownership and management of water infrastructure that is 1. centralised and 2. inclusive of a co-governance model between pakeha and maori which does not instrumentally effect or guarantee provision of quality water services across NZ/Aotearoa. There seems to be no substantial reasoning in the proposed reforms that the local bodies currently responsible for water services in their regions cannot be supported to continue and improve water services in the interests of their regional constituencies. Furthermore, the proposed reforms seem to risk the creation of more bureaucracy and associated managerial murk and cost.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it

more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The numbers quoted about costs in this section are unreferenced or verified so its impossible to read them in any other light than the governments using them to bolster their proposal. Give the nature of proposed reforms with its removal of operations and regional responsiveness from local councils, its hard to see any logic in the claim that services will necessarily improve and costs reduce under the proposed reforms.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Based on he description provided here of the protection against privatisation, the risk of privatisation is too high. The main protections seems to be afforded by the condition that its more or less impossible to achieve sufficient consensus to privatise. How difficult would it be for future governments to remove these protections and enable privatisation? In my view, any possibility to privatise water services is an outrage since water is a naturally occurring phenomena which we all have mutual responsibility to protect. Maintaining a system of managing water use that is fundamentally publicly owned and connected closely to regional communities of users is non negotiable.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

The make up of proposed Regional Representation Group does not, in my understanding require any expertise in financial or water services management experience. This seems inappropriate. The whole proposal for governance introduces layers of managerial system and authority which could easily obscure accountability, reduce regional responsiveness, disempower local councils ability and agility in making the stated required improvements to water services. The co-governance of water services under the RRGs, needs further scrutiny and public debate, since what it implies is some attempt to institutionalize the redressing of socio - political imbalance between pakeha and mana-whenua under Te Tiriti o Waitangi. The issues and layers of this debate and their implication for questions of ownership and protection of taonga seem to require significant inquiry and consensus as a preliminary to the implementation of such a governance model for water services

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

It seems like sweet a deal for HCC and other councils since it'll no longer have to deal with water services...but hang on HCC is still implicated in the ownership of the infrastructure isn't it? Assets can quickly become liabilities when they wear out eh? The question of borrowing and how much and who does it is moot from my point of view, since HCC and Govt both can borrow money that I become responsible for via rates and tax and local body or national debt. Both HCC and Govt have a civil responsibility to be open and democratic when it comes to the debt they take on on behalf of citizens.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2357**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

It sounds like operationally, councils around the country haven't maintained water systems well and there are issues arising that come at great human and environmental cost.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think more protections are required to safeguards NZ natural resources for New Zealanders and not overseas businesses.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The pressures of water costs being removed from Council sounds like it will greatly benefit other pressing community needs, upgrades and management - like road and bike safety, community spaces, waste management and recycling, environmental wellbeing etc.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Based on the information I have read, it sounds like focussed attention on water management by a national entity will benefit more New Zealanders than continuing with the status quo.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2358

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The cost of this many layers of this new structure will be phenomenal

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

In my view, you can't own an asset without having full control over it.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2360

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I think that individual councils around the country have a better understanding on the land and services within the areas and can better mitigate water quality and costs within a councils community. Compared to the three water reforms which gives a board power over multiple councils at once not allowing them to have a voice and contribute knowledge about the regions water use. How can we hold this new reform accountable for what they are promising we cant....!

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The fact that the communities rates will be paid towards a non government related business is wrong!! No where in the world do rate payers contribute to a non government related organisation!!

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Giving a bigger workload to a small group wont help speed up or better a singel councils tepsonsibilty for a district. 3 waters would make it kore difficult to attend to service fixtures and sw and ww improvements as its a small organisation looking after a huge area. Compared to small councils who have more knowledge on regions and the areas can attend to the community's needs better without rate payers money going towards non government organisations

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2362

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

The health of our rivers and waterways are already not great and because of the overpopulation of humans in the world it is only going to get worse. I have little faith that government or council will ever remedy that. As for storm water I can't even get council around now to clear gutters and blocked drains. Sorry to say I also would also never drink tap water in Hamilton as it smells like chlorine and now has fluoride added. Unless we are going to start culling humans like we do the other inhabitants on this earth that we deem to many or inconvenient I can't see any difference ever being made were just to self serving as a race. Thankyou to whoever may read my opinion or rant whatever you would like to call it. I prefer calling it fact 😊 Have a great day

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the

reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

From what I see if the Hamilton City Council have anything to do the the money side of it it will be spent on a whole lot of nothing and I'm sure rate payers will foot the bill again. Or maybe add it to the huge debt already accumulated.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

I agree. Although Government let's an overseas water bottling plant take NZ water for free while many New Zealander pay for water so I can't say if our water is protected. As for board members (although you didn't ask) being of Maori and fair skinned descent I'm sick of my Maori brothers and sisters having a say and not only expecting but getting special treatment we were all born here it matters not who's whenua it was first. Anyway not sure a board of any qualified or not can speak for the all. Hamilton City councilors are not who I want managing anything in my either

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is

appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Changed how I don't know it's the who that concerns me

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Hamilton City Council have large debt partly due to overspending and poor judgement on projects and priority so don't expect they will perform any better with or without the financial burden of water. As for the new entity no way to say if water rates will shoot up

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

Not convinced it will improve the environmental cost of humans and our water consumption and pollution and that's all I care about. Who thinks they own or rule the water means nothing to me

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I will be dead in thirty years thank **** just feel sorry for the other animals that have to live around our belief that we own the land, the water and every man made cause of the Earth's decline. Good luck but in 30 yrs time with 4 billion more people on the earth councils raising water rates or who manages what is not an important issue

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2364](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I have read numerous documents on what the Government is proposing with The Three Waters reform bill. It is vastly complex and I would think it would take many lawyers to fully understand these very complex documents. Far too many questions left unanswered. Hamilton's water service is one of the best in the country and if this reform bill goes ahead then I sincerely doubt if the Hamilton region's water will ever be the same. Hamilton City Council, PLEASE DO NOT agree to any of the proposed services the Government are suggesting.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

I do NOT agree with any of the proposed suggestions the Government has indicated will happen. These are only suggestions, how do they know what the cost of all this will be in the future, no one can even estimate that. Totally against all the proposals for this reform bill. Hamilton City Council, PLEASE DO NOT agree with the proposals for the Three Waters reform bill.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Once again, far too many unanswered questions. When considering the area proposed for this region (ie: Waikato, Bay of Plenty, Taranaki and parts of the Manawatu - Whanganui). That is huge area and many of these areas are rural. What happens to the rural areas compared to urban? Yet another issue that has been ignored by the Minister is the Rural Community. In the rural areas the majority of water is consumed by livestock rather than humans. I know for a fact the majority of farmers have the water tested regularly, by a private laboratory, to ensure it is safe for human and animals to drink. Plus I will add, is at their own cost. So yet again these rural areas must be considered to be totally different to urban areas. So, yet again another fact into the discrepancies of the proposed reforms. Nothing has been investigated fully. Total incompetence. Totally against this proposed water reforms. Hamilton City Council, PLEASE DO NOT agree with the proposed reforms for the Three Waters reform bill.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I'm totally against all the proposed reforms to the Three Waters reform bill. The reason being is because there are far too many unanswered questions in these proposals. Hamilton City Council, PLEASE DO NOT agree with the proposed changes for the Three Waters reform bill. The Council will lose all control of water supplies for the region. Plus at what cost for the region.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I sincerely suggest, as mentioned previously, there are far too many unanswered questions in the proposed reforms for the Three Waters reform bill. This is a very large and extremely complex issue for New Zealanders. I strongly believe Councils MUST retain planning and financial control

over the new entities to support the comprehensive strategic and planning frameworks in place for growth, development and placemaking (local and cross boundary). Councils at present have 100% control over water, sewer and storm water assets. Under the proposed reforms, this would no longer be the case. Hamilton City Council, PLEASE DO NOT, agree with the proposed Three Waters reform bill.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

There is numerous articles on how the Three Waters reform , will be better for all New Zealanders. However, I have read NOTHING that explains how it will be better services for less money. Do our Government Ministers really understand the feelings of the people of New Zealand. This proposal is far too complex and requires far more investigation. Too many question than answers. Many councils have doubts this will work. We are told it will be better for all, but not how and also at what cost. Its only an estimate, who can estimate what the cost will be in 10, 20 or 30 years time. No body can do that. Totally against giving control to Government. What next, The Government then sells our water (New Zealand's) to another country. The sky will be the limit. This is very serious. PLEASE DO NOT AGREE WITH THE THREE WATERS REFORM BILL.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2365](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand’s waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton’s drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won’t make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton’s stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government’s reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The size of the area covered by each entity may mean that each area gets access to wholesale costs of services supply, but at the same time this could also mean wholesale quality of service - services provided for councils previously performing poorly would be improved, but councils that had been performing above average would have their delivered service qualities dragged down. Without the ability to adequately consider individual council strategic plans and programmes, and inter-council strategic plans and programmes, whole entity areas may be levelled to particular quality of service that may in fact be a reduction of quality for many people.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Protections against privatisation make sense and are a good step to protect what should be a publicly owned service.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

The geographical area covered by entities should be reduced to smaller land areas comprising regions that are/should be strategically aligned. Having an entity cover such large areas would reduce the ability of the entity to deliver the best outcomes for each New Zealander in their area.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

While the 3-waters reforms, as they currently stand, are a step towards the right direction there appears to be much work left to do to arrive at a structure and operating process that is good for not just New Zealand as a whole, but all New Zealanders as well. The current proposal, in my view, would deliver something that was just OK for many New Zealanders, and a step down for some. Having the buying and borrowing power of the larger entities is necessary moving forward to be able to deliver great cost-effective services, but there is a necessity to be able to make decisions closer to the coal face and do this effectively at the council and regional level. Having all regional input only feeding high-level strategic decisions by the entity board run the risk of needs on the ground being overlooked or completely missed.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2367](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2370

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

By centralising the system, the communities that struggle to afford the infrastructure will benefit the most compared to communities that already have high quality water.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Don't know](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Water should be a standardised cost system and not based on the value of my home. A home in a more expensive area will still use the same water infrastructure as the house of lesser value.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Representatives need to be qualified for the job, regardless of background. Water should have a standardised cost and not base it off property value.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2379

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I have been concerned with the quality of drinking water in New Zealand for many years. Hamilton has probably as good water as anywhere in this country, but I test it with a device I have, and a day or so after heavy rain, the count of foreign matter goes up. (The test does not state what that matter is). That is to be expected because of run off further upstream. As I mentioned, although we have good drinking water here , I often wonder about smaller towns without the sophisticated system needed to ensure adequate quality, especially for children. It is good to have chlorine in our water, of course, but that only kills something which is alive, and can not remove antibiotics or medications from drinking water. I do not know of any method which could of course. To sum up, I do not think that Hamilton will gain anything with the new proposal. However, as the whole country should benefit, and as there has been some very unhealthy drinking water in quite a few towns, the overall quality should and must improve a great deal. The cost of that quality is probably beyond the means of many towns to cover. So, on the whole, as unpopular as any reforms may be, and given that Hamilton will not benefit and it may cost us more in the long run, Something needs to be done urgently, so I support the Three Waters proposal.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it

more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Only time will tell, but in some cases, the reforms are badly needed.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

As far as I can discern, they will work quite well.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I do not have a helpful view about the financial structures. I will leave that to others who can work it out more easily than I can.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I have no further comments to make.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2393

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Don't know

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2394

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Maori are approximately 15% of population. They contribute very little to society and yet this proposal gives them 50% of the say to this proposal - which is very plain to see is NOT democratic. 2 thought in this country are we're all equal.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2395](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Would prefer local council to control the water

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2396

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Communities in regions where the three types of water management is already and sustainably consistently safe and effective to national standards shouldn't be expected to contribute much on an ongoing basis to regions where this isn't the case. Some one-off support may be justified. Water management services need to be maintained and developed on the basis of projected extent of sustainability of human habitation and industry (including agriculture and horticulture) in local areas, incorporating fair assessment of viability and who pays for maintaining water quality. A key issue here is who benefits from water use in each area being key contributors to safe management of the water; and that locations naturally most at risk of damage to plants and distribution systems shouldn't be developed further for human habitation or industry.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

They provide a mechanism FOR privatisation.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

[The proposed reform will greatly politicise the priority locations for developing water management services.](#)

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Better water quality?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

More explicit requirement for consideration of co-ordination with other environmental protection regulating public entities and some more detail around government/state not entering into economically unsound developments and also more regulation around industries that are heavy users or polluters of water. This, of course, is a Treaty of Waitangi issue as well. The 'lower cost' to ratepayers is a bit of a smoke and mirrors act, because households and businesses will be paying the difference through general tax.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2401](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[Mahuta got the best idea in Waikato at the moment. Its good what she is doing to help all the people in this beautiful Waikato district we all enjoy. I will be ood to get our water ways back to how it should be. Restrictions on the amount of cows in Waikato would be good start](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Yes 👍 great idea 💡 👍 very cheap, very good and very nice 🙌

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Great. I back this government 100 percent. I would hate to see a few rich Chinese selling our water and we the people kirikiriroa miss out.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Don't let Chinese people sell our water 😞 😞 😞 😞 😞

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Get rid of Hamilton. Reinstall the true name. Kirikiriroa only

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2410**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Don't know](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Don't know](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Don't know](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2413**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Mana Whenua is mentioned many times, but very little detail is given around these positions. We need more detail about the Mana Whenua involvement. What expertise will be required, and how they would be appointed to these strategic positions.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I would want to know if the new entity will meter residential usage for water in Hamilton?
Many of the numbers quoted here are very open ended and without definite date, therefore it is impossible to answer some financial questions until the government give definite costs that they can be held accountable to.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

the proposal is too open ended and without financial definition. Mana Whenua involvement needs detailed clarification around what this would mean in strategy and financial management.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The actual ownership I really don't care about as these so called assets don't really earn HCC an income (definition of asset) but the management of the new entity seems to have many drawbacks for larger councils like HCC. I'm concerned that too much responsibility is being placed/given to Mana Whenua without a clear determination of the effect this could have on the future water management.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2415**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

These questions are poorly written, double barrelled and leading. It also does not provide options that may reflect alternative solutions

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2418**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

No comments.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

No comment.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Such protections are essential.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

No comment.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

[Agree](#)

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

[Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform](#)

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

[No comment.](#)

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2421**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The protections can be changed to meet any revised requirement. The new organisation is a platform for this to happen, whereas under the present structure, this is less likely.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Too many levels of beauracrats/structure, totally divorced from the local identity.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Councils have done a great job to date, with numerous Councils having modern and efficient water systems. They will NOT have more time to spend on other matters.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I do not believe the cost savings that are outlined.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2422](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2423**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

This new entity will be too big to oversee the state of infrastructure needed in all areas at all times.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Does this mean that all NZ will be levied at the same price no matter where they live. What if you live in the country and have your own water supply either from an underground source or rain water collected by tanks

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

This management arrangement is going to be top heavy with people that do not understand policies and or politics. It is a recipe for disaster. Privatisation is the way to go.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

Too many levels of middle managers meddling in the pie. Will be too hard to get consensus.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

It is crystal ball gazing and as soon as the reforms happen the rules will change.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2424](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2425](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2437

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Its always hard to make changes as some councils will feel they've already paid for upgrades while others think there's will last longer without much maintenance BUT something has to be done nationally

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Much more likely](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Much more likely](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Appropriate](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Appropriate](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree strongly](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

[Agree strongly](#)

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

[Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform](#)

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2442

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

We do not want our water assets taken over. We are happy as the council is running it. This is totally wrong and must be stopped.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Just leave our assets in the hands of our council. They know what we the people of Hamilton want. The government has no idea. This will just increase bureaucratic pressure on us all. Please stop it now.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

We do not want any further layers added to the daily running of our city. We do not need other representatives as we already pay our council to do this job. Why are we creating the bureaucracy in Hamilton. We do not want this. Why is it being forced on us. No we want it stopped.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Firstly we do not need to add any additional layers to getting heard for the service we want. Our voice as a paying consumer of this council will totally disappear. Why is that these levels will work but the ones we have now don't work.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

We know exactly what is going to happen here. We the residents of Hamilton are going to be hammered into paying the same costs to the council and additional costs to this new enterprise. This government is bringing us to our knees. People already are battling to survive. Councillor's may not realise in what dire straights a lot of the residents are in and I hope it is not a case that they really do not care. That would be very sad.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

We do not want this so we really hope that the council will stand by the resident of Hamilton to stop the theft of our very valuable assets and our Waikato river. No to 3 Waters!

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2443](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2445](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2451](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Whilst I agree these may be suitable for the short-term, The government will have re-visit these protections in the future to ensure our local infrastructure doesn't get sold off the highest bidder.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Should be fully council and community lead.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

I strongly disagree with the notion of water meter's coming into force. We have access to the Waikato River, One of the largest in New Zealand. The thought of having to pay rates plus water will have huge financial implications. Having to worry about another bill because Government and council wish to find new financial streams places yet another burden on our already hard hit communities.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Whilst I commend the government on opening up conversations and trying to improve water systems in New Zealand as a whole, because the cost involved is more than most councils are reasonably afford. I feel that government should work along side local councils with new investments, rather than stripping them away. I feel that there is too many unknowns and the potential for water meters coming into action is simply unacceptable. Myself and many others will not accept water meters, even at a reduction of rates.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2454](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Very poor.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

It needs a total review of the structures.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2459

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Lot of rubbish!

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

It will be privatise as soon as.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

We must keep the 3 waters to be run locally.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2460

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Operating areas seem too large I also think that density helps reduce costs per household

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

There should be no pathway for privatisation. Public services should ALWAYS be held by the public

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

More info, clearer governance structure

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2466

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Some areas may benefit but others will miss out

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

We have seen what happens with super city amalgamations and we have seen what happens with water demands.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

There is no guarantee that a future government wouldn't change it

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

It should be more community based with local iwi and community representatives because of

the diverse areas that are covered in these huge zones

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

For everyone to get a better service the funding would have to be hugely increased and then it may not be the ones who need it most but the ones who make the most noise

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Amalgamation of services does not always create a better result for communities.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2468

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Happy of what we currently do

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

This plan make sense to me. Any safeguard against privatization is welcome.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2473

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

By centralising as they are, they will be taking away focus on local areas and smaller areas will not get the level of care and attention that they require.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

The cost for smaller areas are likely to be reduced as the larger areas will be subsidising them if the costs are averaged out. I do not think that Hamilton will be paying less for their water.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The structure needs to remain in Council ownership and not privatised. I do not agree with Mana Whenua having a 50% say on how this is run. They should have a representation on the committee of maybe 2 people, but not a 50% say.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Mana Whenua should have maybe 2 representatives in the 12-14 people not a 50% representation.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2476

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Water systems in NZ especially environmental ones will be manipulated by minority groups such as Maori. They do not have the knowledge to develop systems that are economical and in the best interests of NZ. As far as they and others are concerned money grows on trees so the public purse will pay for all the extras!!!

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Of course the rest of NZ will benefit. It will be the minor towns & cities because the larger areas will pay for them!! I see a problem getting service with such a large entity. The lines of communication will be slow and cumbersome. There will be too many fingers in the pie to quickly resolve many of the issues.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Water systems are a highly technical system that requires high level expertise to make it work. It should not have any elected members on its board and should be run like a very large business protecting the waste on expenditure. Regular auditing will be required as it is public money. We do not need too much debate if the board are experts and not public representatives.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I have already syated that the boards and representatives should be experts and not publicly voted on. Lets not waste time on mouth peices that have no idea on what a water system is and should be!!

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

So where is the money coming from??? Once again government officials or should I say labour think they can spend money like no tomorrow. Already the kids of NZ will be paying off this governmants spend for the next 50 years.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Thats government 'speak' on the water reforms. So who would believe that to be true???

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2479**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

we have a proactive Council that already adheres to good practice ... if anything it will be the effects of Plan Change 12 and Plan Change 9 that may influence the ability of Hamilton to protect the River and the Council is unable to counter the proposed plan changes as they are Government Directives ... it will be a bold Council or Public that just says NO ... we have had enough ... we need guiding Rules otherwise matters will get out of control

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

i don't believe the benefit calculations have a sturdy foundation

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

no view

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

N/A

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

with the proviso that there be an overview of the Council's proposed Budgets for Scopes of work

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2480

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Nil

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Nil

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Nil

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Nil

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Nil

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Nil

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2481](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

It would be very sad & unfortunate to see Hamilton City Council not in control of our water. They have done an excellent job so far & should be allowed, in all fairness, to continue to have control of our water.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2484

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2485

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Shares in the entities are held by councils on behalf of their communities and they have to unanimously agree to any privatisation proposal. This seems to be a very high bar for privatisation and therefore does seem a strong protection. However the key to this is the councils - if a set of councillors was voted in who had a strong privatisation stance, they could vote for a proposal. However 'unanimously' would seem that it would be an extraordinary situation that would lead to a unanimous vote. Should this happen, there is provision for a public referendum with any future proposal for privatisation requiring 75 per cent of votes in favour to carry it. A public referendum is a good idea but again not totally without fish hooks. Few people are likely to vote; even fewer well informed, so again this may not be the protection that it seems to be on paper.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

I am concerned about the few number of entities to represent the whole of NZ and think the number should increase. I am also concerned about how the people are appointed - it is supposed to be people who have experience / knowledge. If the appointments occur in a similar way to Crown boards, I would feel more reassured. If they are chosen with public voting I would be concerned.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

While HCC rates will reduce, there will be a funding stream requirement that is likely to impact rate payers from a different source. This could be greater or lesser than currently and doesn't seem at all clear.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

Size of the entities; governance and regional format

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2487](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

The whole 3 waters proposal should be scaped

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2489

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

There was no information to say how the new model was going to be funded. Assumedly this is through tax? The information provided on the past two sections has been limited, which I can appreciate makes it easier to go through the survey but doesn't give a very informative counterview.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

It sounds like it is mostly reliant on a council with members that don't agree with each other. If they see things in the same way then the potential for privatisation increases dramatically.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

More consideration for preventing privatisation of water services would be nice to see.

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2490

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Seems OK but numerous layers of bureaucracy how will anything get approved

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

How can a regional groups manage local effectively ? The focus should be on standards and compliance. Enforce controls like councils do with private companies so they can operate

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

So if this went through, how could a household be assured the regional 3W rates are managed and spent locally? Like the idea of greater borrowing power

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

The Government needs to set national controls for compliance, mandatory automated monitoring and enforce them. Council has done this to the private sector and it is time for local bodies to step up

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2492](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Don't know](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Don't know](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Don't know](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Much more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Much more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree](#)

Better water quality?

[Don't know](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Neither agree nor disagree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Thanks for allowing me to participate.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2498

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Appear to provide adequate protection. Agree with referendum if privatisation was to be considered.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

It will be essential that the various governance roles and entities do not become cumbersome and bureaucratic and the appointment process is democratic.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2501](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

The existing staff will be retained and a lot of new personnel will be appointed for the new set up leading to a lot of additional financial commitments.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2503**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

Hamilton council should have more say and shares

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree strongly

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2508

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

N/A

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

N/A

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Hopefully it would work in practice.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

N/A

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2511](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much less likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[no one should own the water as such.](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Government shouldn't interfere with any privatisation activity. Supply and demand will be fairer to the user/ non-user. User-pay is always the way. If one has to pay to use, I doubt they will waste.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

The proposed makeup/roles of the levels add another layer of cost and divide people by race.

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal for another reason (please specify)

Specify:

Racist move, wasteful Government funding and time

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2512](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Don't know

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: **2513**

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Neither agree nor disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2515

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Don't know

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Slightly more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Reduced costs for communities?

Don't know

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2542](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2555

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

Under Three Waters our community will not only lose its voice in local water management, but it will also lose control over its water assets. Currently water is managed on behalf by my local council who is directly accountable to me as a ratepayer.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

Costs will increase.

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

The four entities will be controlled by a complex and unaccountable bureaucracy comprising of representative groups co-governed 50% by local council representatives and 50% by mana whenua.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Won't make a difference

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

[No comment](#)

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Disagree](#)

Better water quality?

[Disagree](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Disagree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Disagree](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2556](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much less likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

[I totally object to the three water proposal. These assets need to stay with the Council & rate payers](#)

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

It will end in a fiasco with top heavy bureaucracy and costs with nothing done or changes. It is simply an asset grab by Maori elites & the Labour government. Three waters needs to be stopped!

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Well look at already the Nepotism with unskilled people being added to the running of three waters.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Should be changed

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how

or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Huge money will be wasted as this is the track record of this government and their mad ideas.

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Savings my **** ! It will be mismanagement and huge financial costs to each New Zealander. I simply do not trust them.

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2558

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

[Agree strongly](#)

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

[Agree strongly](#)

Better water quality?

[Agree strongly](#)

Greater investment for growth and housing?

[Agree](#)

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2601](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Slightly less likely](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much less likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Slightly less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Should be changed

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Don't know

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Don't know

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2608

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I am totally opposed to this change. We have clean water and good sewage already. I can only see us paying a whole lot more for the beurocracy. We are already struggling with the added costs on fuel and food and huge rates increases.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly more likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

With the amount of top heavy management we will definitely be paying way more and what we have paid already over all these years for good water and waste system we will no longer control. Totally opposed

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Can't believe they will control anything price wise. Just more costs and less care

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

No opinion

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

No opinion

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

No opinion

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I strongly oppose these reforms

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2620

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much more likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much more likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much more likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much more likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Should be changed

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Support the Government's proposal for the three waters reform

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2625](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Much more likely](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Much more likely](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Much more likely](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Don't know](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Much more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Don't know](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Don't know

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much more likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Don't know

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Don't know

Better water quality?

Don't know

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Agree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

No

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2629

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[Should be changed](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[Should be changed](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Won't make a difference

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Won't make a difference

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Yes

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2754

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Won't make a difference

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Slightly less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Slightly less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Slightly less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Won't make a difference

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Don't know

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Don't know

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Don't know

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Don't know

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: [2756](#)

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

[Don't know](#)

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

[Don't know](#)

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

[Don't know](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

[Slightly more likely](#)

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

[Won't make a difference](#)

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

[No opinion](#)

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

[No opinion](#)

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

[No opinion](#)

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will

make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Slightly more likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Won't make a difference

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Slightly more likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Slightly more likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Neither agree nor disagree

Better water quality?

Neither agree nor disagree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Neither agree nor disagree

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Agree

Better water quality?

Agree

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Agree

Reduced costs for communities?

Agree

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Mainly support the proposal, but would want to see some changes (please specify)

Specify:

-

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

Hamilton City Council Three Waters Consultation – Representative Survey

Record: 2758

SECTION 1: The big picture – public health and the environment

Do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

The health of Hamilton's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

The health of New Zealand's waterways and rivers will be protected?

Much less likely

Hamilton's drinking water will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Drinking water everywhere in New Zealand will meet or exceed national standards?

Much less likely

Hamilton's stormwater system will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Stormwater systems in New Zealand will be able to cope better with adverse weather events such as flooding?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the public health and environment aspects of the Government's reform here:

I think it's disgusting that this Govt is trying to take over our water system & I am opposed to it 100% as well as my husband & family. We have lived in Hamilton all life and this new legislation is appalling & will give control to Govt where they have got too much control over us anyway. I oppose this GOvt & the Mahuta & her cronies for pushing it.

SECTION 2: Operations – services to customers and costs

Taking the above information into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future:

Water services for Hamilton residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services for New Zealand residents will be more affordable than they would be without the reforms?

Much less likely

Water services provided to Hamilton residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services provided to New Zealand residents will be efficient and to a high standard?

Much less likely

Water services across New Zealand will be delivered more fairly and equitably?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the services and costs aspects of the Government's reform here:

As per my previous statement. I do not trust this Govt & services & costs will be increased & who pays for that? The Rate Payer (& Tax payer)

SECTION 3: Governance – how the entities are managed and governed

What are your views on the governance aspects of the Government's reform?

Fully opposed to it and do not trust this tyrannical Govt.

Taking the Governance information above into consideration, do you think the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that:

Any views or concerns I might have about the water services my household receives will be better heard?

Much less likely

Any views or concerns I have about water services in Hamilton will be better heard?

Much less likely

Do you think that the proposed make-up and roles of each of the following levels is appropriate or should be changed?

Proposed entity board structure?

Appropriate

Proposed Regional Representation Group structure?

Appropriate

Sub-regional representative groups structure?

Appropriate

If you said that the make-up/roles of any of the levels should be changed, please write in how or why you think they should be changed below?

SECTION 4: Financial structure – assets, debt and borrowing

Taking the information above into consideration, do you think that the proposed reforms will make it more likely or less likely that, in future...

Costs of improvements to Hamilton's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Costs of improvements to New Zealand's water services will be managed better?

Much less likely

Hamilton City Council will be able to focus more on the services other than water that it delivers to the community?

Much less likely

Councils throughout New Zealand will be able to focus more on the services other than water that they deliver to their communities?

Much less likely

Please feel free to add any further comments on the financial structures here:

As per my previous statements. NO!!!

Overall – what are your views?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following for Hamilton residents in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Lower costs for communities than would be the case under the status quo?

Disagree strongly

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the reforms will result in each of the following

for New Zealand residents generally in the future:

Better environmental outcomes?

Disagree strongly

Better water quality?

Disagree strongly

Greater investment for growth and housing?

Disagree strongly

Reduced costs for communities?

Disagree strongly

Taking everything into consideration, do you?

Not support the proposal because you believe Hamilton City Council and other councils should keep control of their water assets

Specify:

Government says one of the benefits of the reforms is saving on water costs. Government data shows Hamilton residents pay around \$1,000 annually for water services through their rates. Government data says these costs would more than double over the next 30 years without the reforms. Would you be willing to give up any savings the reform might offer in exchange for Hamilton City Council continuing to deliver water services for its residents?

Don't know

Please feel free to add any final comments here:

I am opposed to this new legislation & do not trust this tyrannical government at all. This shall not pass!!
